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**Background** Hallucinations are perceptions in the absence of a corresponding external sensory stimulus. However, during auditory verbal hallucinations, activation of the primary auditory cortex has been described.

**Aims** The objective of this study was to investigate whether this activation of the auditory cortex contributes essentially to the characteristic of hallucinations and whether the auditory activation is a sign of increased general auditory attention to external sounds.

**Method** The responsiveness of the auditory cortex was investigated by auditory evoked potentials (N100) during the simultaneous occurrence of hallucinations and external stimuli. Evoked potentials were computed separately for periods with and without hallucinations; N100 power, topography and brain electrical sources were analysed.

**Results** Hallucinations lowered the N100 amplitudes and changed the topography, presumably due to a reduced left temporal responsivity.

**Conclusions** This finding indicates competition between auditory stimuli and hallucinations for physiological resources in the primary auditory cortex. The abnormal activation of the primary auditory cortex may thus be a constituent of auditory hallucinations.

**Declaration of interest** None. Funding detailed in Acknowledgements.

Studies have suggested that the functional neuroanatomy of auditory hallucinations includes the primary auditory cortex (Dierks et al, 1999) as well as language-related temporal and frontal areas (McGuire et al, 1993; David et al, 1996; Lennox et al, 2000; Shergill et al, 2000). In particular, the activation of the primary auditory cortex was interpreted as an integrative component of the false perception representing its physical quality. However, later functional imaging studies showed inconsistent results concerning the involvement of this region (Lennox et al, 2000; Shergill et al, 2000; Copolov et al, 2003). The activation of the primary auditory cortex contributes to the quality of the voices as not being self. It was recently shown, however, that such activation can be a consequence of selective auditory attention (Petkov et al, 2004). Thus, the question remained open whether the activation of the left primary auditory cortex is rather an epiphenomenon, i.e. an enhanced response to the scanner noise due to enhanced auditory attention during the hallucinations. Support for the view that activation of this cortical area can occur through routes other than external auditory stimulation is given from functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies of silent lip-reading which showed auditory activation (Calvert et al, 1997; MacSweeney et al, 2000).

Activation of the primary auditory cortex can be investigated with the N100 component of auditory evoked potentials (Pantev et al, 1995). Attention to auditory input increases N100 amplitude (Hillyard et al, 1973; Hari et al, 1989), whereas distracting stimuli reduces it (Numminen et al, 1999), presumably driven by thalamic modulation (Frith & Friston, 1996). Using evoked potentials elicited by well-defined tones, the responsivity of the primary auditory cortex can be investigated by analysing the N100 separately for periods with and without auditory hallucinations in an intra-individual design.

In this study we investigated the reaction of the cortical auditory system that physiologically responds to real, external, acoustic stimuli under both the physiological condition and the condition of auditory hallucinations. From prior studies it is known that increased general attention to auditory stimuli during such hallucinations enhances the response to the external stimulus. If the activation of the primary auditory cortex observed in fMRI during auditory hallucinations (Dierks et al, 1999) is solely due to directed auditory attention, the amplitude of the auditory evoked potentials should increase. In contrast, if the amplitude of the evoked potential during the hallucination is reduced, activation of this cortical region might be a constituent of the hallucination and distract auditory attention from external stimuli; in consequence, a reduced N100 amplitude would be found.

**METHODS**

**Sample**

We analysed data from 7 people out of a total of 11 we originally investigated, all of whom had a psychotic disorder with acute auditory hallucinations (two patients were withdrawn because the test procedure was interrupted, and in two cases there were too few segments for auditory evoked potential analysis). Diagnosis was ascertained according to ICD–10 criteria (World Health Organization, 1992), coded as F20 (n=6) and F23 (n=1) (Bramer, 1988), on the basis of a semi-structured clinical interview as well as review of the patients’ case notes. All participants were right-handed and were receiving in-patient treatment at the University Hospital for Clinical Psychiatry in Bern, Switzerland. Only patients without relevant medical disorders (except for their psychiatric diagnosis) were included, on the basis of their medical history and medical and neurological examination. All patients reported normal hearing and showed normal binaural auditory thresholds. All patients included in the study were taking either a typical antipsychotic medication (2 patients: haloperidol) or an atypical antipsychotic (5 patients: olanzapine, risperidone or quetiapine) in conventional dosages. Five received additional benzodiazepine (diazepam, lorazepam) or benzodiazepine-like (zolpidem) treatment. Because we used an intra-individual design, we did not expect that differences in medication...
would affect the results. Potentially, psychopharmacological treatment may have modulating effects on focal attention. This might have had a general influence on the individual N100 amplitudes, but there is no evidence that this might account for an eventual difference between the two experimental conditions (hallucinations vs. no hallucinations).

