Impact of hospitalisation on the outcome of adolescent anorexia nervosa
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Background Owing to the lack of controlled trials of treatment setting in adolescent anorexia nervosa, the benefits and costs of in-patient treatment are not established.

Aims To clarify the relationship between a range of presenting features, treatment received and medium- to long-term outcome in adolescent anorexia nervosa.

Method A range of presenting variables were rated for 75 cases of DSM–III–R anorexia nervosa at presentation to an adolescent service, including the Morgan–Russell Global Assessment Score. Cases were followed up at 2–7 years and outcome rated according to reliable methods. Setting of treatment received was also recorded.

Results Two out of 75 cases had died by the time of follow-up. Adequate data for 72 enabled an outcome category to be assigned. The 21 who had received in-patient treatment had a significantly worse outcome than the 51 never admitted to hospital. Multivariate analysis suggests admission to be the major predictor of poor outcome.

Conclusions The benefits and costs of admission to hospital require further investigation, ideally in a randomised-controlled trial. The negative consequences of in-patient treatment are neglected in research.

Declaration of interest None.

Anorexia nervosa is among the most worrying conditions presented to adolescent mental health services. A consequence of the patient’s ill health, family and professional concern and often the patient’s resistance to intervention is that a number of teenagers are hospitalised in the course of their illness. Admission to hospital, whether to a paediatric or a psychiatric service, enables a number of short-term benefits. These include physical evaluation and monitoring, providing relief to the patients’ family and of professional anxiety, and re-establishment of adequate calorie intake, either by firm encouragement or by nasogastric or other interventions.

The impact of hospital admission on long-term outcome, however, is uncertain. The present study utilises data from two naturalistic studies to compare the outcome of those who had received in-patient treatment during their illness with those who had not. Each subject had received a comprehensive baseline assessment enabling evaluation of the impact of treatment received as against other presenting variables in determining clinical outcome.

METHOD

Subjects Seventy-five consecutive cases of adolescent-onset anorexia nervosa were drawn from a series attending a regional adolescent service. The service specialises in the treatment of eating disorders and attempts to treat these where possible on an outpatient basis. Where admission is required, it is generally within the general purpose adolescent unit, though on occasions, young people are admitted to either general paediatric services or to specialist eating disorder units, generally within the independent sector. The decision will in an individual case reflect the wishes of the family, community services and local funding policy.

Thirty-five of the cases (four male) were taken from a prospective study into the impact of family variables in anorexia nervosa, which has been reported elsewhere (North et al, 1995; Gowers et al, 1996). These cases were evaluated comprehensively at presentation, at which time the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia–Child Version (K–SADS) diagnostic interview (Ambrosini et al, 1989) was administered to confirm the diagnosis, and an assessment of severity, the Global Assessment Score based on the Morgan–Russell Outcome Assessment Schedule (Morgan & Hayward, 1988) was calculated. This comprises five sub-scales covering physical status, menstruation, mental state, sexual adjustment and socio-economic status. Details of length of illness and eating disorder subtype were also recorded, in a detailed record which included weight, height, body mass index and menstrual history.

Subsequently, an additional 40 cases (all female) were obtained from the 40 immediately preceding cases presenting to the department. All had had a detailed clinical assessment with records of heights, weights, clinical subtype and menstrual history, etc. Many of the cases were subsequently treated within the adolescent service and the clinical notes were comprehensive. Details of a range of presenting variables were coded from the case notes retrospectively to match those obtained prospectively in the other study. The items coded included age at onset, age at presentation, length of illness, clinical subtype, weight and height at presentation and weight as percentage of expected weight. Finally, all available clinical data were used to calculate a presenting Global Assessment Score (Morgan & Hayward, 1988) at presentation. This method of calculating a severity score on detailed clinical case notes has been employed elsewhere (Gowers et al, 1991) and the interrater reliability found to be acceptable.

Follow-up The 35 cases in the (prospective) family functioning study were contacted after two years. All cases were traced and 33 face-to-face interviews arranged. In two cases, although traced, follow-up assessment was declined and adequate information was not available to provide adequate outcome information. The additional 40 cases were contacted at between
three- and seven-year follow-up from initial assessment. All 40 cases were traced. Face-
to-face interviews were arranged with 23, of whom 17 also permitted an interview with
an informant. Six further subjects were interviewed by telephone, of whom
four agreed to an interview with a relative and one contact with their general practi-
tioner. Of the remaining 11 subjects for whom no interview was obtained with the
subject, substantial information was pro-
vided through an interview with a relative
in five cases and contact with the general
practitioner in three cases, on one occasion
being supplemented by an information
sheet, completed by the patient. There
was insufficient information available for
one of the subjects and two subjects were
deceased. Information about these cases
was provided by a psychiatrist and a social
worker, respectively. Thus, 56 out of 73
surviving cases received all clinical assess-
ments with recorded weight and height in-
formation. For these and a further 10
cases with detailed information from infor-
mants, the Morgan–Russell Outcome
Assessment Schedule was completed. The
approved cut-off points for this scale
enable classification into good, intermedi-
ate and poor outcomes. Although the full
Morgan–Russell Global Assessment Score
(MRGAS) could not be computed for the
remainder, a broad outcome category could
be assigned for 72 cases.

