People with schizophrenia display pronounced difficulties in recognising negative facial affect, such as fearful expression.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\) The neural basis of this deficit and its relationship to symptoms of psychosis are still unclear.

**Aims**

To examine the association between positive and negative psychotic symptoms and activation within the amygdala and extrastriate visual regions of patients with schizophrenia during fearful and neutral facial expression processing.

**Method**

Functional magnetic resonance imaging was used to measure neural responses to neutral and fearful facial expressions in 11 patients with schizophrenia and 9 healthy volunteers during an implicit emotional task.

**Results**

No association between amygdala activation and positive symptoms was found; the activation within the left superior temporal gyrus was negatively associated with the negative symptoms of the patients.

**Conclusions**

Our results indicate an association between impaired extrastriate visual processing of facial fear and negative symptoms, which may underlie the previously reported difficulties of patients with negative symptoms in the recognition of facial fear.
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**Background**

The recognition of negative facial affect is impaired in people with schizophrenia. The neural underpinnings of this deficit and its relationship to the symptoms of psychosis are still unclear.

**Aims**

To examine the association between positive and negative psychotic symptoms and activation within the amygdala and extrastriate visual regions of patients with schizophrenia during fearful and neutral facial expression processing.

**Method**

Functional magnetic resonance imaging was used to examine the hypothesis that activation within these regions would correlate negatively with the extrastriate visual processing of facial fear and negative symptoms, which may underlie the previously reported difficulties of patients with negative symptoms in the recognition of facial fear.

**Imaging study**

**Procedure**

The participants took part in an event-related fMRI experiment while viewing grey-scale images depicting prototypical facial expressions of fear and sadness, a neutral facial expression and a fixation cross. The facial stimuli were from the standard set of prototypical facial expressions of the six basic emotions by Ekman & Friesen.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^4\) The ‘sad face’ processing data are not discussed in this paper.

The sequence of the four stimuli (fearful face/sad face/neutral face/fixation cross) was randomised and common to all participants. Twenty stimuli were presented per condition, depicting either a face or the fixation cross, each presented for 3 s with an inter-stimulus interval of 6 s, and the duration of the experiment was 8 min. This was an implicit emotional processing task, with participants indicating the gender of the face by moving a joystick. No response was required to the fixation cross.
Data acquisition and image analysis
Data were acquired using a 1.5 T scanner at the Maudsley Hospital, London, and analysed with software developed at the Institute of Psychiatry, London, using a standard non-parametric approach. Whole-brain analysis of variance was used to estimate significant within-group and between-group effects. A detailed description of the data acquisition and image analysis is available as a data supplement to the online version of this paper. A correlational analysis was used to examine associations between PANSS positive and negative sub-scale scores and activation within the brain regions specified in our a priori hypotheses: the fusiform gyrus, the superior temporal gyrus and the amygdala.

Results

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1.

## Table 1

### Demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Schizophrenia group (n=11)</th>
<th>Control group (n=9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>35 (9) 20–53</td>
<td>32 (6) 25–45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NART IQ</td>
<td>106.18 (10.41)</td>
<td>119.44 (5.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, years</td>
<td>13 (2) 10–16</td>
<td>15 (3) 10–19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness, years</td>
<td>12 (9) 2–33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive scale</td>
<td>16.72 (6.72)</td>
<td>7–28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative scale</td>
<td>13.91 (5.54)</td>
<td>7–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>58.91 (17.72)</td>
<td>31–85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NART, National Adult Reading Test; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale.

Table 2

### Clusters showing significant activation differences to fearful v. neutral faces in healthy participants and patients with schizophrenia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Talairach coordinates(\text{a})</th>
<th>Size(\text{b})</th>
<th>(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right fusiform gyrus</td>
<td>32 -74 -13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right middle occipital gyrus</td>
<td>36 -74 -7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>43 4 -13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right middle temporal gyrus</td>
<td>51 7 -18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right insula</td>
<td>40 4 -2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left amygdala/parahippocampal gyrus</td>
<td>-14 -7 -13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right amygdala</td>
<td>25 -7 -9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right parahippocampal gyrus</td>
<td>25 -15 -24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left angular gyrus</td>
<td>-32 -56 37</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left cingulate gyrus</td>
<td>-18 -22 42</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left precuneus</td>
<td>-14 -56 48</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left medial frontal gyrus</td>
<td>-7 -15 53</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control &gt; schizophrenia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right fusiform gyrus</td>
<td>25 -77 -13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>-47 -22 9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right amygdala</td>
<td>22 -7 -13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right parahippocampal gyrus</td>
<td>25 -26 -7</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>-51 -33 48</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>29 15 -13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{a}\) The \(x, y\) and \(z\) coordinates refer to position within the stereotactic space according to the Talairach & Tournoux atlas\(\text{b}\) and indicate the centre of mass of the significantly activated cluster.

