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Summary
Suicide by hanging and self-strangulation (‘hanging’) has become more common. We studied people who presented to hospital during a 28-year study period after using these methods for non-fatal self-harm. Hanging increased greatly in frequency during this time. The male:female ratio was nearly 3:1. Females were distinguished from males by far higher rates of psychiatric care, personality disorder and previous self-harm. Compared with matched individuals who presented with non-fatal self-poisoning, more of those who used hanging had high suicidal intent, fewer used alcohol in association with the act, and more subsequently died by suicide. They represent an important subgroup of those who self-harm, who require especially careful assessment and follow-up.
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Method
Information on non-fatal self-harm presentations to the general hospital in Oxford between 1978 and 2005 was collected through the Oxford Monitoring System for Attempted Suicide. Self-harm is defined as intentional self-poisoning or self-injury, irrespective of motivation or degree of suicidal intent.

Data were collected by clinicians from the hospital psychiatric service using special recording forms after they had conducted psychosocial assessments, and by scrutiny of the emergency department and other clinical records by research staff for patients not seen by the service. Information used for this study included age, gender, living circumstances, alcohol use 6 h before or at the time of the act, previous and current psychiatric care, alcohol and drug misuse, psychiatric and personality disorder, previous self-harm, and suicidal intent (from 1993) using the Beck Suicidal Intent Scale.5,6

We selected two self-poisoning controls for each hanging case. They were matched for gender, age, approximate date of presentation, whether or not a psychosocial assessment had been conducted, and residence in Oxfordshire. Information on deaths was available from the Office for National Statistics until the end of 2000 on patients who presented between 1978 and 1997.7

Statistical analyses were conducted using Stata version 9 for Windows and conditional logistic regression for comparing matched case–control groups.

Results
During the 28-year study period, 166 individuals (121 (72.9%) males and 45 (27.1%) females) presented to the general hospital after non-fatal hanging. They were involved in 191 episodes: 152 involving hanging and 39 strangulation. They were also involved in 440 other episodes of self-harm.

The numbers of episodes involving hanging increased substantially during the study period, especially between 1992 and 2005: 1978–1984, 16/6139 self-harm episodes (0.26%); 1985–1991, 12/6019 episodes (0.20%); 1992–1998, 42/9022 episodes (0.47%); 1999–2005, 121/11 403 episodes (1.06%) (χ² for trend=58.38, d.f.=3, P<0.001).

Individuals who presented after non-fatal hanging were predominantly single (94/156; 60.3%). Their ages were: <15 years, n=2 (1.2%); 15–24 years, n=56 (33.7%); 25–34 years, n=41 (24.7%); 35–54 years, n=52 (31.3%); and 55+ years, n=15 (9.0%). More females than males were in current psychiatric care (30/44 (68.2%) v. 53/121 (44.0%); χ²=15.62, P<0.001) and less frequent use of alcohol in the act (22/53 (41.5%) v. 32/104 (30.8%); χ²=3.96, P=0.048). They were less likely than the controls to have previous psychiatric in-patient treatment (11/44 (25%) v. 6/104 (5.8%); χ²=11.25, P=0.001) and had previously been psychiatric hospital in-patients (11/44 (25%) v. 6/104 (5.8%); χ²=11.25, P=0.001) and had previously been psychiatric hospital in-patients (18/31 (58.1%) v. 16/82 (19.5%); χ²=15.90, P<0.001). More females had a personality disorder diagnosed (11/16 (68.8%) v. 7/51 (13.7%); χ²=18.77, P<0.001) and had a history of self-harm (34/38 (89.5%) v. 52/98 (53.1%); χ²=15.62, P<0.001). Suicidal intent scores were similar in males (median 15) and females (median 12; Mann–Whitney U, z=−1.51, P=0.13).

