Time trends in eating disorder incidence
LAURA CURRIN, ULRIKE SCHMIDT, JANET TREASURE and HERSHEL JICK

Background  During the years 1988–1993 the primary care incidence of anorexia nervosa in the UK remained stable, but the incidence of bulimia nervosa increased threefold.

Aims  To determine whether the incidence of anorexia nervosa remained stable, and that of bulimia nervosa continued to increase, in the years 1994–2000.

Method  The General Practice Research Database was screened for new cases of anorexia and bulimia nervosa between 1994 and 2000. Annual incidence rates were calculated for females aged 10–39 years and compared with rates from the previous 5 years.

Results  In 2000 primary care incidence rates were 4.7 and 6.6 per 100 000 population for anorexia and bulimia nervosa, respectively. The incidence of anorexia nervosa remained remarkably consistent over the period studied. Overall there was an increase in the incidence of bulimia, but rates declined after a peak in 1996.

Conclusions  This study provides further evidence for the stability of anorexia nervosa incidence rates. Decreased symptom recognition and changes in service use might have contributed to observed changes in the incidence of bulimia nervosa.

Declaration of interest  None.

Trends in disease incidence are important for conceptualising disease aetiology and planning health services. Several studies have used meta-analysis to determine whether eating disorder incidence has changed over time, although these have largely focused on anorexia nervosa. Prior results indicate a small global increase in the incidence of anorexia nervosa throughout the 20th century (Keel & Klump, 2003), with a stable European incidence since the 1970s (Hoek & van Hoeken, 2003). Only three studies have specifically focused on trends in the incidence of bulimia nervosa, owing to its later recognition as a diagnostic category and the tendency to report prevalence rather than incidence. However, prior work found a threefold increase in the UK primary care incidence of bulimia nervosa between 1988 and 1993 (Turnbull et al., 1996). This leaves two important questions: has the incidence of anorexia nervosa remained stable, and is the incidence of bulimia nervosa continuing to rise as dramatically as previously reported?

RESULTS

METHOD

We analysed the annual incidence rates of eating disorders within a primary care setting, extending the work done by Turnbull et al. (1996). The General Practice Research Database (GPRD; http://www.gprd.com) was searched for newly recorded cases of anorexia and bulimia nervosa between 1994 and 2000 inclusive. This database covers approximately 280 general practitioners and over 3 million patients (about 5% of the total UK population). Although inner-London and smaller practices are slightly underrepresented, the patients are broadly representative of the UK population with respect to age and gender. Diagnostic information was recorded using a modified version of the Oxford Medical Information System (OXMIS) or Read classification system (depending on the year in question). The high quality of data recording has been previously validated (Walley & Mantgani, 1997; Jick et al., 2003).

The GPRD was searched for first-time diagnoses of anorexia and bulimia nervosa made between 1 January 1994 and 31 December 2000. Annual incidence rates were calculated for women aged 10–39 years. This cohort represents the vast majority of registered cases, and was the group considered in the previous study (Turnbull et al., 1996). Incidence rates were calculated by dividing the number of eating disorder cases diagnosed annually by the total number of people in this age group registered with a general practitioner (GP) in that year. These annual incidence rates were then compared with figures collected using an identical method from the years 1988–1993 (Turnbull et al., 1996). In addition, incidence for the total population was calculated for the year 2000, and stratified by age group and gender.

During the period studied there have been changes to the formal diagnostic criteria for bulimia nervosa. However, the GPRD uses general practitioner rather than psychiatric diagnoses, minimising the effect of these changes. In addition, concurrent notes and referral letters for cases from the year of peak incidence were compared with those from the most recent year available to determine whether there had been changes in diagnostic habits.

