

International and indigenous diagnoses of mental disorder among Vietnamese living in Vietnam and Australia

Zachary Steel, Derrick Silove, Nguyen Mong Giao, Thuy Thi Bich Phan, Tien Chey, Anna Whelan, Adrian Bauman and Richard A. Bryant

Background

Whether the prevalence rates of common mental disorders can be compared across countries depends on the cultural validity of the diagnostic measures used.

Aims

To investigate the prevalence of Western and indigenously defined mental disorders among Vietnamese living in Vietnam and in Australia, comparing the data with an Australian-born sample.

Method

Comparative analysis of three multistage population surveys, including samples drawn from a community living in the Mekong Delta region of Vietnam ($n=3039$), Vietnamese immigrants residing in New South Wales, Australia ($n=1161$), and an Australian-born population ($n=7961$). Western-defined mental disorders were assessed by the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) 2.0 and included DSM-IV anxiety, mood and substance use disorders as well as the ICD-10 category of neurasthenia. The Vietnamese surveys also applied the indigenously based Phan Vietnamese Psychiatric Scale (PVPS). Functional impairment and service use were assessed.

Results

The prevalence of CIDI mental disorders for Mekong Delta Vietnamese was 1.8% compared with 6.1% for Australian Vietnamese and 16.7% for Australians. Inclusion of PVPS mental disorders increased the prevalence rates to 8.8% for Mekong Delta Vietnamese and 11.7% for Australian Vietnamese. Concordance was moderate to good between the CIDI and the PVPS for Australian Vietnamese (area under the curve (AUC)=0.77) but low for Mekong Delta Vietnamese (AUC=0.59). PVPS- and CIDI-defined mental disorders were associated with similar levels of functional impairment.

Conclusions

Cultural factors in the expression of mental distress may influence the prevalence rates of mental disorders reported across countries. The findings have implications for assessing mental health needs at an international level.

Declaration of interest

None.

A striking finding emerging from the burgeoning field of international psychiatric epidemiology is the wide variation in the prevalence rates of mental disorder recorded across countries and regions.^{1,2} For example, several national surveys have yielded substantially lower rates of common mental disorders in North East and South East Asia compared with English-speaking countries of the West.^{1,3–5} An important question, therefore, is whether the most commonly used measure, the Composite International Diagnostic Instrument (CIDI), underestimates the prevalence of mental disorder in some Asian cultures.⁵ We report the first epidemiological study comparing an indigenously derived measure with the CIDI among an Asian population, the Vietnamese, living in Vietnam and as immigrants in Australia. We examine whether being resident in a Western country increases the endorsement of CIDI-based mental disorders among Vietnamese people. The data are compared with those obtained from an Australian-born sample.

Method

Sampling and procedure

Mekong Delta survey

The Vietnam-based sample was obtained from a survey in the Mekong Delta region. The study was conducted between November 2004 and March 2005, covering the regions of Cần Thơ City and Hậu Giang province. Cần Thơ City, located 160 km south

of Ho Chi Minh City on the banks of the Hậu Giang river, is the fifth largest city in Vietnam and the main urban centre of the Mekong Delta region (population of 1 121 141). The province of Hậu Giang lies adjacent to Cần Thơ City and covers a rural population of 772 239.

The survey applied a multistage probabilistic cluster sampling frame with the commune or hamlet (the smallest geographic area for which census information is available) specified as the primary sampling unit. The first stage applied probability proportional to size sampling to identify 15 of a pool of 478 hamlets in Hậu Giang province and 16 from a pool of 503 hamlets in Cần Thơ City. In each hamlet, 100 consecutive households were selected using a random commencement point. Within each household, a single respondent aged 18 years or older was selected using a Kish grid without replacement for non-response. Overall, 3039 people living in 3100 households agreed to participate, forming the Mekong Delta Vietnamese sample (response rate 98%).

Twenty-five local personnel (5 mental health physicians, 16 mental health nurses and 4 general staff) conducted the interviews. All interviewers received 40 h of initial training in the administration of the research instruments, as well as ongoing supervision.