Data analysis
Six key variables were identified as likely outcome predictors: age, length of illness,
weight as a percentage of mean matched
population weight, presenting MRGAS,
presence or absence of purging, and setting of treatment received. The data were
examined for differences between the two
series on key presentation variables.
Associations between these variables and
goal outcome group was explored by ANOVA
for continuous variables and $x^2$ for cate-
gorical variables. Stepwise multiple regression analysis (enter) was employed to determine
the relationship between covarying predic-
tor variables with MRGAS at follow-up as
the dependent variable. $F$ was set between
0.05 and 0.1.

RESULTS
Presentation variables
The clinical variables are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I</th>
<th>Clinical variables at presentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Case note series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at presentation (years, months)</td>
<td>15, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of illness (months)</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight as percentage of expected weight</td>
<td>75.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan–Russell Global Assessment Score</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricting</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purging</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


the two samples to explore for differences
in coding of the MRGAS between prospective and case note methods showed a
slightly greater severity (lower MRGAS)
for the case note series in comparison to
the prospective series. However, it is note-
worthy that the case note series was slightly
thinner and had a larger proportion of
‘binge–purgers’ in the series, suggesting that
the lower MRGAS may reflect a true differ-
ence in severity rather than a difference in
the method of coding.

General outcome
Outcome was defined as good if weight was
maintained above 85%, menstruation had
resumed and social functioning was satis-
factory. In practice, this equated to an
MRGAS score of $\geq 9$. Intermediate out-
come was recorded if a substantial im-
provement in eating disorder had been obtained with weight maintained above
85% of expected weight, but where either
menstruation had not resumed or there
was significant concern about eating
and weight or there was another psychosocial
difficulty. This equated to a MRGAS score
of 6–9. A poor outcome (MRGAS <6) was
assigned if the subject was still suffering from an eating disorder and in all cases
where weight was maintained below 85%.

Table 2 shows the general outcome at two-
to seven-year follow-up.

Of the five presentation variables se-
lected as possible predictors, weight at
presentation as a percentage of expected
weight and presenting MRGAS were both
strongly predictive of outcome (see Table
3), age at onset of disorder, length of illness
and presence of the purging subtype were
not predictive. In addition, treatment re-
ceived between assessment and follow-up
was highly predictive of outcome. If the
subject had never been treated as an in-
patient, they had a 62% chance of having
a good outcome, whereas of those treated
as in-patients, only three out of 21
(14.3%) had a good outcome (see Table 3).

To explore the relationship between
admission to hospital and other predictor
variables the six predictor variables were
entered into a multiple regression analysis
as described in the method. The multiple
regression analysis is shown in Table 4.

The best fit model selected presence of
in-patient admission first, followed by pre-
senting MRGAS second. No other variables
were selected as significant predictors of
outcome once these two variables had been
selected.

DISCUSSION
General outcome
The mortality of anorexia nervosa is said
to exceed that of any other adolescent psychi-
atric disorder including depression, with a
lethal outcome in up to 15% of cases
(Hertzog et al., 1992). In the long term the
outcome, though variable, is often chronic
Table 3  Outcome of key predictor variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Good (n=34)</th>
<th>Intermediate (n=23)</th>
<th>Poor (n=15)</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset (mean; years, months)</td>
<td>14, 3</td>
<td>13, 10</td>
<td>13, 11</td>
<td>P=0.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of illness (mean; months)</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>P=0.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight as a percentage of mean matched population weight</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>P=0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenting MRGAS (n=43)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>P=0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrictors (n=43)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>d.f.=2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purgers (n=29)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never an in-patient (n=51)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>χ²=13.3, d.f.=2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated as an in-patient (n=21)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>P=0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 4  Multiple regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable entered</th>
<th>Multiple R</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Significance of F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1 In-patient admission</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>13.02</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2 Presenting MRGAS</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stepwise (probability of F to enter ≤ 0.05, to remove ≥ 0.10).
MRGAS, Morgan–Russell Global Assessment Scale (Morgan & Hayward, 1988). Variables not in the equation: length of illness; age at onset; presenting weight as percentage of mean matched population weight; subtype.

(Ratnamurya et al., 1991). Only about 50% of younger subjects can be expected to recover fully (Bryant-Waugh et al., 1988).

The outcome of in-patient treatment is very variable. One well-conducted study comparing two forms of follow-up therapy after discharge from hospital gave poor overall outcomes (Russell et al., 1987), though patients may have been selected for this service on the basis of illness severity. There are no randomised controlled trials of in-patient v. out-patient treatment in adolescent anorexia nervosa. An attempt at such a study with patients spanning adolescence and adulthood (Crisp et al., 1991) suffered a number of practical and ethical difficulties in which adherence with treatment was a significant one. This study showed no advantage for in-patient treatment over out-patient approaches, while the latter showed some advantages in terms of social adjustment at one-year follow-up. Further progress towards recovery occurred for the out-patients in the ensuing year (Gowers et al., 1994).

