Incidence of psychotic disorders in immigrant groups to The Netherlands


Background Previous reports on the incidence of schizophrenia in immigrant groups to The Netherlands were based on hospital data.

Aims To compare the incidence of psychotic disorders in the immigrant groups to that in natives.

Method Two-year first-contact incidence study in The Hague.

Results The risks of schizophrenia, schizophreniform or schizoaffective disorder (DSM-IV criteria) were increased for subjects born in Morocco (gender and age-adjusted relative risk = 4.5; 95% CI: 1.4–8.5), Surinam (relative risk = 3.2; 1.8–5.7), The Netherlands Antilles (relative risk = 2.9; 0.9–9.5) and other non-Western countries (relative risk = 2.4; 1.3–4.7). The risk was also increased for Moroccans (relative risk = 8.0; 2.6–24.5) and Surinamese (relative risk = 5.5; 2.5–11.9) of the second generation. The risks for Turkish immigrants, first or second generation, and for immigrants from Western countries were not significantly increased.

Conclusions This study indicates that the incidence of schizophrenia is increased in several, but not all, immigrant groups to The Netherlands. It is possible that factors associated with a process of rapid westernisation precipitate schizophrenia in people who are genetically at risk.

Declaration of interest Supported by the Stanley Foundation.

Considerable interest has been stimulated by reports of an increased incidence of schizophrenia in first- and second-generation Caribbean immigrants to the UK (Harrison et al., 1997). Criticisms of these reports centred on the possibility that people of Caribbean origin are overrepresented in treated incidence studies, the issue of misdiagnosis, the reliability of census data and the lack of control for socio-economic status (Dohrenwend, 1999). The reports were supported by a study of the Dutch psychiatric registry, which found evidence of an increased incidence in the Surinamese and Netherlands Antillean immigrants to The Netherlands (Selten et al., 1997). Since the registry data could have been biased by misdiagnosis or a lower threshold for admission in the immigrant groups, we conducted a first-contact incidence study in The Hague. The aim of our study was to compare the risk of a first contact for psychotic disorders in immigrants with that in natives.

METHOD

Immigrants to The Netherlands

Migration from Turkey and Morocco started in the mid-1960s. The Turkish immigrants came from all parts of the country, but the Moroccans in particular from the Rif mountains in the east. The Dutch colony of Surinam gained independence in 1975 and doubts about the future caused more than one-third of the Surinamese-born population to migrate to The Netherlands. The Surinamese population is ethnically diverse. A study in The Hague distinguished Hindustanis, whose ancestors emigrated in the 19th century from British India to Surinam (80%), Afro-Surinamese (10%) and others (Martens & Verweij, 1997).

A recent survey provided evidence of a ‘tripartition’ of Dutch society. Educational levels and income were found to be lowest for Turkish and Moroccan immigrants.

Surinamese and Antillean immigrants were found to take an intermediate position. In The Hague, the proportions of children growing up in single-parent families were lowest for Moroccans (5%) and Turks (10%) and highest for Hindustanis (28%), other Surinamese (38%) and Antilleans (47%). For ‘natives’ (see ‘Statistical analysis’) this figure was 18% (Martens, 1999).

Recruitment of patients

The criteria for inclusion and exclusion were similar to those used in the World Health Organization (WHO) Ten-Country Study (Jablensky et al., 1992). Subjects whose residence in The Hague was shorter than 6 months or who stayed there illegally were excluded. Collaboration was established with local general practitioners (GPs) and psychiatrists. A team of researchers (N.V., W.F., J.D.B.) tried to interview all subjects aged 15–54 years who made first contact with a physician for a (suspected) psychotic disorder during the period 1 April 1997 to 1 April 1999. The physician responsible made contact between the patient and the team. The researchers asked for written informed consent for: (a) a diagnostic interview; (b) an interview with a key informant; and (c) access to the medical file. Some patients gave consent for only one or two parts of the study. When the patient refused contact with the team, the researchers asked the physician for detailed clinical information, but not the patient’s name, date of birth or address. The study was approved by all relevant ethical committees.