Australian Vietnamese survey

The Australian Vietnamese survey was conducted between June 1999 and May 2000. A full description of survey methods has been

provided elsewhere.⁶ Sampling of private dwellings was carried out across five local government areas in New South Wales, Australia, housing 41 487 people or 75% of the total adult Vietnamese population resident in that state. A probability proportional to size cluster-sampling method was applied to select 44 census collection districts in which all identified households were approached. The occupants were contacted by an introductory letter and this was followed by a face-to-face visit. A Vietnamese-speaking bilingual interviewer then ascertained whether the household was occupied by persons of Vietnamese origin. Of the 6224 private dwellings approached, 1413 housed at least one Vietnamese person. A single respondent aged 18 years or older was randomly selected for interview. Interviews were conducted by trained bilingual Vietnamese-speaking lay workers. The sample included 1161 individuals, a response rate of 82%. Participants completed the interviews in Vietnamese (98.6%) or English (1.4%).

Australian Bureau of Statistics survey

The Australian Bureau of Statistics survey, described elsewhere,⁷ was conducted between May 1997 and August 1997, consisting of a nationwide stratified multistage probability sample of 13 624 private dwellings. Interviews were conducted with 10 641 individuals randomly selected from each household (response rate of 78%). Responses of the 7961 participants born in Australia (Australians) were extracted for analysis.

Survey instruments

Diagnostic assessment

All three surveys applied the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) 2.0, a lay administered instrument yielding DSM-IV diagnoses.⁸ The measure has been widely employed in international psychiatric epidemiological studies. The CIDI identified the 12-month prevalence of DSM-IV anxiety disorders (panic disorder, agoraphobia, social phobia, generalised anxiety disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder and post-traumatic stress disorder), mood disorders (depression, dysthymia, mania, hypomania and bipolar disorder) and substance use disorders (alcohol and substance harmful use/misuse and dependence). The ICD-10⁹ diagnosis of neurasthenia was included since there is no equivalent category in DSM-IV.

The two Vietnamese studies also included the Phan Vietnamese Psychiatric Scale (PVPS), a questionnaire designed to identify culturally relevant idioms and expressions of psychological distress in that ethnic group.¹⁰ A full description of the development of the measure has been published previously¹⁰ and only a summary of the procedure will be provided herein. The measure was developed in sequential stages. First, items describing a wide range of emotional states were derived from a comprehensive review of both the medical and general Vietnamese-language literature. Next, a series of ethnographic studies involving members of the Vietnamese community yielded further items for inclusion in the pool. Items were then subjected to psychometric testing based on responses of Vietnamese samples attending psychiatric and general health clinics. From these analyses, three broad symptom constellations emerged, broadly recognisable as the domains of anxiety, depression and somatisation. The final measure included a 26-item depression scale, a 13-item anxiety scale and a 14-item somatisation scale. Psychometric tests revealed sound internal consistency ($r=0.87-0.95$) and test-retest reliability ($r=0.81-0.89$) for the scales. Criterion validity was assessed by comparing the anxiety, depression and somatisation sub-scales with diagnoses made by naturalist healers

($\kappa=0.45-0.71$), psychiatrists ($\kappa=0.49-0.62$) and by structured diagnostic instruments ($\kappa=0.61-0.69$) demonstrating satisfactory diagnostic agreement. To maintain the cultural foundations of the measure, threshold scores were adopted from diagnoses made by naturalist healers.¹⁰ In the present study, PVPS symptoms were recorded for the preceding 12 months to ensure consistency with the CIDI.

Disability

All surveys included two measures of disability: the Medical Outcomes Study Short Form-12 (MOS-SF-12) generates a physical (PCS) and a mental (MCS) health component functioning score, with lower scores indicating higher levels of disability.⁶ The PCS includes items assessing physical functioning, including difficulties in role performance attributed to physical symptoms, bodily pain and general health. The MCS includes items assessing vitality, social functioning, role performance difficulties attributed to emotional problems and mental health. The PCS and MCS were categorised according to two levels of disability: none or mild (40 or above) and moderate to severe (below 40).⁶

The number of disability days was based on two questions⁷ assessing the number of days respondents were unable to work or to carry out normal activities, and the number of days respondents had to significantly reduce their activity because of ill health. The combined index was stratified according to three levels: no days of disability; 1-5 days of disability; and 5+ days of disability.⁶

