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**Background** Little is known about the incidence of first-episode psychosis in urban centres of low- or middle-income countries.

**Aims** To estimate the incidence of psychosis in São Paulo, a large metropolis of Brazil.

**Method** Prospective survey of first-episode psychosis among residents aged 18–64 years resident in a defined area of São Paulo, over a 30-month period (July 2002–December 2004). Assessments were carried out with the SCID-I, and diagnoses given according to DSM-IV criteria. Population at risk was drawn from the 2000 Census data.

**Results** There were 367 first-episode cases identified (51% women), and almost 40% fulfilled criteria for schizophrenia or schizophreniform disorder. The incidence rate for any psychosis was 15.8/100,000 person-years at risk (95% CI 14.3–17.6). Incidence of non-affective psychoses was higher among younger males.

**Conclusions** Incidence of psychosis in São Paulo was lower than expected for a large metropolis.
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Studies on the incidence of schizophrenia have shown wide variation in their estimates, variations which cannot be attributed exclusively to methodological differences between studies (McGrath et al, 2004). For example, recent investigations have consistently shown higher risk of psychosis for individuals brought up in urban centres, as compared to those from rural areas (Krabbendam & van Os, 2005). Other studies have demonstrated high rates in migrants, particularly Black migrants to countries with predominantly White populations (Cantor-Graae & Selten, 2005). Studies on the incidence of affective psychoses are much less frequent. However, the vast majority of these studies on the epidemiology of psychosis have been carried out in Europe or North America.

In Brazil, as in many low- and middle-income countries, marked demographic changes have taken place in recent decades, with population ageing and disorganised urbanisation (Cohen, 2003). These changes may have had an impact on the incidence of psychosis, since there has been an increase in the adult population at risk and in possible risk factors, such as migration, as well as higher exposure to viruses and to adverse life events. There is a lack of empirical data on the incidence of schizophrenia and affective psychoses in such settings. The present study aimed to estimate incidence rates of first-contact psychosis in São Paulo, the largest city in Brazil.

**METHOD**

**The setting**

Greater São Paulo is one of the largest conurbations in the world, with a population of 19 million inhabitants, of which 11 million live in the city of São Paulo (Prefeitura do Município de São Paulo, 2006). São Paulo is the wealthiest city of Brazil, concentrating 10% of the national gross domestic product. As a consequence, it has attracted a large number of migrants from poorer areas of the country, and social inequalities are strong. The average Human Development Index is 0.81, ranking São Paulo as the 63rd best among 5507 cities and towns of Brazil. Infant mortality rate is 15 per 1000, but varies across city areas. Almost all inhabitants have piped water and rubbish collected, but nearly 1.2 million people live in slums termed ‘favelas’, and 10% of heads of households do not have any income of their own.

Mental healthcare in São Paulo is provided mainly by the public sector, and a small proportion of the population receives mental healthcare from the private sector. Mental healthcare in the public sector is partially organised on a catchment-area basis. Emergency 24-h psychiatric services can be sought by anyone who needs immediate attention, regardless of where they live. In-patient care is provided by some public psychiatric hospitals and by private hospitals that offer psychiatric beds to the public sector on a fee-for-service basis. There is a central registry service that controls all admissions to psychiatric in-patient care paid for by the public sector in the city, except for a few beds in some public general hospitals with psychiatric in-patient units. For individuals suffering from psychosis, mental healthcare centres offer out-patient appointments with psychiatrists, and may deliver typical antipsychotic medication. A small proportion of patients may have access to psychotherapy, occupational therapy, social support or day-hospital care.

A few primary care centres that have mental health professionals may offer care to adults with psychotic disorders. Private mental healthcare includes both exclusively private care, where all expenses are paid for by the client, and care provided by healthcare plans, where clients can only use services specified by the healthcare plan company. About 40% of the population are covered by private healthcare plans, and seek healthcare in private clinics and hospitals. However, until recently such plans did not cover psychiatric care. Fully paid in-patient psychiatric care is available in a small number of clinics and private hospitals. There are hundreds of psychiatrists in the city who offer private consultations, with a wide range of fees.