Assessment

Residents in psychiatry (J.D.B./N.V.) interviewed patients using the Dutch translation of the Comprehensive Assessment of Symptoms and History (CASH) (Andreasen et al., 1992). They screened the medical file and asked patients from Surinam (first- and second-generation) to assign themselves to an ethnic group (African, Hindustani or other). A research nurse (W.F.) interviewed key informants (usually a spouse or a close relative), using the Instrument for the Retrospective Assessment of the Onset of Schizophrenia (IRAOs) (Hafner et al., 1992). She also asked the key informants of first- and second-generation immigrants whether they considered the most prominent symptoms normal within the patient’s culture. An official interpreter was asked to help in the administration of CASH or
IRAOS, if necessary. The residents and the nurse were of Dutch origin. They compiled a narrative history of the patient’s illness omitting any clue to the patient’s ethnicity (e.g. sibship size). During a diagnostic meeting two psychiatrists (J.P.S. and D.S./J.O.W./C./M.V.), who remained blind to ethnicity, made a consensus DSM-IV diagnosis (American Psychiatric Association, 1994). They noted whether the presence of a psychotic disorder was possible, very likely or certain and determined the time of onset of psychosis. The latter was impossible in nine patients, because the information was of insufficient quality.

**Study subjects**

One hundred and ninety-seven subjects made first contact for a (suspected) psychotic disorder. Thirty-eight (19.3%) refused contact with the team, but their anonymised data could be assessed. The proportion of refusers among Surinamese (22.2%), Antillean (28.6%) and Turkish subjects (30.0%) was higher than among natives (18.3%), but the reverse was true for Moroccans (13.8%) and others (18.2%). Logistic regression analysis, controlling for gender and age, showed that the differences were not significant (two-tailed P for odds ratios > 0.25). Diagnostic interviews were conducted in 152 of 197 cases (77.2%) and interviews with key informants in 121 of 197 cases (61.4%). From the total of 197 patients, 12 were excluded on the basis of being diagnosed with a substance-induced psychotic disorder or a non-psychotic disorder. A further four patients were excluded because the presence of psychosis was dubious. The patients with a very likely (n=4) or certain (n=177) diagnosis of a psychotic disorder constituted the study sample.

**Statistical analysis**

The municipality of The Hague classifies its citizens according to country of birth, not race or ethnicity. If a citizen was born abroad, he or she is assigned to the group of people born in the same country (e.g. Turkey, first-generation). The Hague divided people born in countries other than The Netherlands, Surinam, The Netherlands Antilles, Turkey or Morocco into a group of people born in Western or Westernised countries (western, northern and southern Europe (including the former Yugoslavia), the USA, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, Japan and Israel) and a group of people born in non-Western countries (all other countries, including the previously communist countries in Eastern Europe). A Dutch-born citizen is considered a second-generation immigrant if at least one parent was born abroad (e.g. Turkey, second-generation). If the parents were born in different foreign countries, the country of birth of the mother is decisive for assignment to a particular group. Natives are Dutch-born citizens whose parents were also born in The Netherlands (see Table 1).

The country of birth of the parents of 10 Dutch-born patients was uncertain, but since these latter conveyed the impression of being culturally Dutch, they were classified as natives. The municipality of The Hague provided population figures, divided according to the above criteria, 5-year age-group and gender, for 1 January 1997, 1998 and 1999. In order to derive person-years at risk for the period of the study (1 April 1997 to 1 April 1999) the population figures for 1997, 1998 and 1999 were multiplied by 0.75, 1.0 and 0.25, respectively, and then added together. First-contact rates were calculated by dividing the number of cases by the number of person-years. In order to compute 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) for these rates a Poisson distribution was assumed (MacMahon & Trichopoulos, 1996). First-contact rates were computed for all psychotic disorders (DSM-IV: schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, schizoaffective disorder, mood disorder with psychotic features, delusional disorder, brief psychotic disorder, shared psychotic disorder or psychotic disorder, not otherwise specified) and for ‘schizophrenic disorders’ (DSM-IV: schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, schizoaffective disorder). Standardised first-contact rates were derived by direct standardisation for age and gender to the total population of The Netherlands as at 1 January 1998. The risks for the following population groups were compared to the risks for natives:

