Sub-syndromal and syndromal symptoms in the longitudinal course of bipolar disorder
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Background There have been few detailed longitudinal symptom studies of bipolar disorder.

Aims To describe the course of bipolar disorder over 18 months in 204 patients receiving mental healthcare.

Method Patients were interviewed every 8 weeks, with weekly ratings of depression, mania and overall severity.

Results Participants were symptomatic 53% of the time, with sub-syndromal symptoms present for twice as long as major disorder, and depressive symptoms three times more than manic symptoms. Individuals who were experiencing an episode at baseline spent 33% of the 18 months with substantial sub-syndromal symptoms, 17% with major disorder and 28% symptom free. Those not experiencing a baseline episode spent twice as long symptom free and half as long at disorder levels. Changes in symptom level were frequent. Predictors of sub-syndromal symptoms were similar to those of major disorder.

Conclusions Sub-syndromal residual symptoms are an important problem in recurrent bipolar disorder and require therapeutic intervention.

Declaration of interest None. Funding detailed in Acknowledgements.

The course of unipolar affective disorder is now recognised to show not only clear-cut episodes of major disorder, but also considerable periods of inter-episode lower-level symptoms (Paykel et al, 1995; Judd et al, 1998), with continuity between major and minor symptoms and subtypes. In bipolar disorder, whereas milder forms such as bipolar II disorder and cyclothymic disorder are well recognised, there has been less study of subthreshold symptoms between major episodes. Two of us (Kennedy et al, 2003) recently reported a 10-year longitudinal follow-up study of symptom levels in unipolar depression. The present paper reports the first UK longitudinal study of bipolar disorder, using similar methods.

METHOD

Sample
The sample was from a randomised controlled trial of cognitive therapy previously reported in detail (Scott et al, 2006). At five sites (Cambridge, Glasgow, Liverpool, Manchester and Preston), including both inner-city and more-rural areas, all eligible patients with bipolar disorder were recruited from general adult psychiatry services that served defined geographical catchment areas. Participants were given oral and written information and gave written informed consent. Ethical approval was given by the North-East Multicentre Research Ethics Committee in the UK.

Inclusion criteria were:
(a) age 18 years or more;
(b) history of bipolar I affective disorder meeting DSM–IV criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 1994);
(c) history of two or more episodes of illness meeting DSM–IV criteria for mania, hypomania, major depressive disorder or mixed affective disorder, one of which must have been within 12 months of recruitment;
(d) currently, or in past 6 months, contact with mental health services.

Exclusion criteria were:
(a) bipolar disorder secondary to an organic cause;
(b) continuous illicit substance misuse;
(c) currently meeting DSM–IV criteria for mania (these patients were included after symptoms improved and patients with current hypomania or a mixed affective episode were included);
(d) rapid-cycling bipolar disorder (more than four episodes alternating in the past year with less than a month between);
(e) severe borderline personality disorder with suicidal ideation or intent in the past 3 months;
(f) current systematic psychological treatment for bipolar disorder;
(g) inability to read and write English.

Treatment and follow-up
Participants were randomised by minimisation, to receive in equal proportions either treatment as usual plus cognitive therapy from trained therapists in up to 20 sessions over 26 weeks. All patients were followed up if possible for a further 12 months to a total of 18 months (72 weeks), irrespective of recurrence or drop-out from the controlled trial.

Outcome measures
Research assistants masked to treatment condition conducted baseline assessment interviews before randomisation and then every 8 weeks for 72 weeks. Training was undertaken for a preliminary 3 months, including joint monthly meetings with audiotaped practice interviews reviewed and re-rated, and differences were discussed and resolved. Reliability was subsequently assessed.

Baseline data included: diagnoses made using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM–IV Axis I disorders (SCID; First et al, 1997) and DSM–IV (American Psychiatric Association, 1994); background information collected to cover a range of socio-demographic, life-history and clinical variables; baseline ratings made on the repeated measures.
Repeated ratings each 8 weeks employed an interview combining SCID, DSM-IV criteria, cross-sectional ratings on the 17-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD; Hamilton, 1960) and the Bech–Rafaelsen Mania Rating Scale, Modified Version (MAS; Licht & Jensen, 1997) and other data.