The area defined for the study was composed of 21 administrative districts, in the central, western and northern regions of the city, with a total population of 1 382 861 inhabitants in the year 2000 (Prefeitura do Município de São Paulo,
CASE IDENTIFICATION AND ASCERTAINMENT

All mental health services, public or private, from which individuals living in the area defined might seek help for a psychotic episode, were screened to identify all possible cases of first-contact psychosis. This included the services listed above, plus the central registry service for psychiatric in-patient admissions paid for by the public sector, all private psychiatric hospitals and clinics in the city of São Paulo, and two psychiatric services from private healthcare plans. Over 300 psychiatrists who work privately, whose names and contacts were drawn from the Brazilian Psychiatric Association list, were contacted by post and by phone and asked to say whether they had seen first-contact patients who lived in the area defined for the study during the period specified below. Medical records of each service were browsed on a regular basis. Contact details of individuals with any suggestion of a consultation or admission due to psychotic symptoms were collected, because quite often it was not possible to establish whether it was a first contact or not from the medical records. Confirmation of first-contact had then to be made by phone or home visit.

Eligible individuals were all adults aged 18–64 years, resident in the defined geographical area of São Paulo for at least 6 months, who had a first contact with any mental health service due to a psychotic episode between 1 July 2002 and 31 December 2004. Participants had to meet DSM–IV criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) for psychotic disorder (295.10–295.90; 297.1; 298.8; 298.9; 296.0; 296.4; 296.24). DSM–IV diagnoses were obtained for those who agreed to be interviewed, using the Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM–IV Axis I Disorders (SCID–I; Spitzer et al, 1992). For those who refused a direct assessment or for those who could not be directly contacted for other reasons, DSM–IV diagnoses were made based on information gathered from case notes and informants, wherever possible. Assessments were carried out as close as possible to the identification of each case in the mental health service. Interviews with participants took place at participants’ homes and were carried out by mental health professionals trained in the use of the standardised assessments. Training of interviewers included sessions for discussion of all standardised assessment schedules used in the study, and interview of patients with psychosis by each interviewer, watched by all remaining interviewers and coordinators of the study, followed by discussion. There was constant supervision of interviewers during the study, with discussion of difficulties and doubts in any of the schedules of the study protocol. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

A leakage study was also carried out, in order to identify cases missed throughout the study period, and included surveillance of cases who had a first contact during the period of the study but were only found at a later date by the research team and review of casenotes of services not routinely visited by the research team.

ANALYSIS

The total number of person-years at risk was calculated using the number of resident individuals aged 18–64 years living in the area defined for the study (926,081), according to data from the 2000 Census of the Brazilian population, multiplied by 2.5, to give the population at risk over the 30-month period of inclusion of cases. Overall, non-standardised incidence rates were estimated for first-contact psychosis (all diagnostic categories above), non-affective psychoses (DSM–IV codes 295.10–295.90; 297.1; 298.8; 298.9; 296.0; 296.4; 296.24). Respective binomial exact 95% confidence intervals were calculated. Age- and gender-specific incidence rates were also estimated, with 95% confidence intervals.

RESULTS

There were 172 participants who fulfilled criteria for first-contact psychosis and agreed to be included in the study. A further 60 individuals were identified as first-contact cases but refused to be interviewed, and another 135 cases were found through the leakage study. The types of service most frequently sought were the 24-h emergency services, where 67.3% of the cases had their first contact, followed by in-patient services (16.3%) and mental health centres with day care (10.3%). There were 187 women participants (51.0%). One hundred and forty-four (39.2%) participants fulfilled diagnostic criteria for schizophrenia or schizophreniform disorder, 88 (24.0%) for other non-affective psychoses (mostly brief psychotic disorder and non-specified psychotic disorder), 85 (23.2%) fulfilled criteria for bipolar disorder and 30 (13.6%) for depression with psychotic symptoms.

The mean age at first contact for any psychosis was 32.9 years (95% CI 31.7–34.1), and the median age was 30.0 years (Table 1). The average age at first contact for men was younger than for women, and the distribution of age at first contact was more positively skewed for men than for women. Similar patterns of skewness were observed for both non-affective and affective psychoses. Men showed mean and median ages at first contact for non-affective psychoses 4–5 years younger than for affective psychoses, whereas for women there were almost no differences between non-affective and affective psychoses.