(a) Surinamese, Netherlands Antillean, Turkish, Moroccan and other subjects (first- and second-generation combined);

(b) immigrants born in Surinam, The Netherlands Antilles, Turkey, Morocco, other non-Western countries and those born in Western or Westernised countries (first-generation only);

(c) Surinamese, Netherlands Antillean, Turkish, Moroccan and ‘other’ subjects of the second generation. Most immigrants arrived in the 1970s and 1980s and very few children born to immigrants have as yet reached the age of 30; this last analysis was consequently restricted to people aged 15–29.

Gender and (5-year) age-adjusted relative risks were calculated by Poisson regression analysis, using EGRET (Cytel Software, 1999).

Research into the inverse relationship between schizophrenia and socio-economic status has shown that social selection processes (downward mobility of the genetically

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Numbers of inhabitants of the Hague, aged 15–54 years, by population group; 1 January 1998</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natives</td>
<td>148 618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surinamese, first-generation</td>
<td>22 929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surinamese, second-generation</td>
<td>4 239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands Antilleans, first-generation</td>
<td>3 991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands Antilleans, second-generation</td>
<td>7 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turks, first-generation</td>
<td>13 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turks, second-generation</td>
<td>1 989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moroccans, first-generation</td>
<td>9 769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moroccans, second-generation</td>
<td>1 152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others, first-generation, Western or Westernised 1</td>
<td>12 990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others, first-generation, non-Western 2</td>
<td>19 970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others, second-generation</td>
<td>18 932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>258 493</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Born in the Netherlands and both parents born in the Netherlands.
2. Born in Western, Northern or Southern Europe, USA, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, Japan or Israel.
3. Born in other countries.
from Surinam, The Netherlands Antilles, Morocco and other non-Western countries, but not for immigrants from Turkey, Western or Westernised countries. The same pattern emerged for the risks of a schizophrenic disorder (except for the non-significant elevation in the risk for Netherland Antilleans). The high risk for Moroccon immigrants was due to a large number of cases among males, not females. The patients from non-Western countries had been born in Africa (n=13), Asia (n=5), Central or South America (n=2) or Eastern Europe (n=2).

**Second-generation**
There were no Turkish or Antillean subjects who made first contact, but the risks for Moroccon and Surinamese were greatly increased.

**Socio-economic status of neighbourhood**
Adjustment for this variable had a minor effect (Table 4).

**Interval between psychosis onset and first contact with physician**
This interval was not shorter for the immigrant groups than for natives (Table 5).

**Additional analyses**
At the time of referral to the research team only a minority of the patients were hospitalised: Surinamese 10% (3/31), Antilleans 33% (2/6), Moroccon 21% (6/28), Turks 40% (4/10), natives 29% (19/65) and others 34% (14/41).

**DISCUSSION**

**Summary of findings**
The risks of schizophrenia were found to be increased for immigrants from Morocco, Surinam, the Antilles and other non-Western countries. The risk for immigrants from Turkey and from Western or Westernised countries were not increased. As for young people of the second-generation, the risks were increased for Moroccan and Surinamese subjects.

