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Background
There is relatively little existing information regarding the neural correlates of deception in individuals with psychopathic traits.

Aims
To investigate the relationship between neural responses during deception and psychopathic personality traits in a sample of male participants drawn from the normal population.

Method
Twenty-four male participants carried out a simple deception paradigm while undergoing functional magnetic resonance imaging. Psychopathic traits were assessed in the sample using the Psychopathic Personality Inventory (PPI).

Results
Mean response times were greater for the lie than truth condition. Lie responses resulted in enhanced activation of the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex. The PPI sub-scales, coldheartedness, fearlessness, Machiavellian egocentricity, social potency and stress immunity were found to be correlated with activation patterns in the brain circuitry implicated in both deception and related processes such as behavioural restraint and social cognition.

Conclusions
This is a novel technology that may prove useful in our understanding of some of the key components of the psychopathy construct in both clinical and non-clinical contexts.
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Deception is a normal component of human social interaction that follows a developmental trajectory. Disorders such as antisocial personality disorder and psychopathy, in particular, are characterised by high levels of deceptive behaviours and show a poor response to psychological treatment. Improving our ability to detect deceptive behaviours in forensic samples may help assist in the process of risk assessment and management of high-risk antisocial individuals. Although there are few studies explicitly investigating the relationship between psychopathy and deception, there is some evidence to suggest that psychopathy is not associated with an increased ability to deceive, but may be associated with alterations in the non-verbal correlates of deception.

In recent years there has been a growth in interest in the use of functional magnetic imaging techniques (fMRI) to study the neural correlates of deception. In normal populations, fMRI neuroimaging studies of deception show activation in a variety of areas in the prefrontal cortex including the orbitofrontal/ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. In addition, a number of other brain areas have been implicated in the neural control of deception including the anterior cingulate cortex, thalamus, temporal lobes, parietal lobes, caudate, and insula. Recently, structural MRI studies have demonstrated increases in frontal white matter, particularly in the orbitofrontal lobes, in populations with marked deceitful traits as measured by the Psychopathy Checklist Revised (PCL–R). To date there is only one published fMRI study of deception that has included a measure of psychopathic personality traits. In an fMRI study of autobiographical and non-autobiographical deception in a mixed gender sample, Nunez et al found that higher coldheartedness scores on the Psychopathic Personality Inventory (PPI) were associated with reduced blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) responses in the posterior cingulate and precuneus cortices, during non-autobiographical deception. However, psychopathic personality traits have been shown to be less frequent in female than male samples and the use of a mixed gender sample in the Nunez et al study may have attenuated the nature and type of associations found between brain activity during deception and psychopathic personality traits.

The aim of the present study was to use a simple fMRI deception paradigm devised by Spence et al to investigate the relationship between BOLD responses during deception and psychopathic personality traits measured using the PPI in a sample of male participants drawn from the normal population. Similar to Nunez et al, a lie was defined by the three basic features described by Coleman & Kay. That is, the intentional giving of a false response and awareness that the response is false rather than a mistake. We predicted that consistent with the findings of previous studies using the same paradigm, deceitful responding (relative to truthful responding) would be associated with increased BOLD responses in the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, and increased response times for false responses indicating an interference effect. We further predicted that scores on the PPI sub-scales would be significantly associated with BOLD responses in brain areas previously implicated in the neural control of deception.

Method
Participants
Twenty-four (21 right handed and 3 left handed) male participants aged 19–60 years (mean=30.04, s.d.=11.34) were recruited from University of Manchester ancillary staff and students. Specifically, participants were recruited using adverts placed in the University staff news letter and by approaching portering staff in each building. Students were recruited by targeting university sports teams (i.e. rugby teams) with the hypothesis that participants drawn from these populations may show higher levels of subclinical psychopathy spectrum personality traits. The majority
(n=22) of the sample were White with the remaining participants of Asian ethnicity. The mean IQ of the sample measured using the National Adult Reading Test was 113.87 (s.d.=7.60, range 96–128). The study was approved by the University of Manchester research ethics committee and participants gave written informed consent for participation in the study.