The investigation was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the governmental ethics committee (Kantonale Ethik-Kommission Bern). All patients gave their written informed consent before participating in the study.

Psychopathological assessment scales

The Clinical Global Impression scale (CGI; Guy, 1976) and the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS; Kay et al., 1987) were used to assess psychopathology and the severity and acuity of the disease. Additionally, hallucinations were rated using the Oulis auditory hallucinations rating scale (Oulis et al., 1995). The character of the hallucinations thus assessed satisfied the criteria of the Schneiderian first-rank symptoms of voices referring to the patient in the second or third person or in the form of a commentary.

Experimental design and stimulus material

The participants were recorded in a silent, electrically shielded and slightly darkened room in a comfortable resting position. They were instructed to listen and attend to their voices and to indicate the beginning to their voices and to indicate the beginning of their participation in the study. On the basis of the participants’ button presses, the EEG recording period was divided into periods with and without hallucinations. In these periods, EEG epochs from 200 ms before tone onset to 500 ms post-onset were selected. An automatic artefact detection excluded epochs with amplitudes exceeding 100 µV from further analysis. Because some of the participants had produced considerable movement artefacts, a conservative band-pass filter (3–12 Hz), which allowed optimal focusing on N100 and P200, was applied, removing remaining baseline problems and muscle artefacts. To obtain the auditory evoked potentials the epochs were averaged separately for periods with and without auditory hallucinations and for each participant. Participants with fewer than 50 epochs in either condition were excluded (n=2). All averaged event-related potentials (ERPs) were recomputed to average reference. From the individual averaged auditory evoked potentials, grand mean ERPs were computed separately for both conditions.

Identification of auditory evoked potential components

The determination of the time windows for further analysis was based on the identification of microstates. Microstates in ERPs are periods with quasi-stable field topography; they are typically centred in time around a peak in map amplitude and separated by brief moments of rapid field configuration change (Lehmann, 2005). Microstate analysis minimises the problem of multiple testing by collapsing over periods that have a similar topography, and thus presumably similar neural generators. The latency range and topography of the N100 and P200 microstate were identified using the grand mean auditory evoked potential over participants and conditions: first, the global field power (GFP) curve and the global map dissimilarity curve (Lehmann, 2005) of the ERP were computed. The GFP is the time-varying standard deviation across all electrodes and indicates, moment by moment, the overall strength of the electric field. Global map dissimilarity is a time-varying index of change of the GFP-normalised electric field; high map dissimilarity indicates moments of rapid change of field configuration and thus determines the temporal borders of topographic ERP microstates (Lehmann, 2005). Prototypical N100 and P200 topographies were extracted from the grand mean ERP at the GFP peak around 100 ms (N100) and after 150 ms (P200). These two prototype topographies were normalised in amplitude for GFP=1. The onsets and offsets of the N100 and P200 microstates were defined by the peaks of the dissimilarity curve closest to the moment of the GFP maximum of the component (see Fig. 1).

Effect of hallucinations on auditory evoked potential amplitude

The amplitude and topography of the N100 and P200 microstates were compared between conditions (with and without hallucinations). The individual N100 and P200 amplitudes were quantified using a procedure similar to the topographic component recognition procedure (Brandeis et al., 1992): using the normalised topographies of the grand mean N100 and P200 microstates as templates, the time-varying spatial covariance of the individual auditory evoked potential with the two template topographies was computed in each participant and condition. Instead of considering a single, user-selected channel against an also pre-selected reference, this procedure is reference-independent and weights all measured channels according to their objective contribution to the component under investigation. The individual total amplitude of the two N100 and P200 microstates was then defined as the area under the covariance curve between the previously defined onset and offset of each component. The individual total amplitudes of the two components were then compared between conditions using two-tailed paired t-tests. By combining
microstate and spatial covariance analysis, we were thus able to reduce the temporal and spatial redundancy of the data and extract and compare a single, representative quantifier for each ERP component of interest. Such data-driven approaches may not necessarily provide new information, but make the results unambiguous regarding brain function and increase their reproducibility (Lehmann, 2005).

**Effect of hallucinations on auditory evoked potential topography**

For the topographic comparisons, mean individual microstate maps were computed for both conditions by averaging the voltages over the microstate latency range of the N100 and P200 microstates. These individual mean microstate maps were normalised (divided) by the GFP. As in earlier studies of potential landscape differences (Kondakor et al, 1995; Strik et al, 1998), the tests for topographic differences between conditions used the program TANOVA, which is part of a software package for LORETA (http://www.unizh.ch/keyinst/NewLORETA/LORETA01.htm), according to the following procedure: first, the difference between the mean microstate maps of the two conditions was measured using the global map dissimilarity (Lehmann & Skrandies, 1980). Next, the distribution of this difference under the null hypothesis (i.e. assuming the difference is random) was established by repeatedly shuffling the microstate maps over the two conditions and computing the global map dissimilarity between the mean microstate maps of the two now randomly defined conditions (Edgington, 1980; Manly, 1997). Finally, the statistical significance of the difference, based on the actual conditions, was obtained by comparing it with the distribution of the randomly obtained differences. In our analysis 5000 random permutations were used.