**Validity**
The findings for the immigrant groups are not due to a low incidence in natives. The first-contact rate for schizophrenic disorders among natives in The Hague is ‘normal’ (e.g. Jablensky et al, 1992). The absence of Antillean and ‘Turkish’ patients of the second generation may be due to the small size of the population at risk. Lack of power could account for the

**Results**
One hundred and eighty-one citizens of The Hague (126 men and 55 women) made first contact for a psychotic disorder in the 2-year study period. The mean age at first contact was 28.3 years (s.d.=9.2) for men and 32.0 years (s.d. =9.5) for women. Table 2 lists the frequencies of the DSM-IV diagnoses made. The first-contact rates for all psychotic disorders and for schizophrenic disorders were 3.5 (95% CI 3.0–4.0) and 2.1 (95% CI 1.7–2.5) per 10,000 population, respectively. For natives these figures were 2.2 (95% CI 1.7–2.7) and 1.2 (95% CI 0.8–1.6) per 10,000 population, respectively. The first-contact rate for schizophrenic disorders in first-generation immigrants from Surinam was 3.7 (95% CI 1.9–5.5) per 10,000. The differences between crude and standardised first-contact rates were minimal. Table 3 gives age- and gender-adjusted relative risks.

**First-generation**
The risks of any psychotic disorder were significantly increased for immigrants.
### Table 3  
Age- and gender-adjusted relative risks of first contact for all psychotic disorders and for schizophrenic disorders for ethnic minorities in The Hague, 1 April 1997 to 1 April 1999

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of population</th>
<th>Person-years at risk (male/female)</th>
<th>All psychotic disorders</th>
<th>Schizophrenic disorders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cases (male/female) Relative risk (95% CI)</td>
<td>Cases (male/female) Relative risk (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First- and second-generation combined, aged 15–54 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natives</td>
<td>151 268/146 682</td>
<td>44/21</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surinamese</td>
<td>26 387/27 798</td>
<td>17/14</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands Antilleans</td>
<td>4713/4696</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turks</td>
<td>16 841/12 958</td>
<td>9/1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moroccans</td>
<td>12 300/9 287</td>
<td>26/2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>53 001/49 796</td>
<td>25/16</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-generation, aged 15–54 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natives</td>
<td>151 268/146 682</td>
<td>44/21</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surinamese</td>
<td>22 211/23 743</td>
<td>11/9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands Antilleans</td>
<td>3931/3977</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turks</td>
<td>14 918/11 041</td>
<td>9/1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moroccans</td>
<td>11 241/8 160</td>
<td>21/1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others, Western or Westernised</td>
<td>12 844/13 079</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others, non-Western</td>
<td>20 881/18 226</td>
<td>15/7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-generation, aged 15–29 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natives</td>
<td>51 069/51 368</td>
<td>24/9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surinamese</td>
<td>3782/3738</td>
<td>6/5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands Antilleans</td>
<td>616/580</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turks</td>
<td>1887/1905</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moroccans</td>
<td>1055/1117</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>7700/7562</td>
<td>6/1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Includes DSM–IV categories schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, schizoaffective disorder, major depressive or bipolar disorder with psychotic features, delusional disorder, brief psychotic disorder, psychotic disorder not otherwise specified.
2. Includes DSM–IV categories schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder and schizoaffective disorder.
4. Born in western, northern, or southern Europe (including former Yugoslavia), the USA, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, Japan or Israel.
5. Born in other countries.

### Table 4  
Relative risks (RRs) of first contact for all psychotic disorders and schizophrenic disorders in the period 1 April 1997 to 1 April 1999 for ethnic minorities in The Hague, based on cases with known addresses and on population data for 1 January 1998, adjusted for age and gender and adjusted for age, gender and socio-economic status of neighbourhood, respectively

