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Background
Antidepressants and anxiolytics have demonstrated short-term efficacy; however, little is known about the long-term effectiveness of these drugs.

Aims
To investigate long-term psychiatric outcomes following antidepressant and/or anxiolytic use during an episode of mental disorder in mid-life.

Method
Members of the 1946 British birth cohort were assessed for symptoms of depression and anxiety at age 43. Among 157 with mental disorder, those using antidepressants and/or anxiolytics were compared with those not using medications on psychiatric outcomes at age 53.

Results
Use of antidepressants or anxiolytics was associated with a lower prevalence of mental disorder at age 53 (odds ratio (OR)=0.3, 95% CI 0.1–1.0) after adjustment for eight variables in a propensity-for-treatment analysis. Only 24% of those being treated with medications at age 43 were still using them at 53.

Conclusions
Use of antidepressants or anxiolytics during an episode of mental disorder may have long-term beneficial effects on mental health. This may be because of a demonstrated willingness to seek help rather than long-term maintenance therapy.
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Participants
Participants were members of the Medical Research Council National Survey of Health and Development (NSHD). This is an ongoing longitudinal study of 5362 individuals who formed a stratified sample of babies born in England, Scotland or Wales during the week of 3–9 March, 1946. The sample has been prospectively studied on 21 occasions up to age 53 years. Comparisons with census data show that those remaining in the cohort (n=3673 at age 53) are broadly representative of all British-born adults currently resident in England, Scotland and Wales.

The Psychiatric Symptom Frequency (PSF) scale, a questionnaire that records the frequency and intensity of common symptoms of anxiety and depression over the preceding year, was administered at age 43 (n=3156). The participants used for this study were those who scored 30 or higher on the PSF, a cut-off that yields a prevalence of approximately 7% of the cohort at the time. We also report briefly on a second sample with sub-threshold mental disorder, who scored between 23 and 29 on the PSF.

Psychiatric treatment
Survey members reported prescription medication use to a nurse interviewer at age 43. This study describes outcomes associated with the use of anxiolytics (British National Formulary (BNF) Section 4.1.2) and antidepressants (BNF Section 4.3).

Outcomes of drug treatment
Primary outcome: mental disorder
The primary outcome was mental disorder at age 53 as measured by the 28-item General Health Questionnaire (GHQ), which focuses on self-reported symptoms of anxiety and depression, and associated psychosocial dysfunction, in the preceding 4 weeks. The cut-off used for mental disorder was a score of 11 or higher (most severe 7%).

Secondary outcomes: associated psychiatric symptoms
A limited number of secondary outcomes were also considered. These included a broader definition of mental disorder encompassing sub-threshold symptoms (GHQ score of 7 or higher); self-reported sleeping difficulties; alcohol misuse based on the CAGE questionnaire; and symptoms of psychosis based on four-items from a standard psychosis screening questionnaire.

Factors associated with treatment
Numerous factors were considered for possible associations with treatment with psychotropic medications during an episode of mental illness. Factors were also selected on the basis that they could be plausibly associated with prognosis of mental illness. In particular, we focused on factors associated with severity of symptoms and history of mental illness, since both symptom severity and a history of symptoms are associated with...
long-term prognosis in depression, and both factors are also associated with treatment during an episode of mental disorder.16

Current symptom severity
Survey members were considered to have severe symptoms if they scored 54 or higher on the PSF (top 15.8% of those with mental disorder); suicidal ideation if they reported during the PSF they had ‘thought about taking their own life’; sleep difficulties if they reported trouble sleeping; and alcohol misuse if they responded positively to two or more items on the CAGE screening questionnaire.11

History of mental illness
Survey participants were considered to have mental disorder at age 36 if they scored five or higher on the Index of Definition for the Present State Examination in 1982.17 Treatment between age 16 and 36 was based on in- and out-patient hospital records and physician records.19 Psychiatric hospitalisation up to age 43 was based on hospital records that included any admissions for mental disorder (ICD–9 codes 290–314, and ICD–10 codes F10–F69 and F90–F98)20,21 or suicidal behaviour (ICD–9 codes E950–E959, and ICD–10 codes X600–X649). Finally, participants were asked at age 43 if they had ever suffered from ‘nervous trouble’.