Service utilisation

Contact with service providers for all health and mental health problems in the previous 12 months was recorded.⁷ Service providers included primary care physicians; a mental health practitioner; and, in the Vietnamese samples, a traditional healer (Chinese doctor, acupuncturist, herbalist, folk healer/witchcraft practitioner and fortune teller/cosmologist).⁶

Translation-back-translation

The CIDI and MOS-SF-12 were translated in Australia using established methods^{6,11} with minor discrepancies being reconciled by a Vietnamese mental health professional and a panel of seven bilingual healthcare interpreters. Minor modifications relevant to local language usage were made for the Mekong Delta survey by five senior mental health staff from C n Tho health service.

Across all sites, interviewers administered the CIDI and other measures using prompt cards and a computerised data-entry system.

Statistical analysis

All three samples were stratified according to the age and gender distribution of the target population. For the Mekong Delta Vietnamese, the age and gender distribution of the general population was derived from the 1999 Vietnam census of housing and population. For Australian Vietnamese, weighting was based on the New South Wales Vietnamese population derived from the 2001 Australian census. The Australian sample was weighted according to the age and gender distribution of the 1996 Australian population. For the two Vietnamese samples, standard errors for prevalence estimates were calculated using the SAS software package 9.1.3 for Windows, adjusting for clustering effects. For Australians, a jackknife method using 30 replicate weights was applied to calculate the standard errors of the

prevalence estimates in accordance with the guidelines provided by the Australian Bureau of Statistics.⁷

Demographic characteristics of the three populations surveyed are presented as weighted counts and prevalence estimates (%). Chi-squared tests were used to compare categorical variables. Cohen's kappa (κ) and the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) were used to assess the level of diagnostic agreement between the CIDI and PVPS. The κ -statistic ranges from 0.0 to 1.0, providing a measure of agreement corrected for chance. It is sensitive to population prevalence rates, however, even when sensitivity and specificity estimates remain constant across samples. In effect, this means that the κ is likely to be higher in clinic samples than in population-based studies.¹² The AUC addresses this limitation because it is not sensitive to prevalence rates.^{12,13} Estimates for AUC range from 0.5 to 1.0. A score of 0.9 or greater indicates near perfect agreement; 0.8–0.9, substantial agreement; 0.7–0.8, moderate agreement; 0.6–0.7 fair agreement; and less than 0.6, slight agreement.^{13,14}

Results

The average period of residency for Australian Vietnamese in Australia was 11.3 years (s.d.=5.9). Table 1 presents weighted age and gender characteristics for the three samples. There were no gender differences ($\chi^2_{(2)}=1.90$, $P>0.05$) but the Vietnamese samples were younger than Australians ($\chi^2_{(10)}=405$, $P<0.0001$). More Mekong Delta Vietnamese were married and fewer were divorced ($\chi^2_{(6)}=327.3$, $P<0.0001$). Australian Vietnamese had higher levels of education compared with Mekong Delta Vietnamese ($\chi^2_{(4)}=825$, $P<0.0001$). Australians had higher levels of tertiary education than either of the Vietnamese groups.

Workforce participation was lower among Mekong Delta Vietnamese (49.9; $\chi^2_{(4)}=687$, $P<0.0001$).

Prevalence of CIDI mental disorders

Table 2 presents the weighted prevalence estimates with design corrected standard errors for CIDI mood, anxiety and substance use disorders as well as ICD-10 neurasthenia. The prevalence of all CIDI disorders for the Mekong Delta Vietnamese was 1.9% compared with 6.7% for Australian Vietnamese and 17.1% for Australians.

The pattern was consistent when anxiety and depressive disorders were examined separately. The rates of substance use disorders were similarly low for the two Vietnamese samples (1.1% for Mekong Delta Vietnamese and 1.6% for Australian Vietnamese), about a fifth of the prevalence for Australians (8.8%). Both Vietnamese samples had low rates of neurasthenia compared with Australians.