Longitudinal severity ratings of symptom levels were made at baseline for the current week and at follow-up interviews for each week since the last assessment (8 weeks), based on the LIFE–II Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation (LIFE–II; Keller et al., 1987; Keller et al., 1992). Two LIFE scores were rated, one for mania and one for depression, and each used a six-point scale where 1 = no symptoms. The criteria used for these ratings are shown in Table 1. Rating scores could be assigned simultaneously for mania and depression if mixed symptoms were present. For analysis, a further rating of overall severity with the most-severe rating for either mania or depression was used, irrespective of which. For analysis these were grouped into four levels: 1 = no symptoms; 2 = minor symptoms; 3,4 = sub-syndromal symptoms; 5,6 = major disorder. Interrater reliability on the LIFE and other measures was assessed by the method of Bland & Altman (1986) as used for repeatability, on 110 joint ratings from tapes. For the depression rating only 3.6% (4 out of 110), and for the mania rating 2.7% (3 out of 110), of rating pair differences from their joint means were outside Bland & Altman’s acceptable range of 2 s.d. of the distributions of these differences. The mean differences were −0.01 for depression and +0.26 for mania, both with an s.d. of 0.62.

**Data analyses**

Overall trial outcome showed no significant differences between the treatment groups on recurrence, LIFE scores or other key rating variables (Scott et al., 2006). The analyses in this paper combine the two treatment groups, and are based on LIFE ratings, using the three scores respectively for depression, mania and overall severity, each grouped according to the four levels described in the previous section. To avoid overemphasis of small fluctuations, LIFE scores were averaged over the four weekly ratings to provide a monthly rating, and means were rounded to the nearest integer (0.5 rounded up), for grouped analyses. Data were analysed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences for Windows, version 11.0. Group differences were tested for significance by two-tailed t-tests, with the 5% level accepted. Predictor analyses were by univariate logistic regressions.

**RESULTS**

**Sample characteristics**

In total, 253 patients were included in the main controlled trial, derived from 1260 patients available for initial screening; the major cause of loss was failure to meet inclusion criteria, and also some non-consent for the controlled trial (Scott et al., 2006). For the analyses reported here, to avoid bias in later ratings owing to selective loss of participants with early drop-out and subsequent missing ratings, the sample was reduced by eliminating 49 individuals who lacked all subsequent ratings at any point before 40 weeks (including 17 missing all ratings after baseline, and 8 lacking all ratings after 8 weeks). Other missing ratings were not imputed, but percentages and means were based on available ratings.

The remaining 204 patients were of mean age 42.0 years (s.d.=11.0); 133 (65%) were women; 101 (50%) were of social class Registrar General classification Classes I–III (non-manual); 133 (65%) were not in bipolar episode at inclusion; 52 (26%) were in a major depressive episode; and 19 (9%) were in a hypomanic or mixed episode. DSM-IV diagnoses of most recent episodes were depressive 107 (52%), manic 66 (32%) and hypomanic 31 (15%). Participants had had a median of 11 previous bipolar episodes (depression median 5, manic median 4); 67 (33%) had received a lifetime diagnosis of a comorbid non-bipolar disorder; 89 (44%) had a history of previous substance misuse or dependence; and 179 (88%) were receiving mood stabilisers (lithium or anticonvulsants) at baseline.

Comparison of the 49 patients omitted with the 204 included, on the variables later used in predictor analyses, showed some differences significant at the 5% level. Excluded patients had significantly higher baseline scores on the LIFE overall and depression scores, HRSD total score and
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**Table 1** Weekly LIFE rating scale for depression and mania

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Cue</th>
<th>Rating-point definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Definite, severe</td>
<td>Meets DSM–IV criteria for definite major depressive episode or manic episode, and either prominent psychotic symptoms or extreme impairment in functioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Definite</td>
<td>Meets DSM–IV criteria for definite major episode but no prominent psychotic symptoms and no extreme impairment in functioning. (For depression, HRSD score probably 17 or above. For mania, MAS probably 15 or more.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Marked</td>
<td>Does not meet definite DSM–IV criteria for depressive or manic episode, but major symptoms of the disorder, and some functional impairment. (For depression, HRSD probably between 13 and 16.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Partial remission</td>
<td>Considerably less psychopathological impairment than full criteria, and less than in score 4. Some clear evidence of symptoms of the disorder, but with no more than moderate impairment in functioning. (For depression, HRSD probably between 9 and 12.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Minor symptoms</td>
<td>Presence of symptoms of the disorder in relatively mild degree. (For depression HRSD probably between 5 and 8.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Usual self</td>
<td>Patient has no residual symptoms of the disorder. Significant symptoms from some other condition or disorder may or may not remain: if so, this should be recorded under that condition or disorder. (For depression, HRSD probably 4 or less. For mania, MAS probably less than 7.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LIFE, Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation–II; HRSD, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; MAS, Bech–Rafaelsen Mania Rating Scale, modified version.

