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Summary

Few studies have assessed psychopathic traits in community samples of young people. We investigated the predictive utility of callous and unemotional traits in a representative sample of 5770 young people from Great Britain. Teachers provided information on the presence of callous and unemotional traits and parents completed the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire to determine the level and impact of psychiatric problems at baseline, 12 and 24 months later.

Method

A stratified random sample of 10,496 families was invited to participate in the British Child and Adolescent Mental Health Survey 2004; a total of 7977 (76%) responded. All study procedures received multicentre research ethics committee approval and informed consent was obtained from parents. Children in the survey were aged 5–16 years. All parents had a face-to-face interview during which they provided socio-demographic details and completed the 12-item General Health Questionnaire and the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ), a well-validated measure of childhood psychopathology. Nominated teachers were mailed a questionnaire (if the family consented). Informed by previous factor analyses, the teacher questionnaire included seven statements relating to the presence of callous and unemotional traits in the index child:

1. Makes a good impression at first but people tend to see through him/her after they get to know him/her.
2. Shallow or fast-changing emotions.
3. Too full of his/her own abilities.
4. Is usually genuinely sorry if s/he has hurt someone or acted badly.
5. Can seem cold-blooded or callous.
7. Genuine in his/her expression of emotions.

The items were all rated on a three-point (0,1,2) Likert scale (not true, partly true, certainly true). Possible total scores on the scale ranged from 0 to 14, with items 1, 2, 3 and 5 being scored 2 for ‘certainly true’ and the remaining items scored 2 for ‘not true’. A principal component analysis showed that the seven items from the scale loaded on to one component, with an eigenvalue of 2.44 (35% of the variance). The scale demonstrated good internal consistency (Cronbach’s α=0.78).

Results

Callous and unemotional trait score ratings were obtained from 5770 teachers (55% of the original sample) and the mean score was 1.65 (s.d.=2.25). Callous and unemotional trait score was significantly associated with male gender, older age, Black and minority ethnicity, fair or bad general health, parental common mental disorder, larger family size and lower household income. Outcome data (12- and 24-month) were obtained for 4609 young people; an 80% response rate. The following groups were overrepresented among those with missing trait ratings or missing outcome data: older children, those from larger families, those with poorer general health, and those from Black and minority ethnic groups.

Table 1 displays the results of longitudinal linear regression models examining adjusted associations between baseline predictor variables and conduct, hyperactivity and emotional symptoms at 12 and 24 months combined. Callous and unemotional traits, lower household income, the presence of parental common mental disorder and the number of SDQ symptoms at baseline were all independently associated with the three symptom domains at follow-up. For conduct symptoms, there was weak evidence for an interaction between callous and unemotional traits and gender (P=0.07), with callous and unemotional traits being more strongly associated with conduct symptoms in boys (coefficient=0.09; P<0.001) than in girls (coefficient=0.05; P<0.05). The total SDQ symptom and impact scores at 12 and
24 months were also independently associated with the baseline callous and unemotional trait symptom score (adjusted coefficient for SDQ score=−0.06; P<0.001; adjusted coefficient for impact score=−0.05; P<0.001). There was evidence of an interaction between callous and unemotional traits and gender (P=0.03), with callous and unemotional traits being more strongly associated with the SDQ impact score in boys (coefficient=−0.07; P<0.001) than in girls (coefficient=−0.03; P=0.08).

Discussion

In this study we have provided preliminary evidence to show that the presence of callous and unemotional traits is longitudinally associated with the level and impact of childhood psychopathology and that this is independent of the effects of established risk factors for childhood psychiatric illness. The strongest predictor of psychiatric symptoms at follow-up was the total baseline symptom score, and in comparison, the predictive value of callous and unemotional traits and socio-demographic factors was small. Nevertheless, few studies have assessed the predictive utility of callous and unemotional traits in community samples of young people and to the best of our knowledge, the present study is the largest to date. The presence of callous and unemotional traits in young people, particularly in boys, seems to confer a vulnerability to additional mental health problems and this finding has both clinical and research implications. From a clinical perspective, screening for the presence of callous and unemotional traits may assist health professionals to identify a particularly vulnerable group of young people. From a research perspective, adding questions on personality traits to childhood psychiatric questionnaires may increase their predictive value.

Strengths of the study include the use of a large representative sample of young people and the fact that we obtained information from two sources, parents and teachers, permitting us to minimise rater bias. However, despite the fact that the callous and unemotional trait scale demonstrated factorial unity and good internal consistency, further research is required to establish its detailed psychometric properties. In addition, higher callous and unemotional trait scores were associated with groups of children overrepresented in the missing data group. Our analyses were therefore conducted on a ‘healthier than normal’ population and the findings require replication.

Table 1 Results of longitudinal linear regression models showing the effect of baseline predictor variables on conduct, hyperactivity and emotional symptoms at 12 and 24 months (n=4609 for all models)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor variable</th>
<th>Conduct symptoms</th>
<th>Hyperactivity symptoms</th>
<th>Emotional symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>coefficient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callous and unemotional traits&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.07***</td>
<td>0.07***</td>
<td>0.04***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−0.03***</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (girls v. boys)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−0.08***</td>
<td>0.14***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total baseline SDQ scores&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.57***</td>
<td>0.63***</td>
<td>0.51***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity (other v. White)</td>
<td>−0.001</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>−0.02***</td>
<td>−0.05***</td>
<td>−0.06***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental common mental disorder (present v. absent)</td>
<td>0.14***</td>
<td>0.09***</td>
<td>0.21***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child’s general health (fair or bad v. good)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family size&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>−0.002</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SDQ, Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire.
<sup>a</sup> Entered as a transformed continuous variable.
<sup>b</sup> SDQ, Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire.
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