Prevalence of PVPS mental disorders

Table 2 presents prevalence rates yielded by the PVPS. Mekong Delta Vietnamese (7.4%) and Australian Vietnamese (8.4%) had similar overall prevalence rates, with the same pattern emerging for the individual domains of anxiety, depression and somatisation. Somatisation was the most commonly assigned diagnosis in both samples, followed by anxiety and then depression.

Comparing CIDI and PVPS cases

Table 3 compares data from the CIDI and the PVPS for the Vietnamese groups. The aggregated prevalence of CIDI and PVPS disorders was 8.8% for the Mekong Delta Vietnamese, with the PVPS identifying 84.1% and the CIDI 21.9% of all participants

Table 1 Weighted socio-demographic characteristics of Mekong Delta Vietnamese ($n=3039$), Australian Vietnamese ($n=1161$) and Australians ($n=7961$)^a

	Mekong Delta Vietnamese		Australian Vietnamese		Australian	
	<i>n</i>	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	<i>n</i>	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	<i>n</i>	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)
Gender						
Male	1431	47.1 (1.2)	572	49.3 (2.0)	3839	48.2 (0.6)
Female	1608	52.9 (1.2)	589	50.7 (2.0)	4122	51.8 (0.6)
Age, years						
18–24	757	24.9 (1.1)	192	16.5 (2.3)	1193	15.0 (0.7)
25–34	849	27.9 (1.3)	326	28.1 (2.1)	1775	22.3 (0.8)
35–44	616	20.3 (0.6)	346	29.8 (1.8)	1654	20.8 (0.8)
45–54	355	11.7 (0.7)	157	13.5 (1.2)	1268	15.9 (0.7)
55–64	187	6.2 (0.6)	52	4.5 (0.6)	857	10.8 (0.6)
65+	274	9.0 (0.7)	88	7.6 (1.0)	1215	15.3 (0.7)
Marital status						
Never married	818	26.9 (1.1)	313	26.9 (2.5)	1834	23.0 (0.9)
Married	2153	70.8 (1.1)	739	63.7 (2.4)	5038	63.3 (1.0)
Separated/divorced	19	0.6 (0.1)	74	6.4 (0.8)	619	7.8 (0.6)
Widowed	49	1.6 (0.3)	33	2.9 (0.6)	471	5.9 (0.5)
Highest qualification/trade						
None/at school/ < 12 years	1900	61.5 (2.8)	689	59.4 (2.0)	2842	35.7 (0.5)
Secondary or vocational training	739	23.9 (1.5)	358	30.8 (2.2)	4008	50.3 (0.6)
Tertiary	380	12.3 (2.2)	114	9.8 (1.5)	1111	14.0 (0.4)
Employment status						
Employed	1201	39.5 (1.7)	495	42.7 (2.0)	5135	64.5 (0.6)
Unpaid labour	1522	50.1 (1.9)	574	49.5 (1.9)	2503	31.4 (0.6)
Unemployed/not in workforce	315	10.4 (1.0)	91	7.9 (1.0)	323	4.1 (0.3)
Housing						
Owner	3001	98.8 (0.3)	375	32.3 (2.6)	5037	63.3 (0.6)
Renting privately/from government	21	0.7 (0.2)	644	55.5 (3.1)	1968	24.7 (0.6)
Other	16	0.5 (0.2)	141	12.2 (1.7)	956	12.0 (0.4)

a. Standard errors adjusted for sampling design.

Table 2 12-month prevalence of Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) and Phan Vietnamese Psychiatric Scale (PVPS) mental disorders among Mekong Delta Vietnamese ($n=3039$), Australian Vietnamese ($n=1161$) and Australians ($n=7961$)^a