1. Separate ratings were made for depression and mania. Reproduced scale is slightly abridged, with rating scale cue scores for depression and mania combined. HRSD and MAS scores were specified as rough guidelines only.
2. For analysis these levels were grouped to four: 1 = no symptoms; 2 = minor symptoms; 3,4 = sub-syndromal symptoms; 5,6 = major disorder.
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There was no unipolar comparison group in the present study, but a comparison was available from a 10-year follow-up study (Kennedy et al, 2004), by using only patients with at least one previous episode in that study. These people spent a mean of 52% of follow-up months asymptomatic, 20% at LIFE sub-syndromal symptom levels, 15% with minor symptoms and 13% at major depressive disorder level. These findings are very similar to overall severity for those not in episode in the present study, appropriate for comparison since unipolar index major episodes had been up to 10 years earlier. This suggests that when mania and depression are combined, symptom levels are similar to unipolar disorder.

Changes over time

Figure 1 plots the four symptom levels over time on the overall LIFE severity score, separately for participants initially not in episode and in episode. Levels remained approximately constant in those individuals not in episode at baseline. Those in baseline episode showed a gradual improvement, with a greater proportion of time spent at lower symptom levels; however, even after 18 months they tended to spend less asymptomatic time than those not in baseline episode. As shown in the figures, only a minority of the patients rated as in episode at baseline scored at the major symptom level during the first month. This was because the others had improved since the episode, but not for sufficient time (8 weeks) to meet the DSM–IV criteria for being out of episode.

Symptom levels over 18 months

Table 2 shows the mean percentages of total months at the four grouped symptom levels over the 18 months, separately for participants in episode at baseline (depression, hypomania, mixed) and not in episode. Individuals in episode tended to spend more time at all symptomatic levels, and less time asymptomatic, than those not in baseline episode. For people in episode initially, on the overall rating 28% of months were asymptomatic, 22% at minor symptom level, 33% at substantial sub-syndromal level and 17% at major symptom level. Regarding people not initially in episode, 55% of months were asymptomatic, 19% at substantial sub-syndromal level and 6% had major symptoms. Consistently, in both groups, about three times as much time was spent with depressive symptoms as with manic symptoms. When the two episode groups were combined (not shown in the table), a mean of 47% of months were asymptomatic, 20% at minor symptom level, 23% at sub-syndromal level and 10% at major symptom level.

Because patients excluded from analysis (n=49) had characteristics suggesting a worse prognosis, a further analysis was undertaken, including them where possible. This is shown in the data supplement to the online version of this paper, with scores for the reported sample of 204 patients for comparison. For the overall severity rating, inclusion of the omitted individuals would have rendered about 4% fewer months asymptomatic, largely because of more months at major level, at least in the earlier, less biased months.

VARIABILITY IN SYMPTOM LEVEL

Table 3 shows the extent of changes in the grouped symptom levels during the 18-month follow-up period. Over the 18 months there were means of 5.4 changes in depression level, 3.0 changes in mania and 6.0 changes in overall severity level. Only 6% of participants remained at a constant level of overall severity throughout the follow-up. Since these changes were in levels averaged over 4 weeks, this reflects a considerable tendency to change levels. When examined separately, participants not in episode at baseline had a mean of 5.7 changes and participants in episode had a mean of 6.6 changes.

Again, a partial unipolar comparison is provided by data from Kennedy et al (2004). In that study monthly changes were less frequent, at a mean of two annually. Although in that retrospective study some changes might have been missed, and symptoms may tend to stabilise over the longer term, these findings suggest greater variability in bipolar disorder.

Judd et al (2002) reported changes in level weekly, so these were also examined. Over the entire sample there was a mean of 9.4 week-by-week changes in depression level and 5.4 in mania. For participants in episode at baseline, the mean changes were depression 11.3 and mania 7.2; for those not in episode, the mean changes were depression 8.6 and mania 4.6.

Changes in polarity on the averaged monthly ratings during the study were infrequent. Only three patients showed changes from a major level of one pole to a major level of the other. A larger number, 20 patients, showed changes from sub-syndromal levels in one direction to the other (12 individuals changing once, 7 twice and 1 three times). However, when weekly changes were examined, in order to compare with Judd et al (2002) 34 (17%) participants showed changes from a major level at one pole to a major level at the other, allowing up to 8 weeks between changes, and 15 of these people showed more than one change. Such
fluctuations therefore, although not infrequent, tended to be brief.