Significant findings from univariate analyses (Table 1) indicate that individuals in the hanging (case) group were more likely than those in the self-poisoning (control) group to be living alone or in an institution and to have high scores (>12) on the Suicidal Intent Scale. They were less likely than the controls to have consumed alcohol during the 6 h before or at the time of self-harm. Multivariate analysis including all significant variables demonstrated that high suicidal intent (odds ratio (OR)=3.28, 95% CI 1.62–6.63) and less frequent use of alcohol in the act (OR=0.34, 95% CI 0.14–0.84) independently distinguished the case group from the controls.
Deaths in the follow-up period occurred in 12/52 (23.1%) of the case group and 12/74 (16.2%; $\chi^2=0.54$, not significant) of the controls who presented between 1978 and 1997 and were followed-up until the end of 2000. Death by suicide (including undetermined and accidental poisoning verdicts) was more frequent in the case group than in the controls (5/52, 9.6% v. 0/74, 0.0%; Fisher’s exact test, $P=0.011$). Of the suicides in the case group, one involved hanging.

### Discussion

A major increase in general hospital presentations was found for non-fatal hanging and self-strangulation, especially in recent years. This has paralleled the large increase in hanging as a method of suicide in the general population in the UK. The reasons for this are unclear. It is a major concern as prevention of hanging is extremely difficult outside of certain institutional settings such as psychiatric hospitals and prisons. The proportionate use of hanging and self-strangulation was similar to the pattern of use of these methods for suicide. Also, the malefemale ratio in non-fatal hanging was 3:1, which is similar to that for suicide in the general population in the UK. The reasons for this are unclear. It is a major concern as prevention of hanging is extremely difficult outside of certain institutional settings such as psychiatric hospitals and prisons.

The main factor distinguishing those using hanging from those using self-poisoning, other than gender difference, was higher suicidal intent. Many people using hanging are making ‘suicide attempts’, rather than engaging in self-harm for non-suicidal reasons (e.g. demonstrating distress). The less frequent associations of alcohol consumption with hanging is in keeping with the general tendency for males to use more violent methods of suicide. Other important gender differences suggest that non-fatal hanging in females is associated with long-term psychiatric problems, personality disorder and previous self-harm, whereas males have little or no involvement with services.

The main factor distinguishing those using hanging from those using self-poisoning, other than gender difference, was higher suicidal intent. Many people using hanging are making ‘suicide attempts’, rather than engaging in self-harm for non-suicidal reasons (e.g. demonstrating distress). The less frequent associations of alcohol consumption with hanging is in keeping with the general tendency for males to use more violent methods of suicide. Other important gender differences suggest that non-fatal hanging in females is associated with long-term psychiatric problems, personality disorder and previous self-harm, whereas males have little or no involvement with services.
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### Table 1 Case–control comparisons from univariate conditional logistic regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Hanging cases</th>
<th>Self-poisoning controls</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>32/155 (20.7)</td>
<td>56/903 (18.5)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.73–1.98)</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living alone</td>
<td>62/129 (48.1)</td>
<td>88/246 (35.8)</td>
<td>1.76 (1.13–2.80)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living in an institution</td>
<td>16/129 (12.6)</td>
<td>11/246 (4.5)</td>
<td>2.85 (1.28–6.30)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>56/143 (39.2)</td>
<td>104/270 (38.5)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.68–1.57)</td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol in 6 h before self-harm</td>
<td>51/122 (41.8)</td>
<td>124/226 (54.9)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.37–0.92)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol as part of self-harm act</td>
<td>18/188 (15.3)</td>
<td>77/213 (36.2)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.15–0.53)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic alcohol misuse</td>
<td>46/123 (37.4)</td>
<td>93/223 (41.7)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.52–1.29)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug misuse</td>
<td>43/138 (31.2)</td>
<td>59/249 (23.7)</td>
<td>1.52 (0.88–2.61)</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous self-harm</td>
<td>85/134 (63.4)</td>
<td>157/254 (62.0)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.67–1.65)</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal Intent Scale (score &gt;12)</td>
<td>45/72 (62.5)</td>
<td>36/110 (32.7)</td>
<td>2.92 (1.58–5.40)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Variables remained significant in multivariate models where all significant univariate variables were included using forward and backward stepwise ($P_{\text{adj}}=0.05$) methods. Logistic regression chi-squared=19.67, $P_{\text{adj}}=0.001$; Pseudo $R^2=0.182$.
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