RESULTS

Over the period studied, annual incidence rates for diagnosed anorexia nervosa remained stable for females aged 10–39 years (Fig. 1). The rate in 1988 was 18.5 per 100 000 (95% CI 10.2–26.9) and in the year 2000 the rate was 20.1 per 100 000 (95% CI 15.0–25.2), with minimal variation in the intervening years. In 2000 the age- and gender-adjusted incidence of anorexia nervosa diagnosed in primary care was 4.7 per 100 000 population (95% CI 3.6–5.8). The incidence rate varied dramatically according to the age–gender group (Table 1). The incidence rate for females was 8.6 per 100 000 (95% CI 6.5–10.6) compared with 0.7 per 100 000 (95% CI 0.1–1.3) for males. This translated to a relative risk for females to males of 12.1. The highest incidence, 34.6 per 100 000 population (95% CI 22.0–47.1), was found in females aged 10–19 years.
The results for bulimia nervosa are very different. As demonstrated by Turnbull et al. (1996), the early 1990s showed a marked increase in primary care incidence for women aged 10–39 years which continued until 1996. Although there was an overall increase in reported cases of bulimia nervosa from 1988–2000, the incidence rate has fallen by 38.9% since this peak (Fig. 1). In 2000 the age- and gender-adjusted incidence of bulimia nervosa in primary care was 6.6 per 100 000 (95% CI 5.3–7.9). The incidence rate for females was 12.4 per 100 000 (9.9–14.9) compared with 0.7 per 100 000 (95% CI 0.1–1.3) for males. This represents a relative risk for females to males of approximately 18:1. The highest incidence, 35.8 per 100 000 (95% CI 23.0–48.6), was in females aged 10–19 years.

To control for the changing criteria applied to bulimia nervosa, diagnostic validity was analysed in a subgroup of cases randomly selected from the years 1996 (n=26) and 2000 (n=19). There are considerable difficulties associated with a retrospective validation of diagnoses owing to the limited information available. Cases were defined as ‘probable bulimia nervosa’ if all but one of the DSM–IV criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) were mentioned in the case history. Seventeen of the cases (37.3%) had insufficient information available to validate diagnoses. Of the remaining cases, a similar proportion of cases in 1996 and 2000 were either ‘full’ or ‘probable’ bulimia nervosa (82.3% and 83.8%, respectively). It is important to note that all of the remaining cases were considered to be eating disorder cases (either ‘not otherwise specified’ or anorexia nervosa).

**DISCUSSION**

The incidence rate of anorexia nervosa in primary care has remained extremely stable over the 12 years studied. In contrast, reported cases of bulimia nervosa increased

---

**Table 1** Incidence of anorexia nervosa per 100 000 population for the year 2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cases n</td>
<td>Registered population n</td>
<td>Incidence (95% CI)</td>
<td>Cases n</td>
<td>Registered population n</td>
<td>Incidence (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>91 168</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>95 646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–19</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>83 866</td>
<td>34.6 (22.0–47.1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>88 092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–39</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>209 761</td>
<td>10.5 (6.1–14.9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>209 525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>374 150</td>
<td>3.7 (1.8–5.7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>342 503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>758 945</td>
<td>8.6 (6.5–10.6)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>735 766</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 2** Incidence of bulimia nervosa per 100 000 population for the year 2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cases n</td>
<td>Registered population n</td>
<td>Incidence (95% CI)</td>
<td>Cases n</td>
<td>Registered population n</td>
<td>Incidence (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>91 168</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>95 646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>83 866</td>
<td>35.8 (23.0–48.6)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88 092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–39</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>209 761</td>
<td>28.6 (21.4–35.8)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>209 525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>374 150</td>
<td>1.1 (0–2.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>342 503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>758 945</td>
<td>12.4 (9.9–14.9)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>735 766</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
during the same period. However, the peak in bulimia cases seen in 1996 was followed by a subsequent decline for the remainder of the study. This decline was almost entirely explained by the decrease in incidence rates for females aged 20–39 years. In 1993 the incidence rate for this group was 56.7 per 100 000 (95% CI 49.2–64.3) (Turnbull et al, 1996), but by 2000 it had fallen to 28.6 per 100 000 (95% CI 21.4–35.8). In contrast, the incidence of bulimia nervosa in women aged 10–19 years has remained relatively stable: 41.0 per 100 000 in 1993 compared with 35.8 per 100 000 in 2000 (Turnbull et al, 1996).

**CONTEXT OF FINDINGS**

The stability of anorexia nervosa incidence is consistent with reports from a review by Hoek & van Hoeken (2003), and the age- and gender-adjusted incidence is comparable with that found in another primary-care study (Hoek et al, 1995). Our data suggest that the previous trend of increasing incidence of bulimia nervosa in primary care has not continued, and therefore the age- and gender-adjusted incidence reported here is lower than other comparable figures (Hoek et al, 1995; Soundy et al, 1995). This finding, that young women aged 10–19 years have the highest risk of both anorexia and bulimia nervosa, corresponds with other epidemiological evidence that eating disorders emerge in late adolescence (Soundy et al, 1995; Lucas et al, 1999; Lewinsohn et al, 2000).