**Measurement of psychopathic personality traits**

Psychopathic personality traits were assessed using the Psychopathic Personality Inventory. The PPI is a 187-item self-report questionnaire with a total score and 8 sub-scales designed to measure psychopathic personality traits in a dimensional manner.

These include:

(a) Machiavellian egocentricity which is characterised by 'looking out for one's own interests before others';
(b) social potency, or the 'ability to be charming and influence others';
(c) coldheartedness is the 'propensity towards callousness, guiltlessness, and unsentimentality';
(d) carefree non-planfulness, is the 'non-planning component of impulsivity';
(e) fearlessness, is the 'absence of anxiety and harm concerning eagerness to take risks';
(f) blame externalisation, is the 'tendency to view others as source of problems';
(g) impulsive non-conformity, is the 'reckless lack of concern for social mores';
(h) stress immunity, is the 'absence of marked reactions to otherwise anxiety provoking events' (pp. 500–2).

Each item consists of a statement to which participants must indicate how accurately it applies to them using a 4-point scale ranging from 1 'false' to 4 'true'. The PPI has been shown to have good convergent and discriminant validity in both community and criminal samples. In particular, it shows good criterion related validity when compared with structured, collaboratively rated clinical assessments of psychopathy such as the PCL–R. The PPI scores for the sample are shown in Table 1. The mean total PPI score for the sample was lower than that reported by Lilienfeld et al. (S. Lilienfeld, personal communication, 2008), for a large sample of substance misusing male prisoners (see online supplement for details). However, individuals in the present sample did show total scores at or above the criminal mean and the fearlessness, social potency, coldheartedness and stress immunity scores for the present sample were remarkably similar to those reported for the criminal population. Additional figures demonstrating the sample distribution of scores on each sub-scale can be found in the online Fig. DS1.

### Deception paradigm

The deception task used in the present study was based on the task reported by Spence et al. Prior to scanning, participants were asked to fill in a questionnaire determining if they had performed 36 everyday acts during the current day (making the bed, taken a tablet, etc). Once in the scanner, participants were asked to lie or tell the truth about the performance of the 36 acts. In a standard ABAB block design, each participant was required to lie about the performance of each act once and tell the truth about the performance of each of the 36 acts once. Each of the 12 blocks contained 6 acts and each act was displayed visually on a screen for 5 s in the form 'In the course of today have you . . . (made the bed)'. Participants were required to make a motor response on a button box in order to answer yes or no. They were instructed to lie or tell the truth depending on which prompt appeared on the screen. In order to increase task performance, participants were informed that an experimenter would be monitoring their responses in order to detect whether they were lying. Participants carried out a practice block prior to the main task. Response accuracy was calculated by comparing responses made to the truth or lie prompt during the task to the original response made in the 36-item questionnaire. Response times (seconds) were recorded for each trial and average response times during the truth and lie conditions were compared using a two-tailed paired-sample t-test. In addition, response times and response accuracy (relative to the original questionnaire items) were correlated with PPI sub-scales using Spearman's correlations.

### MRI image acquisition

Images were acquired using a Philips (Eindhoven, Holland) 1.5 T Gyroscan ACS NT retrofitted with Powertrak 6000 gradients, operating at a software level 6.1.2 T2*-weighted volumes were acquired using a singleshot echo-planar imaging pulse sequence. Each volume comprised 40 contiguous axial slices, (response time (TR)/echo time (TE) 5000/40 ms, 64 × 64 data matrix, 3.5 mm thickness with an inplane resolution of 3 × 3 mm). The stimuli were rear projected onto a screen using a liquid crystal display projection system. Task administration was coupled to image acquisition using personal computer software and hardware linked to a response button.

### Analysis

Imaging data were analysed using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM5, Friston, The Welcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK). Images were corrected for motion and then realigned with the first scan serving as a reference. The scans were then normalised into a standard stereotactic space using Montreal Neurological Institute templates. Images were finally smoothed with a 10 mm Gaussian filter to facilitate inter-individual averaging. After this spatial preprocessing, at an individual level, a general
linear model with a delayed boxcar waveform was used to model BOLD signal changes during the task. The individual images were then combined in a random effects analysis that would allow inference to the general population using an independent samples t-test to investigate the main effect of the task. The main effect for the lie condition was the BOLD signal seen in the lie condition minus the BOLD signal seen in the truth condition. The main effect for the truth condition was the reverse subtraction.

