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Background Alzheimer’s disease manifests considerable heterogeneity, the cause of which is unknown.

Aims To determine the familial (genotypic) influence on phenomenology (phenotype) in Alzheimer’s disease.

Method Affected sibling pairs with Alzheimer’s disease were assessed for a range of cognitive and non-cognitive symptoms. Resemblance for phenotypic characteristics was estimated using intraclass correlations for continuous traits and by pairwise concordance for dichotomous traits. The relationship between age of onset and APOE genotype was examined using linear regression analysis.

Results Significant familial effects on age of onset (intraclass correlation 0.41) and mood state (intraclass correlation 0.26), and a relatively high pairwise concordance for agitation (excess concordance 0.1) were found. The APOE locus was found to account for 4% of the variance in age of onset.

Conclusions Substantial familial influence on age of onset, depression and agitation suggests that genotype does influence phenotype in Alzheimer’s disease. Establishing the molecular basis for this phenotypic variation may prove relevant to other neuropsychiatric disorders.
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The reasons for the considerable clinical heterogeneity of Alzheimer’s disease are unknown; we hypothesised that it might reflect genetic heterogeneity. Families with autosomal dominant Alzheimer’s disease have different ages of onset (Mullan et al., 1993), and in late-onset Alzheimer’s disease variation in the apolipoprotein E gene (APOE) is associated with variation in onset age (Meyer et al., 1998). Aphasias and apraxias have been found to be associated with familial Alzheimer’s disease (Breitner & Folstein, 1984; Lampe et al., 1994). Depressive symptomatology characterises some families with early-onset Alzheimer’s disease (Harvey et al., 1998), and a family has been reported in which Alzheimer’s disease was associated with a schizophrenialike psychosis (Sumi et al., 1992). For late-onset Alzheimer’s disease, genes have been associated with psychotic symptoms in Alzheimer’s disease (Holmes et al., 1998; Sweet et al., 1998). However, no systematic study of familial resemblance for phenotypic traits has been reported previously. We therefore conducted an affected sibling-pair phenotype study.

METHOD

Sample

As part of a molecular genetic study of Alzheimer’s disease, DNA samples and clinical data were collected on affected sibling pairs with Alzheimer’s disease, ethical permission having been obtained from the Multicentre Research Ethics Committee and relevant local ethics committees. The sample consisted of White Europeans identified by old age psychiatrists in the UK and assessed by a psychiatrist (N.T.) or psychologist (F.R., S.C., S.L.). Before commencing the study, the psychiatrist and a psychologist assessed patients with Alzheimer’s disease separately, and then the case was reviewed by a panel including an experienced clinician (S.L.). Training was conducted until all investigators reported identical assessment. Consent/assent was established for each individual and agreement was obtained from relatives and carers. Clinical history and current clinical state were established in a standardised manner for each individual; subjects meeting NINCDS–ADRDA criteria (McKhann et al., 1984) for a diagnosis of probable or possible Alzheimer’s disease were included.

Clinical assessment

The standardised interview schedule consisted largely of informant-based scales and batteries with established reliability; of relevance to the present report are: the CAMDEX (Roth et al., 1986), from which age of onset data were obtained; the Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia (CSDD; Alexopoulos et al., 1988); and the Manchester and Oxford Universities Scale for the Psychopathological Assessment of Dementia (MOUSEPAD; Allen et al., 1996). The CSDD is a clinician-administered instrument that utilises information from interviews with both the patient and an informant. Total CSDD scores correlate highly with depressive subtypes classified according to Research Diagnostic Criteria (RDC). The MOUSEPAD is a 59-item instrument that measures psychopathology and behavioural changes in dementia; it has established reliability, sensitivity and validity (Allen et al., 1996).

APOE genotyping

Deoxyribonucleic acid was extracted from venous blood and APOE genotype was determined using standard methods (Wenham et al., 1991).

Data analysis

Phenotypic data relating to age of onset and behavioural and psychiatric signs and symptoms of dementia were analysed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences. The behavioural variables were treated as separate from one another. Support for this approach is given by a factor analysis of behavioural changes in dementia that identified three robust syndromes – overactivity, aggressive behaviour and psychosis – that were stable across time (Hope et al., 1997). Variability in continuous phenotypic traits was partitioned into between- and within-family variation using analysis of variance, in order to distinguish familial from non-familial sources of variation;
intraclass correlations were calculated to quantify the proportion of trait variance accounted for by familial effects, and hence to estimate the strength of familial influences on these traits. Because case-finding for this sample involved recruiting families with two or more living affected siblings, it necessarily excluded deceased affected siblings and siblings potentially at risk but currently below the age range of susceptibility for the disorder. However, age of onset data on deceased affected siblings were included where obtainable. For all other data points, only those clinical variables directly assessed with a living subject were utilised. The relationship between age of onset and APOE alleles was examined using linear regression analysis.