**Source localisation**

For the localisation of sources of the auditory evoked potential microstate topographies, the single stimulus-related epochs of all participants and both conditions were used. In each epoch, the mean topography of the N100 and P200 microstate time window was computed. Using these topographies, the strength and orientation of low-resolution electric tomography algorithm (LORETA) distributed sources were computed, confined to the cortical areas of the digitised brain atlas of the Montreal Neurological Institute at about 7 mm resolution (2394 voxels). In each voxel, the signal-to-noise ratio of the three-dimensional vectors representing the strength and orientation of the estimated sources was tested across the epochs using Hotelling’s $T^2$ tests.

Furthermore, in microstates with a significant difference in auditory evoked potential amplitude or topography, the individual mean microstate maps were used to compute individual voxel-wise LORETA current source density values for the two conditions. The difference of current density values between conditions was assessed and visualised using voxel-wise paired t-tests.

**RESULTS**

**Clinical characteristics of the sample**

The participants’ average age was 35 years, (range 21–54). There was one woman in the sample. The mean PANSS scores of the seven participants whose data were analysed were total score 81.3 (s.d. = 13.2), positive scale 24.4 (s.d. = 4.5) and negative scale 16.9 (s.d. = 2.7). The mean CGI score was 5.9 (s.d. = 1.0), indicating a severe degree of acuity of symptoms. All the participants were convinced that the hallucinatory voices were real and reported that they could understand the verbal content of the voices clearly. Five of seven participants reported that the voices were coming from inside their head, whereas the other two experienced the voices as coming from outside their head. Five of seven patients perceived the voices to be as loud as real voices or even louder, whereas two reported that the voices were muted, like a whisper.

**Auditory evoked potentials**

Nine of the 11 patients completed the entire recording procedure. Data for two of these nine patients had to be excluded because of an insufficient number (<50) of artefact-free EEG segments for the average auditory evoked potential. A mean of 129 (s.d. = 90) segments were available for periods with auditory hallucinations; for the periods without hallucinations, the mean number of segments was 103 (s.d. = 47). An average of 15 (range 4–24) periods with auditory hallucinations and 15 (range 3–21) periods without hallucinations were reported during the measurement time. In all patients, periods with hallucinations were consistently distributed during the measuring period without order effect. The GFP curve and the global map dissimilarity of the grand mean auditory evoked potential across participants and conditions are shown in Fig. 1. The microstate analysis identified the N100 component in a latency
window from 64 ms to 132 ms and the P200 component in a latency window from 136 ms to 212 ms. The N100 topography was characterised by a symmetrical, frontocentral negative topography, whereas the P200 had a frontocentral positive topography.

**Amplitude**

In the N100 microstate the amplitude was smaller in periods with hallucination compared with periods without hallucinations \( (t=4.36, \text{ d.f.}=6, \ P < 0.01) \). The N100 amplitude reduction during hallucinations could be observed in every person tested (Fig. 2.). There was no difference for P200 amplitudes \( (t=0.23, \text{ d.f.}=6, \text{ NS}) \).

**Topography**

The randomisation test of the N100 topography indicated a significant difference between evoked potentials recorded in periods with and without hallucinations \( (P=0.02; 100 \text{ out of } 500 \text{ randomisation runs yielded larger differences than the one actually observed}) \). There was no such difference for the P200 topography \( (P=0.62; 3140 \text{ out of } 5000 \text{ randomisation runs yielded larger differences than the one actually observed}) \).

**Sources**

The LORETA source localisation statistics of the N100 indicated the strongest signal-to-noise ratios of the estimated sources bilaterally in the temporal cortex. Left hemispheric signals were stronger than right hemispheric signals (Fig. 3). In the estimated current source density of the averaged individual auditory evoked potentials, the largest differences of source strength between periods with and without hallucinations were located in the left temporal lobe, where smaller amplitudes during hallucinations were observed (Fig. 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Is the previously observed increased neuronal activity in the primary auditory cortex during hallucinations (Dierks et al., 1999) a neurophysiological correlate of the subjective experience of the inner voices as being alien and not-self generated? Alternatively, is it due to increased unspecific general auditory attention during listening to the external attributed internal voices, leading to increased neuronal activity in response to scanner noise during hallucination periods? This differentiation is of crucial importance for the development of a neurobiological model of the generation of hallucinations.