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of population</th>
<th>All psychotic disorders</th>
<th>Schizophrenic disorders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RR¹ (95% CI) RR² (95% CI)</td>
<td>RR¹ (95% CI) RR² (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natives</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surinamese</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>(1.4–3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands Antilleans</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>(2.0–8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turks</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>(0.4–2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moroccans</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>(3.0–7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>(1.0–2.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Includes DSM–IV categories schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, schizoaffective disorder, major depressive or bipolar disorder with psychotic features, delusional disorder, brief psychotic disorder, psychotic disorder not otherwise specified.
2. Includes DSM–IV categories schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder and schizoaffective disorder.
3. Adjusted for age and gender.
5. Born in The Netherlands and both parents born in The Netherlands.
6. First- and second-generation combined.
The Netherlands and a prerequisite for obtaining essential documents and possible aid (e.g. income support). In sum, this study confirms the results of both previous studies based on the Dutch registry (Selten & Sijben, 1994; Selten et al., 1997).

**Interpretation**

Some possible explanations are the following: (a) increased incidence of schizophrenia in country of origin; (b) selective migration of people who are genetically at risk; (c) environmental factors in Western Europe precipitate schizophrenia in those who are genetically at risk.

Although there have been no first-contact incidence studies in Surinam, The Netherlands Antilles, Turkey or Morocco, the first explanation is the least plausible. The WHO Ten-Country study found no significant differences in the incidence of schizophrenia, narrowly defined, and studies in the Caribbean found a 'normal' incidence (Jablensky et al., 1992; Mahy et al., 1999).

Although selective migration could explain many of the findings reported here, there are reasons to question this interpretation, particularly for immigrants from Surinam. First, migration from Surinam was on a very large scale. Second, there is no evidence that all subjects at risk for schizophrenia have left Surinam. A chart review found 56 first admissions for DSM-III-R schizophrenia (American Psychiatric Association, 1987) in the single psychiatric hospital in Surinam in 1992 and 1993. Consequently, the average annual first admission rate for people aged 15–54 years was 1.24 per 10 000 (M. Hanoeman, personal communication, 2000). Third, most immigrants recruited for this study were brought to The Netherlands by their parents and any selective mechanisms should have applied to the latter. A problem for an interpretation in terms of environmental factors is how to explain the increased risk in both first- and second-generation immigrants.

One could speculate, for instance, that female immigrants have not been exposed to certain viruses in Europe and that these women, when pregnant, produce an abnormal immune response that damages the foetal brain. But this hypothesis does not explain the high incidence in first-generation immigrants. The stress of acculturation, which is highest for immigrants from developing countries, operates across both generations and one should consider the possibility that a process of rapid Westernisation precipitates schizophrenia in individuals who are genetically at risk. Subjects at risk perform worse on tests that measure the processing of complex information, problem-solving ability and mental flexibility (Cannon et al., 1994) and probably do best when they live in a structured environment. However, since a process of Westernisation will often lead to a breakdown of social bonds and previously consensual world views, many immigrants live in a highly unstructured environment. It is conceivable, therefore, that the interaction between a specific genetic make-up (e.g. reduced capacity to cope with contradictory information) and specific environmental factors (e.g. conflict between traditional and Western culture) leads to high rates of schizophrenia in some immigrant groups. The normal rates for Turkish people in The Netherlands and Asians in the UK could be due to a protective effect of their strong social and family networks. The Turkish community in The Netherlands is highly organised and has founded many bodies to assist its members (Landman, 1992). Given the long history of conflict in the Rif mountains, it is not surprising that the Moroccan community is more divided and less organised (van Gemert, 1998). The high proportion of Hindustani children growing up in single-parent families in The Netherlands suggests that the cohesion in this section of the population is not as strong as in the Asian communities in the UK. Evidence for a greater social stability in the Turkish community is also provided by the crime rates, which are significantly lower for Turkish people than for Moroccans, Antillean and Surinamese subjects in The Netherlands (Leuw, 1997).

A 'stressful Westernisation hypothesis', however, is not without its problems. There is a dearth of evidence that 'psychosocial stress' leads to schizophrenia (Norman & Malla, 1993) and it is possible that other factors associated with Westernisation explain the findings. Perhaps a parallel could be drawn with the putative rise in schizophrenia in 19th-century Europe (Hare, 1983) and the reports that schizophrenia was relatively uncommon in non-Western societies prior to contact with European–American civilisation (Torrey, 1980).