The resulting statistical maps were thresholded at $P<0.001$ uncorrected with only cluster sizes of five or more contiguous voxels being reported. As the inferior (frontopolar/orbitofrontal/ventrolateral prefrontal), and superior frontal (dorsolateral/dorsomedial prefrontal) cortex are the regions in which activations are most consistently reported across different deception paradigms in the literature, we concentrated our primary analysis solely on these areas. In order to control for type I errors we applied small volume corrections for family-wise error at $P \leq 0.05$ to these a priori regions of interest. Areas of activation at the $P<0.001$ uncorrected level are also reported when bilateral activations were seen.

In addition, we performed an exploratory analysis of signal in those areas less consistently identified by the past literature as active during deceptive responses; anterior cingulate, caudate, insula, thalamus, temporal lobes, temporal poles, posterior cingulate and precuneus. Again, in these regions we performed small volume corrections for family-wise error.

The association between BOLD responses during the lie condition and scores on the PPI sub-scales was investigated using linear regression analysis. During this analysis, for each condition and scores on the PPI sub-scales was investigated using small volume corrections for family-wise error.

There were no significant correlations between any of the other mean PPI sub-scales/factor scores and mean response accuracy or response time for the lie condition or truth condition.

### Functional MRI data

#### Main effect of task

During the lie condition (relative to the truth condition) an increased BOLD response was seen in the left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (Brodmann area (BA)47). An increased BOLD response at the $P<0.001$ uncorrected level was also seen in the right ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (Table 2 and online Fig. DS2).

During the truth condition (relative to the lie condition) an increased BOLD response was seen bilaterally in the frontopolar area of the prefrontal cortex (BA10) extending into the medial superior frontal cortex (Table 2).

The results remained similar when the analysis was rerun excluding six participants who achieved less than 90% overall response accuracy for the task.

#### Relationship between the PPI sub-scales and BOLD response during the lie condition

The results of the correlational analysis are shown in Table 3. During the lie condition (relative to the truth), fearlessness scores were negatively correlated with BOLD responses in the right orbitofrontal cortex (Fig. 1). Coldheartedness scores were negatively correlated with BOLD responses bilaterally in the temporal poles (Fig. 2). Machiavellian egocentricity scores were negatively correlated with BOLD responses bilaterally in the caudate. Social potency scores were negatively correlated with BOLD responses bilaterally in the right posterior cingulate. Stress immunity scores were negatively correlated with BOLD response bilaterally in the insula. These associations remained significant after covarying for handedness and age (where appropriate).

There were no significant associations between BOLD responses during the lie condition in any of the brain areas of interest and the PPI total score or impulsive non-conformity, carefree non-planfullness and blame externalisation sub-scale scores.

#### Discussion

The ability to non-invasively examine the neural correlates of deception in disorders such as antisocial personality disorder