For each dichotomous trait, a pairwise concordance for its presence (the number of pairs in which both siblings are positive for the trait of interest, divided by the total number of pairs) was calculated, as was the expected value under the null hypothesis that familial effects are not operating (calculated as the square of the prevalence of the trait in question).

RESULTS

Age of onset
A total of 106 affected sibling pairs with Alzheimer’s disease were collected: 66% of subjects were female and 43% were living in an institutional setting at the time of sampling. Age of onset data were obtained on 76 pairs, 19 trios and two quartets from the 106 sibships, including those relating to 10 deceased siblings. Intraclass correlations were calculated for each of these three groups following appropriate weighting for sibship size, and a combined estimate, with upper and lower 95% confidence limits, was obtained using Fisher’s z transformation as described in the Appendix. Age of onset ranged from 46 to 91 years (mean=73.61 years, s.d.=7.89). The intraclass correlation (r) for familial influence on variation in age of onset was 0.41 (95% CI=0.25–0.62), indicating that approximately 40% of the variance in this trait is due to familial (genetic and/or shared environmental) effects. APOE genotype data were obtained on 101 sibships; the e2, e3 and e4 allele frequencies were 0.04, 0.52 and 0.44, respectively. The e4 frequency is high in comparison to samples not restricted to multiplex pedigrees (a meta-analysis by Farrer et al (1997) produced a frequency estimate of 0.37 for Caucasians with Alzheimer’s disease) and is consistent with our strategy of recruiting affected sibling pairs (or larger affected sibships), which are likely to be enriched for susceptibility genes for Alzheimer’s disease. The regression coefficient for age of onset by APOE e4 gene dosage was –1.73 (95% CI=–4.77 to 1.31), and by APOE e2 gene dosage was 2.06 (95% CI=–5.37 to 9.49), consistent with previous reports that e4 lowers and e2 elevates the age of onset in a dose-dependent fashion. However, the effect of APOE explained only 4% of the variance in age of onset (95% CI=0.005–0.109). When subjects were dichotomised into groups based on the possession or non-possession of the e4 allele, the 140 subjects identified as having an e4 allele were calculated to have a mean age of onset of 73.26 years, and the 68 subjects identified as having no allele e4 were calculated to have a mean age of onset of 76.37 years: a between-group difference of 3.10 years (t-test for equality of means: t=2.61, P=0.01, assuming unequal variances).

Current mood state
Data on current mood state (measured using position on the Cornell scale) was obtained on 86 pairs. The intraclass correlation for familial influence on variation in this dimension was 0.26 (P<0.05).

Dichotomous traits
For the dichotomous measures of behavioural disturbance at some point during the clinical course, data were obtained on 99 pairs. As in previous studies, we found a high rate of behavioural disturbance, with frequencies as follows: agitation, 0.44; aggression, 0.44; psychosis, 0.41 (with delusions and hallucinations showing frequencies of 0.36 and 0.30, respectively). The pairwise concordance for each trait (with the excess (observed–expected) concordance in parentheses) was as follows: agitation, 0.29 (0.1); aggression, 0.22 (0.03); psychosis, 0.21 (0.04).

DISCUSSION

Familial influence on age of onset
The study provides strong support for the possible role of genetic factors in determining age of onset, accounting for between a quarter and two-thirds of the variance of this trait. This is consistent with the results of a study (Alafuzoff et al, 1994) showing a greater proportion of early age of onset in multiplex, compared with simplex, families. The result obtained is necessarily an overestimate of the true correlation because siblings at risk but, as yet, unaffected were excluded from the sample. A truer estimate might be obtained in the future by a study employing a population-based case-finding design with complete ascertainment. Nonetheless, the present study goes beyond previous work in providing a quantitative estimate of familial effects on variation in this trait. It further suggests that genes other than APOE are likely to contribute to variation in age of onset – APOE is estimated to account for between 0.5 and 11% of the variance in age at onset of Alzheimer’s disease, which is comparable to a previous estimate of 10% for dementia not restricted to Alzheimer’s disease (Slooter et al, 1998). It has been argued that the role of the APOE e4 allele in conferring susceptibility to Alzheimer’s disease may be mediated exclusively through modifying the age of onset; our data are compatible with a model in which other genes (either independently or in conjunction with APOE e4) also modify the age of onset rather than conferring susceptibility per se.