\(\text{b}\) Number of voxels comprising cluster. Probabilities are reported for the most activated voxels within the cluster.

### Behavioural results

There was no significant difference in performance between the patient and control groups in the gender discrimination task (Mann–Whitney \(U=38.0, z=-0.90, P=0.37\) for fearful expression; Mann–Whitney \(U=37.5, z=-0.94, P=0.35\) for neutral facial expression).

### Imaging results

**Within-group comparisons**

The whole-brain analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed the following within-group significant differences:

**Control group**:
- fearful vs. neutral faces
  - In the control group the processing of fearful faces elicited significantly greater activation within the bilateral amygdala, right-sided parahippocampal gyrus, fusiform gyrus, superior temporal gyrus, middle occipital and temporal gyri and right insula than did processing of neutral faces (Table 2; Figs 1(a), 2(a)).
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Patient group: fearful vs. neutral faces. The processing of fearful faces elicited significantly greater activation within the left medial frontal gyrus, the left angular gyrus, the cingulate gyrus, and left precuneus than did processing of neutral faces (Table 2).

Between-group comparisons

The whole-brain ANOVA revealed the following between-group significant differences:

Control v. patient groups: activation to fearful faces. Control participants demonstrated significantly greater activation than did the participants with schizophrenia during the processing of fearful faces within the right fusiform gyrus, the left superior temporal gyrus, the bilateral inferior frontal gyri, the right amygdala and right parahippocampal gyrus (Table 2; Figs 1(b), 2(b)).

Correlational analyses

Correlational analyses in the patient group revealed a significant negative correlation between the PANSS negative sub-scale score and activation within the left superior temporal gyrus during the processing of fearful faces (Pearson $r = -0.84$, $P = 0.001$; Spearman’s rho = $-0.74$, $P = 0.01$) (Fig. 3). This correlation...

Fig. 1  Coronal view of the brain showing right fusiform gyrus responses to fearful v. neutral faces (a) in the control group ($x=32, y=74, z=-13$) and (b) the between-group differences, where control participants demonstrated greater activation than the participants with schizophrenia ($x=25, y=-77, z=-13$). R, right hemisphere; L, left hemisphere.

Fig. 2  Sagittal view of the brain showing right amygdala responses to fearful v. neutral faces (a) in the control group ($x=25, y=-7, z=-7$) and (b) the between-group differences, where control participants demonstrated greater activation than participants with schizophrenia ($x=22, y=-7, z=-13$).
remained significant after controlling for the patients’ IQ (r = -0.805, d.f.=9, P=0.005) and gender (r = -0.847, d.f.=9, P=0.002). No other correlation between measured brain activity and PANSS scores was statistically significant.

Discussion

Visual processing of facial fear elicited bilateral amygdala activation in healthy participants, with significantly greater activation within the right amygdala compared with the participants with schizophrenia, consistent with earlier reports.¹⁵,¹⁶ This dysfunctional amygdala activation might relate to the fear recognition deficits manifested by patients with schizophrenia.¹⁶ The amygdala has been postulated to have a key role in modulating the dopaminergic system.¹⁷ Disruption of dopamine regulation amygdala has been postulated to have a key role in modulating the dopaminergic system.¹⁷ Disruption of dopamine regulation might be linked non-specifically with the illness or medication, activation of the amygdala. It is possible that amygdala activation might be linked non-specifically with the illness or medication, rather than the symptom profile. An alternative explanation might be that there is insufficient variation in the positive symptoms of participants with schizophrenia to show a clear correlation, and longitudinal examination of a more symptomatic group of patients could clarify this point.

Healthy participants demonstrated greater activation within the right fusiform gyrus and superior temporal gyrus in response to fearful compared with neutral faces. This finding supports enhancement of extrastriate visual cortices activation by emotionally salient stimuli.¹⁴ In contrast, participants with schizophrenia showed significantly reduced activation within these regions during facial fear processing compared with healthy controls. This is consistent with earlier findings,¹⁹–²¹ and may reflect a deficit in the visual processing of facial fear in people with schizophrenia.