### Table 2 Main effect of the deception task

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster size (k)</th>
<th>Montreal Neurological institute coordinates</th>
<th>$Z$</th>
<th>Family-wise error, corrected probability</th>
<th>Anatomical area</th>
<th>Brodmann area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lie–truth</td>
<td>-30, 24, -12</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>Left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51, 24, -15</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>0.105$^*$</td>
<td>Right ventrolateral prefrontal cortex</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truth–lie</td>
<td>-6, 48, -6</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Left frontopolar prefrontal cortex</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3, 48, -3</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>Right frontopolar prefrontal cortex</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-9, 54, 0</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>Left medial superior frontal</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6, 51, 0</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.088$^*$</td>
<td>Right medial superior frontal</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Significant at $P<0.001$, uncorrected.
and psychopathy, where deception is prominent, could offer new insights into the neuropathology of these disorders. Despite the range of paradigms and scanning parameters used, BOLD MRI studies in healthy volunteers suggest that the prefrontal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, temporal and parietal lobes, and a number of subcortical areas are involved in the neural control of deception.4,9,10 Few studies have specifically looked at the role of psychopathic personality traits despite one report that callous unemotional traits may be associated with reduced activation in brain regions required for deceptive behaviours that have no personal significance.9 This study examined the relationship between psychopathic personality trait scores and BOLD responses in brain areas of interest during a simple deception task devised by Spence et al using the same task in a smaller sample, and as such represents the first between-laboratory replication of an fMRI deception finding (a research gap recently highlighted by Spence32). This finding also supports previous studies using different deception paradigms that have reported deception related BOLD responses in inferior frontal areas.4,6,8,9,14 As the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex has been shown to be active during a number of cognitive control paradigms4,6,8,9,14 this finding also adds further weight to the argument that deception engages executive prefrontal systems in order to achieve the production of a ‘lie’ at the same time as withholding the truth.4,14 Similar to Spence et al we did not find any significant BOLD responses during deception in any of the subcortical areas involved in the neural control of deception.

### Table 3: The association between Psychopathic Personality Inventory (PPI) sub-scale scores and blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) responses in brain areas of interest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PPI scale</th>
<th>Montreal Neurological Institute coordinates</th>
<th>Family-wise error probability</th>
<th>Anatomical area</th>
<th>Brodmann area</th>
<th>Spearman’s r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fearlessness</td>
<td>5, 3, 21, –12</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>Right orbitofrontal</td>
<td>11, –0.74, P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coldheartedness</td>
<td>33, 12, 42, –9</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>Right orbitofrontal</td>
<td>11, –0.70, P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coldheartedness</td>
<td>61, –45, 12, –24</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Left temporal pole</td>
<td>38, –0.75, P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machiavellian egocentricity</td>
<td>20, 33, 18, –33</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>Right temporal pole</td>
<td>38, –0.61, P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social potency</td>
<td>16, 18, 0, 24</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Right caudate</td>
<td>n/a, –0.75, P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social potency</td>
<td>9, –3, 6, –6</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>Left caudate</td>
<td>n/a, –0.65, P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress immunity</td>
<td>10, 3, –42, 15</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Right posterior cingulate</td>
<td>29, –0.67, P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress immunity</td>
<td>13, –39, –18, 21</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>Left insula</td>
<td>n/a, –0.54, P&lt;0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress immunity</td>
<td>31, 33, –18, 6</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>Right insula</td>
<td>n/a, –0.75, P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Result remained significant after covarying for age.

### Main effect of task imaging data

Consistent with our hypothesis, we found that the lie condition (relative to the truth condition) was associated with increased BOLD responses bilaterally in the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex. This is a replication of the finding reported by Spence et al using the same task in a smaller sample, and as such represents the first between-laboratory replication of an fMRI deception finding (a research gap recently highlighted by Spence32). This finding also supports previous studies using different deception paradigms that have reported deception related BOLD responses in inferior frontal areas.4,6,8,9,14 As the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex has been shown to be active during a number of cognitive control paradigms4,6,8,9,14 this finding also adds further weight to the argument that deception engages executive prefrontal systems in order to achieve the production of a ‘lie’ at the same time as withholding the truth.4,14 Similar to Spence et al we did not find any significant BOLD responses during deception in any of the subcortical areas involved in the neural control of deception.

**Fig. 1** The association between Psychopathic Personality Inventory (PPI) fearlessness and blood oxygen level dependent response in the right orbitofrontal cortex during deception.

**Fig. 2** The association between Psychopathic Personality Inventory (PPI) coldheartedness and blood oxygen level dependent response in the temporal poles during deception.
other frontal areas of interest. Given that previous studies in the area have shown deception-related activity in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and orbitofrontal cortex, it is possible that between-study deception paradigm and sample size differences may account for the current lack of findings in these areas.

