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Metabotropic glutamate receptor agonists for schizophrenia

Paul J. Harrison

Summary
A drug acting at metabotropic glutamate receptors has recently been reported to be an effective antipsychotic, breaking the rule that only dopamine receptor-blocking drugs have this property. The findings complement accumulating evidence that glutamatergic abnormalities are important in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia.

Introduction
Without exception, existing antipsychotics work via dopamine D2 receptors. Although variation in affinity and actions at several monoamine receptors contributes to the differing profiles of individual drugs, all attempts to make an effective non-dopaminergic antipsychotic have failed.1 This has been a frustrating state of affairs given the many limitations of the current drugs – lack of efficacy in some patients, side-effects in many, and no meaningful effects against the negative and cognitive symptoms of schizophrenia. Now the situation appears to have changed, with a proof-of-concept double-blind randomised clinical trial reporting antipsychotic efficacy of a group II metabotropic glutamate receptor agonist.2 The compound tested, LY2140023, is a pro-drug, metabolised to the active compound LY404039 and used because the latter has a low oral bioavailability. LY404039 is a highly selective agonist at group II metabotropic glutamate receptors (comprised of mGluR2 and mGluR3), with no significant affinity for any other receptors, including dopamine receptors.2,3

Methods and results
Patil and colleagues2 randomised 196 participants with chronic schizophrenia to LY2140023 (80 mg per day), placebo, or olanzapine (15 mg per day), in a 3:2:1 ratio. The trial was conducted in Russia by Lilly. It lasted 4 weeks and used two standard outcome measures: the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) and the Clinical Global Impression – Severity (CGI–S) scale. At baseline, patients averaged 95 on the PANSS and 4.9 on the CGI–S, indicative of quite severe psychopathology. Existing medication was tapered off over 3–9 days and, after a 1-day placebo lead-in, treatment was initiated. The mean change on the PANSS was −13.2 with LY2140023, −19.1 with olanzapine and +7.6 with placebo. The improvement was spread across positive and negative symptom subscales, with LY2140023 and olanzapine showing a similar profile and time course. The CGI–S decreased by 0.62 on LY2140023 and by 0.89 on olanzapine, but increased by 0.35 on placebo. In terms of categorical response (a 25% reduction in PANSS score), 32% of participants responded to LY2140023, compared with 3% with placebo and 41% with olanzapine. LY2140023 did not produce extrapyramidal side-effects, weight gain, or raised prolactin – three troublesome properties of many other antipsychotics. The only emergent adverse event more common in the LY2140023 group was ‘affect lability’, seen in 12% of participants.

Discussion
The results suggest that LY2140023 is an effective and well-tolerated antipsychotic and, given its distinct pharmacology, appears to represent a major breakthrough in the drug treatment of psychosis. However, it is important not to get carried away. Independent replication of the findings is, of course, essential. Trials will be required that are larger and longer, that include other groups such as those with first-episode illness, that include combination therapy with other antipsychotics, and that measure additional outcomes including quality of life and cognition. In future work, several issues need to be addressed. First, although LY2140023 did not separate statistically from olanzapine, the magnitude of response to the new drug was lower and the discontinuation rate higher: 34% vs. 21% on olanzapine, with lack of efficacy being the reason in 15% of patients on LY2140023, compared with 6% on olanzapine. A dose-ranging study is needed to discover whether higher doses of LY2140023 enhance its efficacy. Even if group II metabotropic glutamate receptor agonists were to prove somewhat less efficacious than existing antipsychotics, they could still be valuable if they produce fewer side-effects or have benefits in particular subgroups; for example, their anxiolytic properties4 may be useful. Second, as with all agonists, there is the concern that the response might attenuate with time due to receptor down-regulation; however, there was no hint of this occurring by the end of the trial. Third, the ‘affect lability’ requires investigation; it might be a useful property if it denotes an improvement in affective flattening, but it might also be detrimental if it indicates an instability of affect.

Group II metabotropic glutamate receptors are autoreceptors that inhibit release of glutamate and regulate other neurotransmitters.3 They have been implicated in schizophrenia6,7 as part of a broader glutamate involvement in its pathophysiology.8–10 Trials with other glutamatergic agents, mainly positive modulators of N-methyl-D-aspartic acid receptors, have also suggested some efficacy,10,11 although generally as adjuncts to existing antipsychotics and with inconclusive results overall.12 One problem has been that it is not clear exactly what the nature of the glutamate abnormality is in schizophrenia, nor, therefore, what kind of glutamatergic drug might be beneficial. The present results imply, simplistically, that inhibition of glutamate release and hence ‘damping down’ of glutamate transmission is therapeutic.
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However, the story is likely to be far more complicated than this, in terms of the underlying abnormality as well as the molecular and synaptic mechanisms by which LY2140023 works. As part of this investigation, the relative contribution made by mGluR2 and mGluR3 needs to be clarified, as there are significant functional differences between these receptors. In addition, even though LY2140023 may be the first antipsychotic not to act through dopamine receptors, its effects might ultimately be mediated, at least partly, via dopaminergic pathways, reflecting the many interactions between glutamatergic and dopaminergic transmission.8,9

Although preliminary, the findings of Patil and colleagues are welcome in a field craving a genuine pharmacotherapeutic advance, following increasing realisation of the shortcomings of current antipsychotics, both typical and atypical. The results will stimulate the search for new drugs that go beyond the limitations of targeting dopamine receptors, and will reaffirm the hope that more successful and tolerable treatments can be derived from the rapid progress being made in understanding the pathophysiology of schizophrenia. Finally, much of the interest in glutamate in schizophrenia, including group II metabotropic receptors, has been with regard to the cognitive symptoms.14 The finding that LY2140023 has antipsychotic properties is therefore something of a bonus. Equally, the real value of these drugs will arise if they prove also to improve cognitive functioning, a major unmet therapeutic need in schizophrenia.
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