Participants with schizophrenia demonstrated a left lateralised reduction in superior temporal gyrus activation, similar to the findings of Johnston et al.²² The left lateralised reduction in superior temporal gyrus activation in our study may represent some reversal of the normal right lateralised temporal lobe response to facial fear in people with schizophrenia and may be indicative of impaired function of the left superior temporal gyrus during fear processing in schizophrenia. This might reflect a more general emotional deficit, as an abnormal left lateralised temporal lobe activation has been previously demonstrated in response to emotional prosody.²³

The cortex surrounding the superior temporal sulcus, along with the fusiform gyrus, is associated with social cognition. Specifically, these regions are involved in the visual perception of socially relevant stimuli.²⁴ Findings from neuroimaging, electrophysiological and single-cell recording studies have associated the visual perception of biologically salient motion with the activation of the posterior aspects of the superior temporal sulcus and the adjacent superior temporal gyrus.²⁵,²⁶ The perception of the changeable aspects of the faces such as facial emotion, gaze direction and lip movements also elicits activation within the superior temporal sulcus and the adjacent superior temporal gyrus, even in response to implied motion evidenced by static visual stimuli, such as facial expressions.²⁷ A deficit in biological motion perception may result in deficient social perception, and cognition and social functioning. People with schizophrenia and severe negative symptoms exhibit a pronounced difficulty in the recognition of facial emotions,²⁷ particularly facial fear.²⁵,²⁷ They also exhibit impairments in social cognition tasks such as theory of mind tasks, also associated with negative symptoms.²⁸–³⁰ The social cognition deficits may contribute to the social functioning deficits of the patients with negative symptoms.²¹

The cortical processing of motion-related visual stimuli is impaired in people with schizophrenia and this impairment is more intense in those with severe negative symptoms.²³ This deficit has been associated with the middle temporal cortical visual area,
which responds to both scrambled motion and biological motion sequences. The association of activation of the superior temporal cortex, which responds selectively to all aspects of biological motion, with negative symptoms has not been investigated. Consistent with our hypothesis, the severity of negative symptoms of the participants with schizophrenia correlated negatively with the degree of activation attenuation within the left posterior superior temporal gyrus. Our finding indicates an association between impaired extrastriate cortical visual processing of facial fear and negative symptoms in people with schizophrenia. This association may underlie the previously reported pronounced difficulties of patients with high levels of negative symptoms in the recognition of fearful faces.

The neural response within the right fusiform gyrus in the participants with schizophrenia in our study did not correlate with the severity of negative symptoms. This is at odds with an earlier PET study,1 which showed decreased glucose metabolic rate in the right fusiform area of patients with predominantly negative symptoms and a negative correlation with the negative symptoms of the patients. The finding of Potkin et al.2 was consistent with the difficulties of the patients with negative symptoms in identifying the emotional content of faces and scenes. The differences in these results may be secondary to the different time frames between event-related fMRI and PET, as the occipital cortices are associated with early visual processing. Deficits in visual tasks in the participants with schizophrenia could be attributed to their attentional deficits, which could lead to lower engagement with the task during the scanning session. However, the findings of our study are not likely to derive from the patients’ attentional impairments, since their performance in the gender discrimination task did not differ significantly from the control group’s performance.

Methodological considerations

There are several potential limitations to this study. First is the issue of generalisability of these results from the analysis of a relatively small number of participants. A non-parametric statistical approach to the analysis of the imaging data is preferred, with early visual processing.

21 Which responds to both scrambled motion and biological motion sequences. The association of activation of the superior temporal cortex, which responds selectively to all aspects of biological motion, with negative symptoms has not been investigated. Consistent with our hypothesis, the severity of negative symptoms of the participants with schizophrenia correlated negatively with the degree of activation attenuation within the left posterior superior temporal gyrus. Our finding indicates an association between impaired extrastriate cortical visual processing of facial fear and negative symptoms in people with schizophrenia. This association may underlie the previously reported pronounced difficulties of patients with high levels of negative symptoms in the recognition of fearful faces.
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Erotic Dreams in Normal Persons [Il Sogno Erotico nell'uomo normale].
(Riv. di Psicol., January–February, 1908.) Gualino, L.

The subject of sexual activity during sleep has been touched on by various psychologists and alienists and studied in detail in a few individual cases. Gualino appears to be the first to investigate it on a larger scale, and bases his paper on the experiences of 100 persons among his acquaintances, doctors, teachers, etc. (apparently all men), to whom he addressed a series of questions. They had all had experience of the phenomenon which Gualino regards as entirely normal.