We also found that the truth condition was associated with increased BOLD responses bilaterally in the frontopolar cortex. In a recent review of frontopolar function, Koehl et al suggest that during decision-making tasks, lateral inferior frontal regions inhibit frontopolar regions in order to switch to and maintain a given response set. They also propose that frontopolar regions are able to store a previous response set in a back-up buffer in order to reinstate it following a reduction in top-down inhibition. In the present study, during the lie condition, the lateral inferior frontal cortex may have been exerting a strong inhibitory influence on the frontopolar regions in order to override the alternative truthful response set and switch to the deceitful set. In the truth condition, the frontopolar regions may have shown enhanced activation while accessing and returning to the use of the truthful response set. It is possible, therefore, that the underlying ‘task-switching’ nature of the deception paradigm used in the current study may be largely responsible for the activations seen during the truth condition. The majority of published studies in this area do not specifically examine BOLD responses during the truthful condition; however, of those that do, few reported any areas of activation during truthful responding. Despite these negative findings, Langeben et al reported truth-related activations in the left medial frontal gyrus (BA46). The differences in findings may not only reflect inter-study differences in methodology, but also, in this case in particular, inter-laboratory differences in the ability to accurately image the frontopolar region without a large degree of airspace related signal drop out.

**Relationship between personality factors and imaging findings**

Psychopathy as a construct is generally considered to be characterised by high levels of callous unemotional traits and these traits are believed to be related to dysfunction in the limbic (amygdala) striatal prefrontal circuitry. The ability to measure key components of the psychopathy construct in less pathological samples allows us to postulate on the potential neuropathology of this disorder in clinical samples. The present study found evidence that BOLD responses during deception in a number of brain areas of interest were correlated with some, but not all, psychopathic personality trait scores. Specifically focusing on the prefrontal cortex, we found inverse correlations between fearlessness scores and BOLD responses in the right orbitofrontal lobe. This suggests that those with low levels of fear and harm avoidance may find it easier to lie and therefore do not activate the orbitofrontal cortex which is a key neural structure implicated in behavioural inhibition in a number of previous imaging studies. Our own work on the neuropsychology of behavioural inhibition in samples of offenders with antisocial personality disorder suggests that this clinical group are significantly impaired on tasks probing orbitofrontal cortex function compared with healthy controls. Given reports of increased white matter volume in some of the prefrontal subregions implicated in deception-related neurocircuitry in those who lie, cheat and manipulate others, this is a brain area that warrants more detailed investigation.

In our exploratory analysis, we found that coldheartedness was inversely correlated with temporal pole BOLD responses. As the temporal pole has been found to be active during theory of mind tasks, our findings highlight the importance of looking at the role of callous unemotional traits and theory of mind/mentalisling ability in tasks assessing the cognitive elements that may be involved in the deception and manipulation of others. Nunez et al found that coldheartedness assessed using the PPI was negatively correlated with BOLD responses in the posterior cingulate and precuneus cortices. In the present study, BOLD signal in the posterior cingulate was inversely associated with PPI social potency scores. Differences between studies may reflect the gender differences in the nature of the samples studied. Base rates of psychopathic traits are lower in female populations, and female populations exhibit lower scores on some (but not all) specific symptoms such as callousness/lack of empathy on the PCL–R, PPI stress immunity, PPI social potency and on a factor similar to PPI coldheartedness. In addition, there is some indication of a gender difference in the bio-behavioural correlates of psychopathy, with only male cohorts exhibiting a lack of physiological reactivity to aversive stimuli and stress.

In this study we also found that lower stress immunity scores (i.e. more anxiety/stress) were associated with greater BOLD responses in the bilateral insula. As the insula has been shown to be involved in error processing during Go/No Go tasks, it is possible that stress immunity (which may relate to vigilance) may influence the function of the neural circuitry involved in the processing and monitoring of errors. Although at least some degree of anxiety is needed to engage error processing circuitry appropriately, it is possible that high levels may impair accuracy performance on behavioural tasks and moderate neural responses in imaging studies. Further studies are required to investigate the significance of both trait and state anxiety in deception-related brain activation patterns in those with antisocial and deceptive personality traits.