The unadjusted rate for first-contact psychosis was 15.8 per 100,000 person-years at risk (Table 2). Rates for non-affective and affective psychoses were 10.1 per 100,000 and 5.8 per 100,000, respectively. First-contact psychosis rates declined with increasing age; this was mostly due to rates of non-affective psychoses among the male population, which showed the highest rates in younger age groups followed by a sharp decline in older age groups (Figs. 1 and 2).

DISCUSSION

This is the first study to estimate the incidence of first-episode psychosis in a large metropolis in Brazil. The rate of first-episode psychosis (15.8 per 100,000) was lower than expected for a large metropolis. For non-affective psychosis, the present rate is in the lower quartile of incidence estimates found in several studies in different settings (McGrath et al, 2004).

Incidence
Table 1  Mean and median ages at first contact for any psychosis, non-affective and affective psychoses by gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Women (n=187)</th>
<th>Men (n=180)</th>
<th>Total sample (n=367)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean (s.d.)</td>
<td>median</td>
<td>mean (s.d.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any psychosis</td>
<td>35.7 (11.8)</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>30.0 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-affective psychoses</td>
<td>36.0 (11.9)</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>28.5 (8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective psychoses</td>
<td>35.4 (11.9)</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>33.8 (11.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

rates of schizophrenia for each participant centre in the World Health Organization (WHO) Ten-Country Study (Jablensky et al, 1992) and for three studies in Caribbean countries (Hickling & Rodgers-Johnson, 1995; Bhugra et al, 1996; Mahy et al, 1999), calculating using CATEGO S+ and SPO diagnoses, varied from 9 to 35 per 100 000. Reanalyses of longitudinal data from two centres in the WHO Ten-Country Study generated estimates based on ICD–10 criteria for F–20 schizophrenia, which varied from 14 per 100 000 in Nottingham to 42 per 100 000 in rural Chadigarh (Bresnahan et al, 2003). In the AECSOP study (Kirkbride et al, 2006), carried out in three centres of the UK (London, Nottingham and Bristol), incidence rates for all first-contact psychoses, non-affective and affective psychoses were higher than in the present study. This was mostly due to much higher rates for non-affective and affective psychoses in London, rates from Nottingham and Bristol being similar to those reported in the present study. For affective psychosis, comparison is more difficult, since most studies did not estimate rates for bipolar disorder and depressive psychosis together. Taking that into account, the present figures for the incidence of affective psychoses are similar to those from the AECSOP study (Lloyd et al, 2005; Kirkbride et al, 2006). Rates were higher for men than for women in our study, following the pattern observed in a systematic review of studies on the incidence of schizophrenia (McGrath et al, 2004), and in the studies on the incidence of psychosis in the UK (Kirkbride et al, 2006) and rural Ireland (Scully et al, 2002). This was mostly due to higher incidence of non-affective psychoses among younger men, whereas rates for affective psychoses were similar for both genders.

Age at first contact also followed patterns observed previously (van Os et al, 2000; Boydell et al, 2001; Svedberg et al, 2001; Scully et al, 2002; Welham et al, 2004; Kirkbride et al, 2006). More than 60% of the cases had their first contact before the age 35, confirming that psychosis is more frequent in young adults, but a proportion of cases can occur at older ages. Men had younger mean and median ages at first contact than women for both affective and non-affective psychoses, a finding also consistent with results from some recent studies carried out in high-income countries (Svedberg et al, 2001; Scully et al, 2002; Kirkbride et al, 2006). However, comparison of mean or median age at first contact between studies is not straightforward, since there is variation in the age range criterion used in each study. Some studies have used the age range 15–45 or 15–54 years, which will influence their samples towards younger age means, whereas those that have wider age ranges, particularly for the upper limit, will tend to find older sample means. Comparison of age- and gender-specific incidence rates is less subject to such methodological issues. However, few studies yielded age- and sex-specific incidence rates for both affective and non-affective psychoses, making comparison of results limited. Age- and gender-specific rates from the present study are lower than those found in the UK (Kirkbride et al, 2006), but rates in that study were influenced upwards by the uncommonly high rates found in South London. A recent study carried out in Queensland, Australia (Welham et al, 2004) also estimated age- and gender-specific incidence rates for affective and non-affective psychoses, and results from both studies are remarkably similar.