Gualino finds that erotic dreams, with emissions (whether or not seminal), began somewhat earlier than the period of physical development as ascertained by Marro for youths of the same part of northern Italy. Gualino found that erotic dreams began at twelve. Their appearance was preceded in most cases for some months by erections. In 37 per cent. of cases they tended to occur very soon after sexual intercourse. In numerous cases they were peculiarly frequent (even three in one night) during courtship, when the young man was in the habit of kissing and caressing his betrothed, but ceased after marriage. It was not noted that position in bed or a full bladder exerted any marked influence in the occurrence of erotic dreams; repletion of the seminal vesicles is regarded as the main factor.
Online supplement

Data acquisition

Data were acquired using a 1.5 T GE Signa neuro-optimised magnetic resonance system (General Electric, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) at the Maudsley Hospital, London. A quadrature birdcage head coil was used for radiofrequency excitation and reception. Two hundred and forty $T_2^*$-weighted gradient echo planar images depicting blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) contrast were acquired from 16 non-contiguous planes parallel to the anterior commissure-posterior commissure plane: slice thickness 7.7 mm, slice gap 0.7 mm, repetition time (TR) 2 s, echo time (TE) 40 ms, flip angle 90°. A high-resolution inversion recovery echo-planar image of the whole brain was also obtained (TE=73 ms, inversion time (TI)=180 ms, TR=16 000 ms) for subsequent registration to the standard stereotaxic space of Talairach & Tournoux.1

Image analysis

Data were analysed with software developed at the Institute of Psychiatry, London, using a non-parametric approach (for a full description and references see http://www.brainmap.it). Experimental responses were analysed by convolving each component of the experimental design with each of two gamma variate functions (peak responses at 4 s and 8 s respectively). The best fit between the weighted sum of these convolutions and the time series at each voxel was computed using the constrained BOLD effect model of Friman et al.2 Following computation of the model fit, a goodness of fit statistic was computed. This consisted of the ratio of the sum of squares of deviations from the mean image intensity (over the whole time series) due to the model to the sum of squares of deviations due to the residuals (SSQ ratio). Following computation of the observed SSQ ratio at each voxel, the data were permuted by the wavelet-based method,3 from which activation of voxels and clusters can be detected at any desired type 1 error rate.4 In addition to the SSQ ratio, the size of the BOLD response to each experimental condition was computed for each individual at each voxel as a percentage of the mean resting image.

Within-group comparisons of experimental conditions to each contrast of interest were then computed separately for the patient and the control group. The observed and permuted SSQ ratio maps for each individual, as well as the BOLD effect size maps, were transformed into standard space1 using the two-stage warping procedure.5 Cluster level maps are thresholded at <1 expected type I error cluster per brain. Group activation maps were then computed by determining the median SSQ ratio at each voxel (over all individuals) in the observed and permuted data maps (medians are used to minimise outlier effects). The distribution of median SSQ ratios over all intracerebral voxels from the permuted data were then used to derive the null distribution of SSQ ratios, which can be thresholded to produce group activation maps at any desired voxel or cluster-level type 1 error rate. In the two-level clustering procedure,4 the first (voxelwise) thresholding is carried out with an uncorrected $P$ value of 0.05 to give the maximum allowable sensitivity. In order to eliminate the resulting false positive activations, a second, cluster-level thresholding step is carried out, and the threshold of this second step is adjusted to give an expectation of less than one false positive cluster over the whole brain. As the cluster level threshold is set at the whole brain level, the normal, voxelwise issue of multiple comparisons does not apply.

Comparisons of responses between groups or experimental conditions was performed using non-parametric analysis of variance (ANOVA). Data were fitted at each intracerebral voxel at which all participants have non-zero data using a linear model of the type $Y = a + bX + c$, where $Y$ is the vector of BOLD effect sizes for each individual, X is the contrast matrix for the particular intercondition/group contrasts required, a is the mean effect across all individuals in the various condition/group, b is the computed group/condition difference and c is the vector of residual errors. The model is fitted by minimising the sum of absolute deviations rather than the sums of squares to reduce outlier effects. The null distribution of b is computed by permuting data between conditions (assuming the null hypothesis of no effect of experimental condition or group membership) and refitting the above model. Group difference maps are computed as described above at voxel or cluster level by appropriate thresholding of the null distribution of b, to give less than one false positive cluster per image. This is a standard method for tests of this kind and it gives exact $P$ values with minimum assumptions.6
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