A major strength of our study is the use of a nationally representative primary care database. Because of the structure of the UK health system most patients will pass through the care of a GP, even if later referred to specialist services. Additionally, 20% of patients with anorexia nervosa and 40% of patients with bulimia nervosa are treated exclusively in primary care (Turnbull et al, 1996). Moreover, time trends were assessed using the same method over the entire study period, rather than depending on meta-analysis. However, the use of a primary care database is itself a limitation, in that the reported figures represent clinically meaningful cases rather than those meeting DSM–IV criteria. This parallels the picture seen in other studies of clinical cases. Several specialist services consistently report that the most common diagnosis is ‘eating disorders not otherwise specified’, and these cases are no less severe in presentation or illness duration than those meeting full diagnostic criteria (Millar, 1998; Ricca et al, 2001; Fairburn & Harrison, 2003; Turner & Bryant-Waugh, 2004). A second limitation is that only those identified by their GP are reported in this study; therefore, this study cannot estimate the true community incidence of these disorders. This limitation is shared by all epidemiological studies that use service registers.

**CHANGES IN BULIMIA NERVOSA**

There are several potential explanations of the peak in incidence of bulimia nervosa seen in the 1990s and its subsequent decline. It is possible that patients may now seek help from different sources. During the study period, the UK-based Eating Disorder Association (http://www.edauk.com) has experienced a dramatic increase in demand for its web-based messaging and e-mail service (S. Ringwood, Eating Disorder Association, personal communication, 2004). Perhaps patients are now turning to a range of different support services, rather than relying primarily on their GP. Another possible explanation relates to changes in professional or public attention to eating disorder symptoms. The earlier period of rising incidence of bulimia might have been the result of
increased recognition and detection efforts given to a new and ‘fashionable’ diagnosis. In line with this theory, the decrease in identified cases mirrors a decline in eating disorder research publications. Between the years 1960 and 2000 the number of references about eating disorders in general – and bulimia in particular – grew proportionately much faster than the total number of Medline citations (Theander, 2002). However, during the 1990s this rate slowed, and eating disorder literature is now published at a slightly lower rate than general medical literature. Perhaps during the period of intense academic interest, clinicians were more attuned to eating disorder diagnoses and symptoms. This would have specific implications for bulimia nervosa as it is typically a hidden illness, whereas anorexia nervosa is more instantly recognizable.

Intense UK press coverage of bulimia during the 1990s might also have contributed to the apparent rise in incidence. For example, the first reports of Princess Diana’s battle with bulimia appeared in Andrew Morton’s 1992 book *Diana: Her True Story* (Morton, 1992), and subsequent media interest might have focused attention on bulimic symptoms and improved public awareness of the disorder. It is notable that the Princess’s death in 1997 coincided with the beginning of the decline in bulimia incidence. Greater familiarity has been implicated in the increased incidence of other diseases, including autism and repetitive strain injury (Brogmus et al., 1996; Kaye et al., 2001). Identification with a public figure’s struggle with bulimia might have temporarily decreased the shame associated with the illness, and encouraged women to seek help for the first time. This would suggest that some of the 1990s peak might have been caused by the identification of long-standing cases, rather than a true increase in community incidence. The finding that the recent decline is largely due to a reduction in incidence in the older group (women aged 20–39 years) supports this conclusion.

**FUTURE WORK**

Further research is needed to determine whether the reported incidence of bulimia nervosa will continue to decline in the UK, or whether this is the beginning of a stabilisation that echoes the stable incidence observed for anorexia nervosa. This work suggests a need for increased recognition and treatment efforts, especially for adolescent women. Even subclinically disordered eating behaviour during adolescence elevates the risk of a broad range of physical and mental health problems during early adulthood (Johnson et al., 2002) and eating disorder symptoms in adolescence confer a high risk of eating disorders in young adulthood (Kotler et al., 2001). In addition, the National Institute for Clinical Excellence (2004) has recently called attention to the lack of research in adolescents with bulimia nervosa. Given that this age group now shows the highest incidence of bulimia in primary care, there needs to be renewed emphasis on research in this area.
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