Familial influence on mood state
Evidence of significant familial effects on current mood state within Alzheimer’s disease has been detected. This finding is of considerable interest because the over-representation of depression in Alzheimer’s disease has not hitherto been adequately explained. Although aetiological models suggest that genetic susceptibility and recent life stressors are the major determinants of depression in adults, behavioural genetic work points to genetic influence on variation in depressive symptoms as being greater in older, compared with younger, non-demented individuals (Gatz et al, 1992). APOE is probably a risk factor for neither late-life depression nor depression within Alzheimer’s disease (Cantillon et al, 1997; Schmand et al, 1998). However, an association between depression in Alzheimer’s disease and family history of affective disorder has been reported (Pearlson et al, 1990; Lyketsos et al, 1996; Strauss & Ogrocki, 1996) suggesting the influence of genetic factors unrelated to susceptibility for Alzheimer’s disease.
**Familial influence on behavioural disturbance**

The finding of a significantly elevated pairwise concordance for agitation suggests moderate genetic influence on this trait. Evidence points to relative dopaminergic overactivity as a basis for agitation in Alzheimer’s disease (Sweet et al., 1997). Candidate genes for propensity to agitation in Alzheimer’s disease, therefore, include those genes influencing the functioning of this system, such as the dopamine receptors, polymorphisms in which have been reported to be associated with aggression in Alzheimer’s disease (Sweet et al., 1998). In the present study, only a very modest excess concordance for the presence of aggression was detected. The interrelationship between agitation, aggression and dopamine receptor variation warrants further study.

**Genotype-phenotype correlation in Alzheimer’s disease may identify ‘psychiatric’ genes**

The rich phenotypic variability of Alzheimer’s disease cannot be explained exclusively by genetic variation but, nonetheless, this study does demonstrate that familial factors exert significant influence upon the pattern of symptoms experienced by individuals. Given that siblings are generally exposed to very little shared environment in later life, it seems very unlikely that shared environmental factors influence familial aggregation of symptoms in Alzheimer’s disease. It will be important to replicate this finding in other studies and to examine different traits. Because phenotypic characteristics in Alzheimer’s disease are not stable over time, it will be important to study larger sample sets over time.

Determining the genes that contribute to phenotypic variability in Alzheimer’s disease will be important in understanding this disorder more fully. Behavioural disturbance is a major determinant of carer stress and an important target for therapy, and it is possible that better understanding of the underlying pathogenesis of behavioural disturbance may lead to improved clinical management. However, these findings may also have implications for other psychiatric genetic studies. One hypothesis following from our findings is that genetic vulnerability to psychiatric disorder is revealed by neurodegeneration. That is, some individuals may have a genetic propensity to depression, for example, but other factors protect against its development until the onset of Alzheimer’s disease lowers the susceptibility threshold of these individuals. Our study suggests that in the search for genes for depression, and possibly other psychiatric disorders, Alzheimer’s disease may prove a more fertile hunting ground.

**CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS**

- Behavioural disturbance is common in Alzheimer’s disease and may aggregate in families.
- Genetic factors increasing risk of psychiatric disorders, including depression, may be ‘revealed’ by Alzheimer’s disease.
- Families multiply affected by Alzheimer’s disease show resemblance for age of onset.

**LIMITATIONS**

- The estimate of familial influence on age of onset is necessarily an overestimate because at-risk siblings currently below the age of risk are excluded from the sample.
- Although the largest study of its kind, only 106 sibships were studied.
- The severity of behavioural disturbance was not assessed.

**APPENDIX: ESTIMATION OF INTRACLASS CORRELATION FROM SIBSHIPS**

The data consist of 76 sibling pairs, 19 trios and two quartets. Intraclass correlations ($\rho$) are estimated for these three subsets of data in three separate analyses of variance (ANOVA). These three estimates are then averaged to give an overall intraclass correlation, using the inverse of the sampling variances as weights. For each type of sibship, all possible sibling pairs are formed (i.e. one, three and six sibling pairs for sibships of size two, three and four, respectively). These three sets of sibling pair data are subjected to ANOVA, to obtain three estimates of $\rho$ (calculated as MSB/MSW)/(MSB+MSW), where MSB and MSW are between- and within-pairs mean squares, respectively). These estimates of $\rho$ are subjected to Fisher’s z-transformation, with the resulting normal variates having an approximate sampling variance of $1/(n-2)$, where $n$ is the number of pairs (Donner, 1986). Each sibling pair contributes one independent pair, each trio contributes two independent pairs and each quartet contributes three independent pairs. The numbers of independent pairs from sibling pairs, trios and quartets are therefore 76, 38 and 6, respectively. The normal variates $z$ are averaged according to the inverse of the sampling variances, and back-transformed to give an overall estimate of the intraclass correlation.
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