Statistical analysis
Observational studies suffer from one crucial drawback in comparison with randomised controlled trials: individuals who receive treatment may differ, in clinical or social factors, from those who do not, and these differences may affect prognosis, thereby confounding any observed differences in outcome.22 Naturalistic study designs must, therefore, be analysed using methods that can address these selection-to-treatment elements in order to estimate the actual impact of treatment that would have been generated by a randomised design.

One method that is now widely used for this purpose is the propensity score approach.3 A propensity score is the probability of an individual being treated, calculated from a logistic regression model that calculated propensity-for-treatment (i.e., propensity score).22 Given that the objective is to balance as many covariates as possible that might be related to treatment or prognosis between the treated and untreated groups, it is desirable to include as many predictors as possible in the calculation of the propensity score.22 Consequently, this study considered the eight variables described above.

If two individuals have the same probability of being treated (i.e., same propensity score), but one has been treated and the other has not, then it is as if they have been randomly assigned to treatment, assuming that no unmeasured confounders exist.3,22 If no differences exist between covariates within quintiles of the propensity score, then any overall differences in the covariates can be considered ‘ignorable’ as long as the analysis matches on the propensity score.22 In other words, if all covariates are balanced between the treatment and non-treatment group after adjusting for the propensity score, then any observed differences between the groups should be attributable to the effects of treatment. This study used logistic regression to adjust for the propensity score in the analysis of the long-term effects of treatment with antidepressants or anxiolytics at age 43 on mental disorder at age 53, compared with those who received no pharmaceutical treatment.22 Odds ratios of less than 1 indicate beneficial effects of treatment.

All calculations involving propensity scores used the program PSCORE for Stata 9.0.

Results
There were 204 individuals with mental disorder at age 43, a prevalence of 7.0%. Among these, 45 were using an antidepressant or anxiolytic (22.1%); 23 were using an antidepressant only, 17 were using an anxiolytic only, and 5 were using both. Of these, 157 were followed-up 10 years later at age 53 (77.0%). There was a trend to suggest that those lost to follow-up might have had more severe symptoms at age 43 (mean PSF=44.2 vs. 40.7, P=0.07); however, those lost to follow-up were no more likely to be using antidepressants or anxiolytics than those with complete data at age 53 (25.5% vs. 21.0%, P=0.43). The remaining analyses include those 157 with follow-up data at age 53.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Associations between predictors and treatment with psychotropic medication for those with mental disorder at age 43</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prevalence of factor, n/N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current clinical characteristics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More severe symptoms</td>
<td>20/157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal ideation</td>
<td>24/155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep difficulties</td>
<td>76/157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol misuse</td>
<td>23/157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical history</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental disorder at age 36</td>
<td>34/142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric treatment between age 16 and 36</td>
<td>22/157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric hospitalisation up to age 43</td>
<td>16/157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reported history of nervous trouble</td>
<td>110/155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05.
variables between those treated and those untreated within quintiles of the propensity score.

Outcome of treatment with medications

Before adjusting for propensity-for-treatment, those treated with psychotropic medications at age 43 were no more or less likely to have a mental disorder at age 53 than those not treated with medications (Table 2). The lack of effect was also similar across the secondary outcomes.

After adjusting for propensity-for-treatment, those treated with antidepressants or anxiolytics were significantly less likely to have mental disorder 10 years later (odds ratio (OR)=0.3, 95% CI 0.1–1.0). This relationship held when the definition of mental disorder was expanded to include sub-threshold symptoms at age 53 (Table 2). In addition, linear regression suggested that those treated with antidepressants or anxiolytics had, on average, a GHQ total score that was about three points lower (β=−3.0, 95% CI −6.3–0.2) than those not treated with medications.

