Vagus nerve stimulation in chronic treatment-resistant depression

Preliminary findings of an open-label study
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Summary We evaluated the efficacy and safety of vagus nerve stimulation therapy in the treatment of 11 patients with chronic treatment-resistant depression. Mood was evaluated at frequent intervals over the year following implantation. All measures of depression, including the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression reduced significantly. The response and remission rates were 55% and 27% respectively at 1 year. Side-effects were common, and some were severe.
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Major depressive disorder is one of the leading causes of morbidity in the world (Ustun et al, 2004). Chronicity, where there is ongoing unremitting major depression for at least a 2-year period, occurs in approximately 2.1% of the world population and is associated with severe impairment in psychosocial functioning (Scott, 2000). Vagus nerve stimulation therapy has been found to be an effective treatment for the management of resistant epilepsy and to be efficacious in some trials involving individuals with treatment-resistant depression (George et al, 2000). The aim of this study was to evaluate the safety and efficacy of vagus nerve stimulation therapy in 11 patients with chronic treatment-resistant depression.

METHOD

Participants and setting

Patients were recruited from Beaumont Hospital, Dublin. This centre was part of the European safety and efficacy study for vagus nerve stimulation therapy in treatment-resistant depression (D-03). Patients were eligible for inclusion if they fulfilled criteria for major depressive disorder, suffered from a chronic (>2 years) current episode, scored ≥20 on the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD; Hamilton, 1960) and had failed to respond to antidepressants from at least two different categories. Major depressive disorder was diagnosed using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (First et al, 1996), and was measured using multiple scales including the HRSD and the Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology – Subjective Rating (Rush et al, 1986). Ethical approval was obtained locally. All patients gave fully informed written consent and were made aware of all potential complications.

RESULTS

All participants were White, with a mean age of 43 (s.d. = 8.72) years, and 73% were female. Overall, patients had a long history of depression with a mean length of illness of 20 (s.d. = 8.34) years. They had a median episode duration of 46 months; only 2 had not had a previous episode. Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) had been received by 55%.

Table 1 Mean (s.d.) scores on Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD–24), Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) and Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology – Subjective Rating (IDS–SR) for 11 patients at 0, 3, 6 and 12 months after vagus nerve stimulation therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating scale</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>3 months</th>
<th>6 months</th>
<th>1 year</th>
<th>One-way ANOVA F score (d.f. = 40,3)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HRSD–24</td>
<td>36.36 (3.44)*</td>
<td>28.27 (8.52)</td>
<td>29.73 (8.83)*</td>
<td>19.27 (12.74)</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADRS</td>
<td>39.45 (5.43)*</td>
<td>30.55 (10.50)</td>
<td>31.55 (10.64)</td>
<td>24.27 (13.03)</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDS–SR</td>
<td>57.81 (8.44)*</td>
<td>43.73 (13.53)</td>
<td>41.45 (15.67)</td>
<td>31.81 (19.41)</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) between the depression scores over the time points. *Post-hoc P < 0.05 compared with the score at 12 months.
Clinical outcomes
All measures of depression were statistically reduced at 1 year compared with baseline (Table 1). There was one responder at 3 months, two responders at 6 months and 6 responders at 1 year. Three patients had remitted (defined as HRSD−24<10) by 1 year. Covarying for medications added during the study period did not result in any loss of statistical significance (F (39,4)=6.5, P<0.001).

There were several serious adverse events. Patient 1, a treatment non-responder, died by suicide at 9 months following implantation, but had not revealed this intention verbally. Patient 2 experienced a vocal cord palsy during implantation, but had not revealed this event. Patient 1, a treatment non-responder, was ineffective, seeing that patient 1 left a hospital, where 50 such procedures are performed annually. The findings from our trial are in keeping with previous observations of a response rate of 40% over a period of at least 1 year with vagus nerve stimulation treatment for major depressive disorder, suggesting that adequate time should be allowed before conclusions can be reached about clinical efficacy (Marangell et al., 2002).

Current physical treatment approaches to resistant depression include ECT (Folkerts et al., 1997) and psychosurgery (Bridges et al., 1994). ECT has a high incidence of reported adverse side-effects (UK ECT Review Group, 2003). Psychosurgery by its very nature will always be a treatment of last resort. It has been reported that 63% of patients receiving psychosurgery in the UK for resistant depression are markedly improved as a result (Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2002). Deep brain stimulation therapy has been reported to be effective in 4 out of 6 cases of resistant depression (Mayberg et al., 2005).
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DISCUSSION
This study suggests that vagus nerve stimulation may be an effective treatment for some individuals suffering from chronic treatment-resistant depression. The response rates for the acute phase of the study were disappointing, in that only one patient responded after 3 months. By 1 year, 55% of the sample had responded to treatment, suggesting that long-term follow-up is required to realise the therapeutic potential of vagus nerve stimulation treatment. The placebo response in these patients was typically poor and so probably does not account for the response rate (Stewart et al., 1993).

There was a striking number of adverse events in this study. It could be reasonably argued that the suicide was unrelated to the treatment, except insofar as treatment was ineffective, seeing that patient 1 left a suicide note explaining her intention before treatment to kill herself if the therapy did not cure her depression. It is more likely that the pulmonary emboli that were experienced by patient 2 were related to her cancer or antipsychotic medication, rather than the vagus nerve stimulation. The vocal cord palsies experienced by patients 3 and 4 (18% of the sample) would appear to an idiosyncratic finding: although this is a direct consequence of the surgical procedure, it usually only occurs in 1% of cases both internationally (George et al., 2000), and within the study hospital, where 50 such procedures are performed annually.

The vocal cord palsies experienced by patients 3 and 4 (18%) of the sample would appear to be an idiosyncratic finding: although this is a direct consequence of the surgical procedure, it usually only occurs in 1% of cases both internationally (George et al., 2000), and within the study hospital, where 50 such procedures are performed annually.
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