The outcome of the present series of 75 cases with adolescent anorexia nervosa is comparable to the outcome reported in the literature, with just under half having a good outcome at 2–7 years. The mortality rate of two cases out of 75 provides confirmation of the danger of this condition.

Predictors of outcome

There is a clear association between the severity of the condition at presentation and its medium- to long-term outcome. Thus, the more underweight the patient, particularly if below 70% of expected weight, and the lower the score on the MRGAS, which equates to global severity, the worse the outcome. Age, length of illness and presence of the purging subtype, however, were not significantly associated with outcome, though there was a non-significant trend towards an association between longer illness before assessment and poor outcome.

Treatment received was strongly associated with outcome, those treated as inpatients having a notably poor outcome. Although in-patient treatment is likely to be reserved for those with the most severe form of disorder, the results do not lend compelling support to the effectiveness of this intervention. Those admitted are likely to have attained normal weight during the course of admission, further pointing to the poor association between weight restoration alone and good outcome. The multiple regression analysis suggests that when treatment is considered alongside other predictors of outcome, receipt of in-patient treatment is the most important predictor of poor outcome. This important finding requires further examination.

Patients’ views

Admission to hospital is not always perceived negatively by the patient. Particularly in settings where attention is given to psychological aspects of the disorder, the patient may well see these as supportive. Sometimes the understanding and care of professional staff exceeds that which experienced outside the hospital setting, while the peer group and educational setting within the adolescent unit is perceived as a safer environment, divorced from the stresses of life in the outside world. In such a situation, discharge from hospital requires an enormous adjustment in terms of resumption of responsibilities and obligations relating to eating and otherwise, while it can also be experienced as involving a significant loss of support. Not infrequently, life outside hospital is seen as tough and when this results in return of anorexic behaviours, the possibility of return to hospital is perceived by the patient, not negatively, but as an opportunity for further escape.

There are a number of reviews asking patients about their experiences of hospital treatment. These are variable and some are very positive about their experiences. In general, however, in-patient treatments do not appear to be well received nor identified as the main element in recovery where this has occurred. Although not necessarily representative, the accounts collated by the Eating Disorders Association published elsewhere are often extremely critical (Shelley, 1997). Bruch (1974) has also drawn attention to a mismatch in perception between professionals’ and patients’ views; that is to say, the treating professionals often saw admission as having been helpful to the patient while the patient did not.

Clinical implications

In deciding to admit an adolescent to hospital for treatment of anorexia nervosa, the likely positive impact on outcome of the condition should be considered carefully,
as well as the possible costs. In practical terms, the cost of hospitalisation is high, with the cost of a typical three-month stay in an adolescent unit amounting to £15 000–20 000 (1999 figures: Mersey Regional Young People’s Centre; further details available from the first author upon request). There are, of course, other costs such as disruption of education and family life, some of which may be merely inconveniences, others having relatively long-term repercussions. Other consequences might possibly impact negatively on the disorder itself. Poor self-esteem and lack of social confidence are usually integral features of the disorder. Admission to hospital, particularly with coercive treatments, is unlikely to improve these (Tiller et al., 1993), while a sense of personal ineffectiveness, particularly where this might be rooted in a history of abuse, may be reinforced by enforced treatment. Where patients manage to recover from anorexia nervosa, as in recovery from an addiction or a phobia, it is usually necessary for them to take an active part in treatment especially with regard to making a positive decision to overcome difficulties. The importance of addressing motivation in therapeutic work has recently been explored (Ward et al., 1996). The decision to hospitalise may give an unrealistic message to the patient and family that this is a condition which can be overcome by professionals ‘doing something to’ the patient rather than supporting him or her in the decision to change. It is possible that the decision to admit selects out a poorly motivated subgroup, where early response to treatment has been poor.

All effective treatments are likely to have the potential to cause negative (side-) effects as well as positive benefits. The possible negative consequences of inpatient treatment are under-researched. They may be particularly important for younger subjects. The implication for adult treatment is unclear. Although admission to hospital can clearly provide short-term benefits in terms of restoration of physical health and on occasions might be life-saving, the possibility that lengthy admissions might undermine the potential for longer-term recovery, requires urgent further consideration. In the absence of randomised-controlled trials, less powerful research methods such as those employed here can contribute usefully to the treatment debate.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

- The extra value of in-patient treatment over out-patient management needs further investigation.
- Admission may cause harm as well as benefit in anorexia nervosa.
- Gains achieved in hospital are frequently reversed by longer-term follow-up.

LIMITATIONS

- A randomised-controlled trial is necessary to clarify the relative merits of in- and out-patient approaches.
- Some of this series was rated partly using retrospective methods.
- Comprehensive face-to-face interviews were not obtained for a quarter of the sample.
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