	Mekong Delta Vietnamese		Australian Vietnamese		Australians	
	<i>n</i>	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	<i>n</i>	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	<i>n</i>	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)
<i>DSM-IV disorders</i>						
Total DSM-IV disorders	58	1.9 (0.3)	77	6.7 (1.0)	1365	17.1 (0.4)
DSM-IV anxiety disorders	12	0.4 (0.1)	35	3.1 (0.8)	467	5.9 (0.3)
Panic/agoraphobia	5	0.1 (0.1)	6	0.6 (0.4)	129	1.6 (0.1)
Social phobia	1	0.0 (0.0)	4	0.3 (0.3)	123	1.5 (0.1)
Generalised anxiety disorder	3	0.1 (0.1)	8	0.7 (0.2)	217	2.7 (0.2)
Obsessive-compulsive disorder	3	0.1 (0.1)	6	0.5 (0.2)	56	0.7 (0.1)
Post-traumatic stress disorder	2	0.1 (0.0)	17	1.5 (0.4)	114	1.4 (0.1)
DSM-IV mood disorders	14	0.4 (0.2)	30	2.6 (0.5)	563	7.1 (0.3)
Major depression	9	0.3 (0.2)	21	1.8 (0.4)	533	6.7 (0.3)
Dysthymia	3	0.1 (0.1)	11	1.0 (0.3)	38	0.5 (0.1)
DSM-IV substance disorders	34	1.1 (0.3)	19	1.6 (0.6)	699	8.8 (0.3)
Alcohol use disorders	33	1.1 (0.3)	13	1.1 (0.5)	533	6.7 (0.3)
Drug use disorders	1	0.0 (0.0)	6	0.5 (0.3)	258	3.2 (0.2)
ICD-10 neurasthenia	3	0.1 (0.1)	12	1.0 (0.3)	134	1.7 (0.1)
<i>PVPS disorders</i>						
Total PVPS disorders	224	7.4 (0.8)	98	8.4 (0.7)		
Depression	37	1.2 (0.7)	27	2.4 (0.5)		
Anxiety	122	4.0 (0.2)	42	3.6 (0.5)		
Somatisation	162	5.3 (0.6)	82	7.1 (0.6)		

a. Standard errors adjusted for sampling design.

identified by both systems. The PVPS identified 208 unique cases, the CIDI 42 unique cases and both systems 16 cases, indicating minimum diagnostic overlap (AUC=0.59). For Australian Vietnamese, the two measures yielded a combined prevalence of 11.7%. The PVPS identified 72.2% of all cases and the CIDI 57%. The PVPS identified 58 unique cases, the CIDI 38 cases, and both systems 40 cases, representing a moderate degree of diagnostic agreement (AUC=0.77).

Table 3 indicates that in both Vietnamese populations, depression was the domain with the greatest level of concordance across the diagnostic systems (Mekong Delta Vietnamese, 64.8%, Australian Vietnamese, 92%) followed by PVPS anxiety (Mekong Delta Vietnamese, 34.7%; Australian Vietnamese, 80.7%). The PVPS somatisation scale showed a low level of overlap with CIDI neurasthenia (Mekong Delta Vietnamese, 28.5%; Australian Vietnamese, 61.9%). Virtually all those with neurasthenia also had PVPS somatisation, but a larger number of Vietnamese fell into the latter category alone.

Functional impairment

In the first set of analyses, individuals identified by either diagnostic measure were examined. In all three samples, being assigned a mental disorder was associated with substantial functional impairment (Table 4). A greater percentage of participants from the Mekong Delta Vietnamese (48.3%) and Australian Vietnamese (48.3%) groups reported five or more disability days compared with the Australian group (28.1%) ($\chi^2_{(2)}=23.00$, $P\leq 0.0001$). Findings from the MOS-SF-12 PCS scale indicated that Australian Vietnamese (52.2%) with a mental disorder reported the greatest level of impairment in physical functioning, followed by Mekong Delta Vietnamese (34.2%) and Australians (22.6%) ($\chi^2_{(2)}=64.95$, $P\leq 0.001$). Australian Vietnamese (37.9%) with a CIDI or PVPS disorder and Australians (35.2%) with a CIDI disorder reported similar levels of impairment in mental health functioning, whereas Mekong Delta Vietnamese reported lower levels of dysfunction on that index (20.8%) ($\chi^2_{(2)}=22.52$, $P\leq 0.001$).