In this study, the responsiveness of the auditory cortex was assessed with auditory evoked potentials, the physiological brain electrical response to auditory stimuli. We found N100 and P200 components in all participants, configuring the expected topography (Gomes et al., 2001); thus indicating that the methods applied were appropriate to measure responsiveness of auditory cortex. If the stimuli are attended to, the N100 response increases (Hillyard et al., 1973; Hari et al., 1989). If attention is distracted from the stimulating tones, the N100 decreases (Papanicolau et al., 1988; Corbetta et al., 1990; Numminen et al., 1999; Ford et al., 2001a,b,c).

**Reduced N100 to external stimuli during hallucinations**

The main finding of our study is the statistically significant reduction in the N100 to the tone pulses in the periods with auditory hallucinations compared with periods without such hallucinations in all patients; a result in accordance with an earlier descriptive report of disturbed N100 in auditory hallucinations in two patients (Tiihonen et al., 1992). The attentional task load was the same for periods with and without the hallucinations: participants were instructed always to attend to the voices, and never to the tones. Furthermore, as both the beginning of a hallucination period and the end of such a period (thus
the beginning of a normal period) were indicated by a button press, these button presses were completely counterbalanced between the two conditions and are unlikely to account for the reported finding. Additional support for the hypothesis that the auditory hallucinatory state is associated with reduced activity in response to external speech stimuli in temporal cortical regions is given by another early fMRI study (Woodruff et al., 1997), in which speech-related activation in different patient groups with and without auditory hallucinations was analysed. Data were interpreted on the basis of a possible competition for common neurophysiological resources. Psychologically, this suggests that during periods with hallucinations selective attention is focused on the acoustic aspect of hallucination events. Accordingly, the presence of voices absorbs part of the available attention capacities of the primary auditory cortex and thus reduces the N100 to external stimuli in periods when hallucinations are present. In a more neurobiological interpretation, this would mean that reduced N100 during periods when hallucinations are present. In a more neurobiological interpretation, this would mean that reduced N100 during hallucinations is the neurophysiological correlate of competition for limited neuronal resources. However, it remains possible that the reduced N100 does in fact represent an inability to focus attention on auditory inputs, with the consequence of confusion between inner speech and hearing voices.

The later auditory component, the P200, seems not to be specific for an activation of primary auditory cortex, and in addition to temporal lobe sources (Vaughan & Arezzo, 1988) a frontal involvement has been suggested (McCarley et al., 1989). Furthermore, the P200 has been reported to be correlated with negative symptoms in schizophrenia (Shenton et al., 1989). Thus, we did not expect any specific effects in our study for this component, and this was supported by our results.

**Hallucinations and cortical language circuitry**

Although all modules of the language system are likely to coactivate to some degree during language perception and production, the focus of activity within this network is assumed to represent the consciously experienced mode of operation. When Broca together with other frontal premotor and motor speech regions predominate, the individual is speaking and experiences her or his own speech (overt speech), whereas when Broca’s area alone predominates, inner speech is perceived. High levels of activity in the auditory cortex and Wernicke’s area indicate that processing within the language network is driven by external sources. Activation of the primary auditory cortex during auditory hallucinations coincides with the perception that a verbal stimulus is not self- but alien-generated. This suggests that the abnormal activation of this cortical region might be a mechanism to promote the sense of non-self attributed to verbal representations, which are self-generated by the brain’s language system.

**Endogenous activation of auditory cortex might constitute hallucinations**

The reduced N100 component in periods with hallucinations compared with periods free from hallucinations is not consistent with increased general attention to auditory stimuli. Rather, it indicates that the higher activation in the auditory cortex measured by fMRI is a specific activation that contributes essentially to the quality of the hallucinations. The cortical activation during the hallucination subtracts neural resources from sensory perception and, psychologically, distracts from external stimuli. The results support the interpretation that the abnormal coactivation of the primary auditory cortex during inner speech is a constituent of the hallucination. The endogenous activation of this area during auditory hallucinations (Dierks et al., 1999) seems to be structurally facilitated (Hüb l et al., 2004) by fibre tracts connecting language-related frontal and temporal regions with the auditory cortex. They may facilitate an abnormal coactivation in regions related to processing the acoustic features of language. Structural anomalies of Wernicke’s region and of the arcuate fascicle, along with a pathological retrograde excitation of the primary auditory cortex, may thus constitute the pathophysiological basis of auditory hallucinations in schizophrenia (Strik & Dierks, 2004). The activation of the primary auditory cortex may represent a contemporaneous, more physical acoustic image of a verbal thought, providing the attribute of ‘being alien’ and the loss of its quality of being ‘self-generated’.
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