Limitations and implications
The incidence rate of first-contact psychosis may have been underestimated. It is possible that a small proportion of first-contact

Table 2  Population at risk, number of cases (n) and incidence rates of first-contact psychosis, non-affective psychosis and affective psychosis by age group and total population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group, years</th>
<th>Population at risk</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Incidence (95% CI)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Incidence (95% CI)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18–24</td>
<td>448 733</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22.3 (18.1–27.1)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>15.8 (12.4–20.0)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6.5 (4.3–9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29</td>
<td>306 708</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>25.1 (19.8–31.4)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>16.3 (12.1–21.5)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8.8 (5.8–12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–34</td>
<td>276 320</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>17.4 (12.8–23.0)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11.2 (7.6–15.9)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.2 (3.6–9.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–39</td>
<td>277 188</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>17.0 (12.5–22.5)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10.1 (6.7–14.6)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6.9 (4.1–10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–44</td>
<td>264 115</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13.3 (9.2–18.4)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.8 (4.0–10.8)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.4 (3.8–10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–49</td>
<td>240 345</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9.2 (5.7–13.9)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.4 (2.9–9.3)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.7 (1.7–7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–54</td>
<td>205 495</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.3 (4.1–12.0)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.4 (2.0–8.3)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.9 (1.1–6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–59</td>
<td>157 978</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.9 (4.8–14.9)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.8 (1.4–8.3)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.1 (2.2–10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–64</td>
<td>138 323</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.5 (3.0–12.3)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.6 (1.2–8.4)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.9 (0.8–7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2 315 203</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>15.8 (14.3–17.6)</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>10.0 (8.7–11.4)</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9 (4.9–7.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
population is not adequate to explore (Parra, 2006). Genetic studies also have shown that in Brazil, ethnic phenotypes do not allow adequate classification of ethnic ancestry, in the State of São Paulo 71% of the population classify themselves as white, 4% as black, and 23% as mixed (Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística, 2006). According to the Brazilian Census, the Brazilian population is its ethnic admixture. One of the marked characteristics of the Brazilian population is its ethnic admixture. According to the Brazilian Census, which uses self-reported skin colour or ethnicity, in the State of São Paulo 71% of the population classify themselves as white, 4% as black, and 23% as mixed (Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística, 2006). Genetic studies also have shown that in Brazil, ethnic phenotypes do not allow adequate classification of ethnic ancestry, because of the high levels of admixture (Parra et al., 2003). Therefore, the Brazilian population is not adequate to explore systematic errors regarding diagnosis may have happened, but are not likely to explain the patterns observed. The areas covered by the study were heterogeneous regarding socio-economic status of the population, but there are large parts of the city with higher proportions of more deprived areas. This means that if the incidence of psychosis varies within city areas, and if this variation is associated with neighbourhood socio-economic levels, the present rates may be also slightly underestimating the overall rates for the metropolis.

We did not examine the possible association between incidence of psychosis and ethnicity. One of the marked characteristics of the Brazilian population is its ethnic admixture. According to the Brazilian Census, which uses self-reported skin colour or ethnicity, in the State of São Paulo 71% of the population classify themselves as white, 4% as black, and 23% as mixed (Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística, 2006). Genetic studies also have shown that in Brazil, ethnic phenotypes do not allow adequate classification of ethnic ancestry, because of the high levels of admixture (Parra et al., 2003). Therefore, the Brazilian population is not adequate to explore
comparisons of incidence of psychosis by ethnic group. However, modern genotyping techniques are allowing more precise measurement of the amount of different genetic ethnic ancestries in individuals, and these techniques could be used to examine possible associations between degree of admixture and risk of psychosis, using a case-control design.

The studies on the outcome of schizophrenia coordinated by the WHO showed a better prognosis among those with psychosis living in lower- and middle-income societies (Jablensky et al, 1992). If the relatively low incidence rates observed in the present study are followed by a high proportion of recovery, then a low prevalence of psychosis would also be expected, and that might have an impact on planning and provision of mental health services. However, more recently the notion of a better outcome of psychosis in lower- and middle-income countries has been disputed, based on some methodological limitations of the WHO studies, the lack of evidence for specific sociocultural factors that might contribute to a better prognosis of psychosis, new data showing a gloomier picture for specific sociocultural factors that might have an impact on planning and migration: A meta-analysis and review. Geographical variation in incidence, course and outcome of schizophrenia in Trinidad and one-year follow-up. British Journal of Psychiatry, 169, 587–592.
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