Levels of functional impairment were then compared across the two diagnostic systems. For the Australian Vietnamese, those identified by the CIDI and PVPS did not differ across any of the three indices of functional impairment (MOS-SF-12 MCS: $\chi^2_{(1)}=0.059$, $P=0.808$; MOS-SF-12 PCS: $\chi^2_{(1)}=1.552$, $P=0.213$; disability days: $\chi^2_{(2)}=5.02$, $P=0.081$). For the Mekong Delta Vietnamese, the overall level of disability associated with the two diagnostic systems was similar (five or more disability days: CIDI 36.4% *v.* PVPS 51.1%, $\chi^2_{(1)}=6.25$, $P=0.45$; MOS-SF-12 MCS: CIDI 18% *v.* PVPS 22.2%, $\chi^2_{(1)}=6.25$, $P=0.45$). Nevertheless, for the MOS-SF-12, people identified by the PVPS reported greater impairment in physical functioning compared with the CIDI (MOS-SF-12 PCS: CIDI 20.3% *v.* PVPS 38%, $\chi^2_{(1)}=6.25$, $P=0.012$).

Health service utilisation

Table 5 shows that 21.5% of Mekong Delta Vietnamese with a mental disorder had a consultation with a primary care physician in the previous 12 months compared with over 83.5% of Australian Vietnamese and Australians. Primary care consultations specific to a mental health problem were low (1.9%) for Mekong Delta Vietnamese compared with Australian Vietnamese (24.4%) and Australians (30.9%). Australians with a disorder were more likely to consult a mental health specialist than affected Australian Vietnamese (24% *v.* 10.2%). Vietnamese with mental disorders rarely consulted traditional healers, with Mekong Delta Vietnamese having notably low rates.

Discussion

Our study revealed a low prevalence of common CIDI-defined mental disorders for Vietnamese living in Australia and in Vietnam compared with an Australian-born sample and, more generally, with other studies undertaken in high-income countries of the West.^{1,2} The findings, therefore, add to the already substantial evidence indicating low rates of DSM-derived

Table 3 Diagnostic agreement between Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) and Phan Vietnamese Psychiatric Scale (PVPS) mental disorders among Mekong Delta Vietnamese (n=3039) and Australian Vietnamese (n=1161)

	Mekong Delta Vietnamese				Australian Vietnamese					
	CIDI & PVPS combined prevalence, % ^a	CIDI coverage of cases, %	PVPS coverage of cases, %	Kappa	AUC (95% CI)	CIDI & PVPS combined prevalence, % ^a	CIDI coverage of cases, %	PVPS coverage of cases, %	Kappa	AUC (95% CI)
Agreement within diagnostic subdomains										
PVPS total disorders & any CIDI disorder	8.8	21.9	84.1	0.086	0.59 (0.55-0.63)	11.7	47.0	72.2	0.408	0.77 (0.72-0.82)
PVPS anxiety & any DSM-IV anxiety disorder	4.2	9.1	94.4	0.061	0.64 (0.59-0.70)	5.3	57.4	68.5	0.391	0.71 (0.64-0.79)
PVPS depression & any DSM-IV mood disorder	1.5	28.9	79.4	0.148	0.85 (0.56-0.73)	3.9	65.9	60.5	0.404	0.73 (0.64-0.81)
PVPS somatisation & ICD-10 neurasthenia	5.4	2.0	98.5	0.009	0.64 (0.59-0.68)	7.4	13.9	95.1	0.150	0.83 (0.78-0.89)
Agreement across diagnostic subdomains										
PVPS anxiety & any CIDI disorder	-	34.7	72.6	0.113	0.53 (0.49-0.58)	-	80.7	44.2	0.369	0.74 (0.67-0.79)
PVPS depression & any CIDI disorder	-	64.8	41.4	0.102	0.56 (0.49-0.62)	-	92.0	32.6	0.374	0.83 (0.78-0.89)
PVPS somatisation & any CIDI disorder	-	28.5	79.1	0.116	0.53 (0.49-0.57)	-	61.9	65.8	0.392	0.68 (0.83-0.74)

a. Estimated cases.