**Predictors of time spent at different symptom levels**

In order to examine whether predictors for sub-syndromal and major symptoms were the same or different, two separate sets of analyses were undertaken to predict length of occurrence, respectively, of sub-syndromal symptoms (overall LIFE levels 3, 4) and of symptoms at major level (overall LIFE levels 5, 6). Logistic regression was used, since outcome distributions were skewed. For each analysis the outcome measure was dichotomised to short and long at the median length: for sub-syndromal symptoms, 21% of follow-up months ($n$ above=93, below=111): for major symptoms, any ($n$=103) v. none ($n$=101). A reduced set of 15 predictor variables was derived from initial and background variables, to focus on those likely to be of relevance from the earlier literature but eliminating variables with substantial overlap, low frequency or unreliability.

Significant predictors were very similar in the two analyses. More time at both symptom levels was predicted significantly by more previous episodes, shorter time since resolution of the last episode, and higher baseline HRSD and LIFE scores. Significantly more time at one level and a trend ($P<0.10$) for the other was predicted by higher social class, last episode depressive rather than manic, higher baseline MAS and history of substance misuse. Family history of affective disorder in a first-degree relative predicted shorter time with major symptoms ($P<0.05$), possibly owing to chance. Only one variable, being in episode at baseline, predicted in opposite directions for the two outcomes, predicting longer time with major symptoms and shorter time with sub-syndromal symptoms, possibly because of the time spent with major disorder shortly after baseline. There was no significant prediction by gender, current age, age at first episode, lifetime comorbid diagnosis or being on a mood stabiliser at baseline.

**DISCUSSION**

This longitudinal study is the first of its kind reported in UK patients with bipolar disorder. It has found that sub-syndromal symptoms constitute a considerable clinical element over time, present for twice as long as major symptoms. Patients not in episode at baseline did better than those in episode, but spent only half the time completely free of symptoms. Changes of symptom level were frequent. Predictors of substantial sub-syndromal symptoms were similar to those of major symptoms, predominantly related to greater severity and previous history.

The study methods had some advantages. Symptoms were evaluated more frequently than in most similar studies, by trained interviewers using established rating scales to anchor the LIFE ratings. Although they all had had an illness episode in the past year, more than half of the participants were not in episode at baseline. Most follow-up studies start in an episode, weighting outcomes towards adverse, except in the very long term. Furthermore, the sample was large and from diverse centres.

There were also some limitations. Participants were selected for a cognitive therapy trial, albeit one aiming at wide inclusion. Criteria excluded patients with first episodes, mania until improved and recent rapid cycling, and there were some trial refusals. Some of these weight towards more recurrence and some against it. Biases in trial recruitment tend to produce better-prognosis patients, but in this study outcome was relatively poor. Overall, the participants were probably representative of patients with bipolar disorder in the care
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**Table 3** Numbers of participants showing changes in monthly LIFE symptom levels over 18 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes in scores at:</th>
<th>Changes in depression levels</th>
<th>Changes in mania levels</th>
<th>Changes in overall severity levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>$n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One level</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two levels</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three levels</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All four levels</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of changes (s.d.)</td>
<td>5.4 (3.5)</td>
<td>3.0 (3.1)</td>
<td>6.0 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of National Health Service mental health services, but did not represent those not requiring this care.

**Comparisons with other studies**

Although minor forms of the bipolar spectrum without major episodes, such as cyclothymia and bipolar II disorder, are well recognised (Akiskal, 1983a), there has been considerably less attention paid to subthreshold symptoms between major episodes.

The major most directly comparable US study (Judd et al., 2002) reported on longitudinal state over 13 years in 146 patients with bipolar I disorder, using weekly LIFE scores but based on retrospective ratings, initially 6-monthly and later annually. Patients were asymptomatic about 50% of the time, spent about 15% of the time at sub-syndromal level, another 20% with minor symptoms, and only 12% of weeks with major disorder. These findings are comparable with those for our patients not in episode at baseline. In both studies depressive symptoms predominated over manic and hypomanic symptoms, and changes in symptom levels over time were frequent. Judd et al. (2002) found six changes in weekly ratings per year.