**Limitations**

Three limitations are mentioned below, the most important of which concerns the

---

### Table 5 Mean and interquartile range for interval (in weeks) between onset of psychosis and first contact with physician in period 1 April 1997 to 1 April 1999, for citizens of The Hague, by section of population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of population</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Interquartile range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natives</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1–37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surinamese</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1–49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands Antilleans</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>15–114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turks</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0–26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moroccans</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0–17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Time of onset of psychosis could not be determined in four natives, two Moroccans, one Surinamese and two 'others'.
2. Born in The Netherlands and both parents born in The Netherlands.
3. First- and second-generation combined.
small numbers of cases in some immigrant
groups. The reliability of the assessment of
the time of onset of psychosis was not
examined. Finally, adjustment for socio-
ecological status of neighbourhood is a
crude strategy to control for inequalities.
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CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

- There is evidence of an increased incidence of schizophrenia in immigrants
to The Netherlands from Morocco, Surinam, The Netherlands Antilles and other
non-Western countries, except Turkey.

- There is also evidence of an increased incidence in second-generation Moroccans
and Surinamese.

- It is unlikely that the increased incidence in immigrants from Surinam can be
entirely explained by the selective migration mechanism.

LIMITATIONS

- Since the numbers of cases were small, particularly for second-generation
immigrants, the results should be interpreted with caution.

- Diagnostic interviews were performed for 77.2% of the sample, not 100%.

- Since the researchers were not familiar with all the cultures, we cannot exclude
the possibility that cultural differences between the patient and the researcher led to
some misunderstandings.

JEAN-PAUL SELENT, PHD, NATALIE VEEEN, MD,WILMA FELLER, JAN DIRK BLOM, MD, RENÉ KAHN, PHD,
Department of Psychiatry, University Medical Centre, The Netherlands; DIEDE SCHOLS, MD,
WIBO CAMIOĐI, MD, JOHANNA OOLDERS, MD, MADELINE VAN DER VELDEN, MD,
HANS W. HOEK, PhD, VICTOR M. VLADAR RIVERO, MD, Parnassia Psychiatric Centre, The Hague,
The Netherlands; YOLANDA VAN DER GRAAF, PhD, Department of Clinical Epidemiology,
University Medical Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Correspondence: Dr J. P. Selent, Department of Psychiatry, University Hospital, PO Box 85500,
3508 GA Utrecht, The Netherlands. Tel: 00 31 30 250 8180; fax: 00 31 30 250 5443;
e-mail: j.p.selent@psych.azu.nl

(First received 30 May 2000, final revision 12 October 2000, accepted 13 October 2000)
Incidence of psychotic disorders in immigrant groups to The Netherlands

JEAN-PAUL SELTEN, NATALIE VEEEN, WILMA FELLER, JAN DIRK BLOM, RENÉ KAHN, DIEDE SCHOLS, WIBO CAMOENIE, JOHANNES OOLDER, MADELEINE VAN DER VELDEN, HANS W. HOEK, VICTOR M. VLADAR RIVERO and YOLANDA VAN DER GRAAF

Access the most recent version at DOI: 10.1192/bjp.178.4.367

References
This article cites 12 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at: http://bjp.rcpsych.org/content/178/4/367#BIBL

Reprints/permissions
To obtain reprints or permission to reproduce material from this paper, please write to permissions@rcpsych.ac.uk

You can respond to this article at /letters/submit/bjprcpsych;178/4/367

Downloaded from http://bjp.rcpsych.org/ on April 13, 2017
Published by The Royal College of Psychiatrists

To subscribe to The British Journal of Psychiatry go to: http://bjp.rcpsych.org/site/subscriptions/