Among those treated with antidepressants or anxiolytics at age 43, 24.2% were using one or both at age 53. In all cases, conclusions regarding effect of treatment on subsequent mental disorder were unchanged after adjusting for current medication use at the time of outcome assessment. Although statistical power to detect drug-specific differences was minimal, the likelihood of mental disorder was similar for antidepressants (OR=0.3, 95% CI 0.05–1.2) and anxiolytics (OR=0.2, 95% CI 0.02–1.7).

There were no significant effects of antidepressant or anxiolytic use at age 43 on sleeping difficulties, alcohol misuse or symptoms of psychosis at age 53.

Sub-threshold mental disorder

A separate analysis was performed on 156 individuals with sub-threshold mental disorder at age 43 years and follow-up data at age 53. Among these, only 10 (6.4%) were using an antidepressant or anxiolytic. The same eight variables listed in Table 1 were used to calculate the propensity-for-treatment among these individuals. This second propensity model included 121 individuals and explained 17% of the variance in likelihood of treatment. Before adjusting for propensity-for-treatment, individuals who were treated with antidepressants or anxiolytics were more likely to have mental disorder 10 years later (OR=5.2, 95% CI 1.2–21.5).

After adjusting for propensity-for-treatment, the likelihood of future mental disorder was similar, but was no longer statistically significant (OR=3.9, 95% CI 0.8–18.9).

Discussion

Findings and related research

Results from this study suggest that treatment with antidepressants or anxiolytics during an episode of mental disorder may have a long-term beneficial effect. Individuals with mental disorder were significantly less likely to have mental disorder 10 years later if they were using antidepressants or anxiolytics. These positive effects, however, were not apparent among individuals with less severe symptoms.

A particularly important predictor of prognosis may be the effectiveness of initial treatment. Pintor et al followed individuals for 2 years after treatment of depression and found that only 15% of those whose symptoms remitted completely during initial treatment had a relapse, while 68% of those who had partial relief of symptoms initially had a relapse during the follow-up period. This suggests that the mode of treatment delivered may be less important than the fact that an effective treatment is delivered at all, which was supported in this study by the fact that individuals who were treated with antidepressants had similar outcomes to those treated with anxiolytics.

These results may appear to support systematic reviews showing that maintenance therapy with antidepressants helps prevent relapses of depressive episodes; however, such preventive effects presume continuation of therapy. Given that less than a quarter of individuals who were using antidepressants or anxiolytics at age 43 were using them at age 53, it is unlikely the results of our study can be explained by long-term maintenance therapy. It appears more likely that the results can be explained by an initial willingness to be treated, potential successful initial treatment and an increased likelihood that these patients would seek and accept help when encountering symptoms of depression and anxiety in the future. Individuals who have been treated for mental disorder in the past are more likely to seek and receive treatment for current symptoms, and are more likely to believe that medical interventions will be helpful for depression. Those who believe that antidepressants will be helpful are also more likely to use them.

These findings are particularly interesting in the context of a World Health Organization study of outcomes of depression in primary care. Results from that study suggested that mental health outcomes for help-seeking patients 12 months after their initial visit to a primary care physician were similar regardless of whether the patient's depressive symptoms were identified, implying that specific treatment had no long-term benefit. Those being treated in primary care may be comparable with those with sub-threshold symptoms in our study, and the lack of long-term effect we observed among this group may point to equivalent or similar initial short- or medium-term outcomes between those with less severe symptoms who are treated with antidepressants or anxiolytics and those who are not.

It is notable that long-term differences between those treated and those not treated were not apparent before accounting for propensity-for-treatment. Previous research has shown that individuals with more severe symptoms and individuals

| Table 2 | Outcomes at age 53 for psychotropic medication use at age 43 among those with mental disorder |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| **Outcomes at age 53** | **OR for treatment effect (95% CI)** | **After propensity adjustment**¹⁵ (n=140) |
| **Primary outcome** | **Mental disorder** | 0.7 (0.2–2.1) |
| **Secondary outcomes** | **Mental disorder including sub-threshold symptoms** | 0.7 (0.3–1.7) |
| | **Sleeping difficulties** | 0.8 (0.3–2.0) |
| | **Alcohol misuse** | 1.3 (0.4–4.2) |
| | **Symptoms of psychosis** | 1.5 (0.7–3.6) |

¹⁵P<0.05.
with a history of mental illness have a poorer long-term prognosis in depression and are more likely to have relapse episodes. As severity of symptoms and history of psychiatric illness are also associated with likelihood of treatment, they confound the observed relationship between treatment and outcome. Observational studies can be useful in the investigation of short- and long-term effects of psychotropic medications, but such other, related prognostic factors must be accounted for.