Morris (2002) has reviewed the limited additional literature on inter-episode sub-clinical symptoms in bipolar disorder, using studies with less systematic longitudinal assessment. Inter-episode symptoms, often fluctuating and sometimes prodromal to relapse, have been reported in a number of studies (Molnar et al., 1987; Dion et al., 1988; Keller et al., 1992; Gitlin et al., 1995; Keitner et al., 1996). Recently Joffe et al. (2004) found that 50% of the time over a year or more, patients with bipolar I and II disorder were euthymic, 41% were depressed and only 6% manic. Studies have also pointed to considerable associated social disability. We will report on impaired social adjustment in the present study separately.

Few studies have examined predictors of subthreshold symptoms separately. Nolen et al. (2004), combining major and lesser symptoms, found severity of depression and mania predicted by more previous depressive and manic episodes respectively; and mania only was predicted by comorbid substance misuse, which is somewhat similar to our study. Our main finding was that predictors of sub-syndromal symptoms and of major disorder were essentially the same, in spite of the theoretical possibility that longer periods of one might leave less time for the other. This suggests that major and sub-syndromal symptoms are part of the same continuous phenomenon, which is also strongly supported by the frequent changes in levels over the range.

There is more extensive literature on residual and sub-syndromal symptoms in unipolar affective disorder and on lower-grade subtypes, including dysthymic disorder (Akiskal, 1983b), recurrent brief depression (Angst et al., 1990) and sub-syndromal depression (Judd et al., 1994). It has become clear that similar subthreshold symptoms occur following and between major depressive episodes (Paykel et al., 1995; Judd et al., 1998), with considerable continuity between major and minor forms. Our comparison with the Kennedy et al. (2004) follow-up study suggests that symptom levels tend to be similar over time in bipolar and unipolar disorder, but that variability is greater in the former.

**Therapeutic implications**

Our findings underline the need for careful monitoring of the mental state of people with bipolar disorder, and for continuation and maintenance treatment, particularly for those who have had an episode in the past year.

There have been very few treatment studies to target sub-syndromal symptoms. Keller et al. (1992) reported a controlled trial in which patients with bipolar disorder received lithium doses at higher plasma levels of 0.8–1.0 mmol/l or lower levels of 0.4–0.6 mmol/l. Both inter-episode sub-syndromal symptoms and major relapses were reduced. This study merits replication and greater attention in treatment recommendations. Some other studies have shown benefit on symptom ratings or affective morbidity, but without separating morbidity due to major relapse. These include trials of lithium, valproate (Bowden et al., 2000) and lamotrigine (Bowden et al., 2003).

There have been limited attempts to examine effects of cognitive–behavioural therapy (CBT) and other psychological therapies on symptoms rather than relapse. Scott et al. (2001), in a small pilot controlled trial, found significant benefit on symptom self-ratings in patients receiving CBT. However, in the large controlled trial from which the present sample was derived, CBT did not produce any benefit on LIFE scores. Lam et al. (2003) found limited effect of CBT on symptom ratings and larger effects on relapse prevention. Miklowitz et al. (2003) also reported some benefit on mood symptom ratings from family-focused psychoeducation. Again, these analyses have not distinguished symptoms during relapse from inter-episode symptoms.

The findings of high prevalence of inter-episode sub-syndromal symptoms in bipolar disorder, confirmed in the present study, mandate greater vigilance in clinical practice in detecting and treating these to obtain a symptom-free state, and point to the needs for these issues to be incorporated into treatment guidelines, and for further therapeutic trials aimed at ameliorating these symptoms.
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Table DS1  Mean percentages of months spent at LIFE symptom levels over 18 months; reported sample
\((n = 204)\) compared with full initial study sample \((n = 253)\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of months</th>
<th>Depression</th>
<th>Mania</th>
<th>Overall severity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>at level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Reported</td>
<td>Full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sample</td>
<td>sample</td>
<td>sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>((n = 253))</td>
<td>((n = 204))</td>
<td>((n = 253))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No symptoms (1)</td>
<td>47.9 (35.5)</td>
<td>52.8 (33.4)</td>
<td>82.4 (22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor symptoms</td>
<td>20.7 (20.2)</td>
<td>19.7 (15.6)</td>
<td>10.3 (15.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-syndromal symptoms (3/4)</td>
<td>21.5 (23.4)</td>
<td>20.5 (20.1)</td>
<td>5.2 (8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major disorder (5/6)</td>
<td>10.1 (19.6)</td>
<td>7.9 (12.1)</td>
<td>2.1 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LIFE, Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation—II.
In full initial study sample \(n = 253\), 49 people excluded from longitudinal sample \(n = 204\) were analysed only for months with scores available, resulting in some bias. These people were excluded from the main reported analyses because they had less than 10 months of data available.
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