We found that higher Machiavellian egocentricity scores were associated with reduced BOLD responses in the bilateral caudate. Although there is a limited literature to compare the findings of the present study, decreased caudate activity appears to be associated with higher scores on the interpersonal (deceptive/superficial/grandiose) component of psychopathy, indicating that people with these and related personality traits, such as Machiavellian egocentricity, show reduced activation of caudate regions which are a key component of the subcortical striatal network. A common finding across species and methodologies is the involvement of the striatum, the input structure of the basal ganglia, in a circuit responsible for mediating goal-directed behaviour. In functional imaging studies, the caudate has been shown to be involved in the inhibition of both motor and mental responses and appears to be specifically involved in the mediation of arousal.

Overall, our findings fit with the previous literature suggesting that simple deception tasks activate prefrontal regions implicated in behavioural restraint and conflict monitoring and that lying results in greater activation than truthful responding. Our findings also tentatively suggest that specific personality traits may have a modulating effect on brain responses to deception tasks and that future studies examining brain activation during deception in offenders with and without psychopathic traits may be of value in understanding the neuropathology of psychopathy and antisocial personality disorder.

**Limitations**

Although the results of the present study are suggestive of an association between psychopathic traits and the neural processes involved in deception, there are a number of limitations that need to be taken into consideration. The deception paradigm used is highly constrained and similar in terms of cognitive demands to a Go/No Go test of behavioural inhibition. Recent imaging studies...
The majority of the PPI items focus on impulsive/antisocial or fearless/dominant traits, a more selective use of multiple measures of deception, such as those used by Yang et al.16,17 may have produced more specific neural correlates of a deceitful personality type.
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The Bipolar World Within Us

Jenny Wells

Love and hate, generous and greedy
Kind and cruel, independent and needy
Lead and follow, good and bad
Release and hold, happy and sad
Optimist and pessimist, high and low
Child and adult, yes and no
Honest and dishonest, weak and strong
Content and jealous, right and wrong
Help and hinder, shallow and deep
Silent and loud, sow and reap
Healthy and ill, busy and lazy
Certain and doubt, sane and crazy
Laugh and cry, fall and rise
Sweet and bitter, ignorant and wise
Passive and aggressive, cool and warm
Give and take, calm and storm
Feminine and masculine, joy and pain
Saint and sinner, modest and vain
Clever and foolish, slow and fast
Change and stagnate, fail and pass
Teacher and student, young and old
Courage and fear, shy and bold.

Born in Kent, I spent most of my early life in Aberystwyth and now live in Cornwall with my husband and our son. I was diagnosed with bipolar disorder in 1994 at the age of 33. It was very confusing, especially for my family, as I was manic and delusional. I was heavily medicated for nearly 2 years, which made me emotionally numb, and I had been admitted to psychiatric hospitals three times, last time in 1997. I have had many episodes since, though I learnt to control the illness to some extent and I rarely have lows, mostly highs. Many positive things have happened since my diagnosis – I now work for a local mental health charity, helping to eradicate the stigma associated with mental ill health; I hope that my poems are a way of doing this also.
Data supplement

Lilienfeld et al (S. Lilienfeld, personal communication, 2008) Psychopathic Personality Inventory scores for a sample of male substance misusing prisoners.

Total score: mean=388.87 (s.d.=40.89)
Machiavellian egocentricity: mean=70.02 (s.d.=14.71)
Social potency: mean=64.98 (s.d.=11.48)
Fearlessness: mean=50.28 (s.d.=10.40)
Coldheartedness: mean=44.73 (s.d.=8.29)

Impulsive non-conformity: mean=38.01 (s.d.=7.64)
Blame externalisation: mean=43.71 (s.d.=10.08)
Carefree non-planfulness: mean=39.38 (s.d.=8.75)
Stress immunity: mean=31.06 (s.d.=5.87)

Fig. DS1 Psychopathic Personality Inventory (a) total and sub-scale score distributions for the sample. Sub-scales: (b) Machiavellian egocentricity, (c) social potency, (d) fearlessness, (e) coldheartedness, (f) impulsive non-conformity, (g) blame externalisation, (h) carefree non-planfulness, (i) stress immunity.
Fig. DS1  continued
Fig. DS2  Blood oxygen level dependent responses in the bilateral ventrolateral prefrontal cortex during the lie condition.
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