Methodological considerations

There are several limitations to this study. Most important is that although propensity scores balance all identified confounders of the treatment-outcome relationship, they do not balance unmeasured confounders in the way that is, theoretically, done with randomised controlled trials. The propensity-for-treatment model only accounted for 15% of the variance in likelihood of treatment; consequently, there must be unmeasured factors that influence treatment. However, this is only an important limitation if these unmeasured factors also influence prognosis. We were able to balance eight covariates between the treated and untreated groups, including important factors such as severity of symptoms and history of illness that are strongly associated with prognosis. Nevertheless, it is possible that unmeasured factors could explain the observed association between antidepressant and anxiolytic use and better long-term mental health. One such factor is neuroticism, which is associated with both help-seeking behaviour and poorer prognosis for depression and anxiety. Since individuals who were neurotic were more likely to be in the treatment group, and more likely to have a poor outcome, the treatment effect observed in this study should be a conservative estimate without neuroticism included in the propensity model. Still, other unmeasured factors could have biased our results in the other direction, making the observed effects an over-estimation of the true effect.

Another limitation is that we have no data on what happened between the initial assessment and the outcome 10 years later. It is unknown for how long individuals continued their psychotropic medication use. In addition, it should be noted that the initial assessment was in 1989, when selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors were not as common as they are today. The outcomes described may not be generalisable to currently prescribed medications.

A final limitation is missing data. There were 64 of 204 individuals who were excluded due to missing data on predictors of treatment or missing follow-up data. It is possible that these individuals were systematically different from those who remained in the study; in particular, those with more severe symptoms may have dropped out. Similarly, this sample was not large enough to consider a larger number of covariates that may influence the relationship between treatment and long-term outcome. Future studies, with greater statistical power, could include numerous other factors that may vary between those who are treated with psychotropic medications and those who are not such as socio-demographic or personality characteristics.

These limitations, however, are offset by some notable strengths. The sample was population-based, and the follow-up period is much longer than any comparable outcome studies of antidepressants and anxiolytics. Given the continuing under-treatment of depression in Britain and elsewhere, the long-term effects demonstrated in this study may have considerable implications for public health policy.
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Rapunzel

Jason David Eubanks

Her pasty white flesh is prodigious,
Enough to completely dislocate her knee
With a simple fall from standing,
Her pulseless leg lying frightened
On the table where this grown woman
Whimpers like a child –
But she is known most for her hair,
Or the absence thereof,
The tiny islands of centimeter stubble
Surrounded by a sea of battered scalp
Raped of its rolling, wavy color
By desperate hands –
Trichotillomania,
They say under hushed breaths,
And some will even eat it, The trichobezoar swimming in the
Sewage of the gluttonous bowels – Rapunzel, Rapunzel, let down your hair –
In your solitary tower
You have pulled the braided ladder Down to the last few follicles
In hopes the enchantress would leave you,
Alone –
You are alone, A spectacled-bald and banished Rapunzel Who screams in horror
As my pulling hands deliver you A stable reduction.

Jason David Eubanks, MD, is a graduate of Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine in Cleveland, Ohio and a current Spine Fellow in Orthopaedic Surgery at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center. His poetry has been published in numerous literary and medical journals. Among others, these include JAMA, Annals of Internal Medicine, Annals of Emergency Medicine and The Pharos. Dr Eubanks’ book, Rotations: a Medical Student’s Clinical Experience, is a collection of poems, the first of its kind, written about the process of becoming a doctor. Rapunzel represents one of Dr Eubanks’ more recent psychiatric poems. It emanates from a first-hand encounter with a patient as a resident in the trauma bay.
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