Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and life-span development
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Developmentally inappropriate degrees of hyperactivity, inattention and impulsivity are common symptoms in childhood. If of early onset (before 7 years), present in different settings and to a degree that causes functional impairment, a diagnosis of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) or ‘hyperkinetic disorder’ should be considered. The age criterion is crucial to distinguish ADHD and hyperkinetic disorder from later-onset conditions such as agitation depression, adolescent-onset socialised conduct disorder (probably not linked to ADHD) or hypomania, that might appear superficially similar. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and hyperkinetic disorder are commonly associated with language, learning and social impairments. Indeed, in severe cases hyperactivity could be a marker of a multifaceted developmental disorder. Hence, competent assessment usually requires a thorough history, often using a number of sources.

Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder appears to represent a ‘dysmaturity’ of the prefrontal cerebral cortex and subcortical structures such as the basal ganglia. In addition, approximate phenocopies might also emerge from intra-uterine alcohol exposure, brain injury and, possibly, severe preschool school deprivation. However, it is likely that genetic factors contribute significantly to most cases of ADHD and hyperkinetic disorder in representative child populations.

IMPORANCE OF ADHD

Such a biologically oriented view amounts to a major reconceptualisation of childhood behavioural disorder and has made a substantial impact on child and adolescent psychiatry. It could be on the brink of doing so for aspects of adult practice, not least because adult services are likely to be already treating individuals who have or have had ADHD (Dalsgaard et al, 2002). Insights from child and adolescent practice are therefore likely to be relevant to general psychiatrists.

Nevertheless, the idea that ADHD is responsible for a large proportion of seriously disruptive childhood behaviour was accepted only slowly by UK clinicians, who had favoured explanations that were more socially oriented. Hence, conduct disorder, characterised by ‘a repetitive… pattern of dissocial aggressive or defiant conduct’ and regarded as subsequent to various forms of family adversity but without a significant biological predisposition, had tended to prevail.

However, aspects of both conduct disorder and ADHD could characterise the behaviour of the most disturbed children with the poorest prognosis. For this and other reasons ICD–10 included a new category, hyperkinetic conduct disorder (World Health Organization, 1992). It now appears likely that this is not simply two common disorders that occur together but a more severe variant of behavioural disorder with a greater genetic loading than ADHD alone.

A CULTURE-BOUND SYNDROME?

The prevalence of ADHD combined type (i.e. with both inattention and hyperactivity) or hyperkinetic disorder is approximately 1–2% of the primary school population. However, cultural factors appear to influence its prevalence (Leung et al, 1996) and severity (Lynam et al, 2000), a phenomenon familiar to general psychiatrists (Harrison et al, 2001). Indeed, some argue that in recent decades Western culture has tended to evolve to the disadvantage of children as well as other vulnerable groups (Murray et al, 2003). These include, for instance, the loss of extended and even nuclear families, informal social controls, safe play areas and, because of crime or traffic, the general freedom of the outdoors. For older children there is the added risk posed by ubiquitous access to affordable illicit drugs and alcohol.

Therefore, it could be that whereas the prevalence of ADHD as a genetically determined syndrome has not changed, there has been a decline in the capacity of Western culture to cope with and raise these children. The implication for the individual is greater culture-related handicaps and for society, greater comorbid or secondary conduct disorders (Jacobson et al, 2000) with all the social disruption, substance misuse and mortality (through substance misuse, accidents and suicide) that that entails.

An analogous set of secular changes might have also contributed to the increased salience of adult ADHD. Driving presents particular risks for young adults with ADHD. In addition, Western economies require higher levels of education, social competence and self-organisation than in even the fairly recent past (European Commission, 2000), the precise areas that ADHD impairs. Hence, although not culture bound, the significance of ADHD is likely to vary with context.

COMORBIDITY AND LINKS WITH ADULT DISORDER

In keeping with a developmental disorder, the prevalence of ADHD declines with age. Nevertheless, impulsivity, disorganisation, impaired concentration and poor planning can remain as disruptive symptoms. Although ADHD bears a superficial resemblance to hypomanic behaviour, follow-up studies do not seem to point to an increased rate of bipolar disorders (Mannuzza et al, 1998). Also, despite observations that children who are premorbid for schizophrenia bear neurodevelopmental vulnerabilities similar to ADHD, follow-up does not appear to show unusually high rates of schizophrenia (Mannuzza et al, 1998), nor are there raised rates of psychosis in the first degree relatives of children who are hyperactive. Nevertheless, in keeping with the functional impairments linked with the condition, there is considerable affective comorbidity.

The presence of comorbid conduct disorder, possibly because it marks a more severe condition, poses a number of challenges. The likelihood of persistence; approximately 20% of children referred to clinics with ADHD...
young adults, stimulant treatment of ADHD comorbid with substance misuse benefits symptoms of ADHD (e.g. Upadhyaya et al., 2001). The possibility is that where ADHD symptoms are present, even among those with apparently intractable personality problems and even when comorbid with substance misuse, there could be an unrealised potential for treatment.

CONCLUSIONS

It is conceivable that ADHD conveyed valuable qualities in different times and, under certain circumstances, perhaps partial syndromes still do. However, in the contemporary Western context, or indeed among communities affected by the demands of globalisation, ADHD is attended by significant risks to well-being and health. It may symbolise a wider phenomenon; with changing culture, patterns or concepts of disease might change too. Doctors and other health care workers need to adapt to this or risk, conceivably, even losing the confidence of the populations they serve. Effective treatment, but also insight into the complex nature of ADHD, and debate focused on its implications for the way we live, would be a usefully civilising medical and social advance.
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