Table 4 Disability in the 4 weeks prior to interview for Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) and Phan Vietnamese Psychiatric Scale (PVPS) mental disorders among Mekong Delta Vietnamese (n=3039), Australian Vietnamese (n=1161) and Australians (n=7961)

	CIDI and PVPS mental disorders					
	Mekong Delta Vietnamese ^a (n=267)	Australian Vietnamese ^a (n=136)	Australian ^b (n=1365)	Mekong Delta Vietnamese (n=2772)	Australian Vietnamese (n=1025)	Australian (n=6596)
n	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)
Level of disability						
Mental health						
Mild to none (MCS ≥40)	211	84	884	2679	957	6161
Moderate to severe (MCS <40)	55	51	480	93	68	435
Physical health						
Mild to none (PCS ≥40)	175	65	1057	2482	876	5524
Moderate to severe (PCS <40)	91	71	308	290	149	1072
Total days of disability						
No days	121	43	669	2082	814	4645
1-5 days	17	27	313	198	123	1163
> 5 days	129	65	383	492	88	788

MCS, mental health component score; PCS, physical health component score.

a. Functional impairment reported for the combined prevalence of all CIDI and PVPS mental disorders.

b. Functional impairment reported for the combined prevalence of all CIDI mental disorders.

Table 5 Health service utilisation in the 12 months prior to interview for Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) and Phan Vietnamese Psychiatric Scale (PVPS) mental disorders among Mekong Delta Vietnamese (n=3039), Australian Vietnamese (n=1161) and Australians (n=7961)

	CIDI and PVPS mental disorders						No mental illness					
	Mekong Delta Vietnamese ^a (n=267)		Australian Vietnamese ^a (n=136)		Austrian ^b (n=1365)		Mekong Delta Vietnamese (n=2772)		Australian Vietnamese (n=1025)		Austrian (n=6635)	
	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	n	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	n	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	n	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	n	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	n	Estimated prevalence, % (s.e.)	n
Consultation with primary care physicians												
General consults	21.5 (3.4)	119	87.5 (2.8)	1140	83.5 (1.0)	451	16.3 (1.0)	763	74.4 (2.5)	5366	81.4 (0.5)	
Mental health consults	1.9 (1.0)	33	24.4 (5.4)	422	30.9 (1.3)	12	0.4 (0.2)	33	3.2 (0.8)	264	4.0 (0.2)	
Consultation with mental health professional	2.0 (0.7)	14	10.2 (3.6)	328	24.0 (1.2)	6	0.2 (0.1)	2	0.2 (0.1)	207	3.1 (0.2)	
Consultation with traditional healers												
General consults	3.3 (1.0)	35	25.7 (4.0)			54	2.0 (0.3)	164	16.0 (1.7)			
Mental health consults	0.5 (0.4)	8	5.7 (1.8)			-	-	4	0.4 (0.3)			

a. Service utilisation reported for the combined prevalence of all CIDI and PVPS mental disorders.

b. Service utilisation reported for the combined prevalence of all CIDI mental disorders.

disorders among populations from East Asia.^{2,5,15,16} That trend does not appear to be restricted to studies using the CIDI, with investigations applying other Western-based diagnostic instruments also yielding lower rates of mental disorders among North and South East Asian communities.^{4,17,18}

The key question addressed by the present study was whether the addition of a culturally derived diagnostic measure would yield a higher overall prevalence of mental disorder among the Vietnamese. The PVPS added a substantial number of new cases in relation to both Vietnamese populations. Among Mekong Delta Vietnamese the rates increased fourfold. One possibility was that measures such as the PVPS identify individuals with less severe mental disturbances compared with a structured diagnostic instrument. The disability data argue against that conclusion, with those identified by the PVPS being at least as disabled as those identified by the CIDI.

The PVPS somatisation scale accounted for a large percentage of additional cases of mental disorder among Vietnamese in both settings. The importance of the somatic focus among Vietnamese was emphasised further by the higher endorsement of items on the PCS scale of the MOS-SF-12 by Mekong Delta Vietnamese with a mental disorder. This adds to the growing body of literature documenting a preference for reporting distress in the somatic idiom among North and South East Asian populations.^{5,19,20} A key implication is that the pre-eminence given to psychological rather than somatic symptoms in the hierarchical organisation of DSM-IV and ICD-10 may result in the under-enumeration of mental disorders in East Asian populations.⁵

The ICD-10-defined category of neurasthenia identified very few participants among the Vietnamese, lower than among the Australian-born. It is noteworthy that in its development, the ICD-10 category was modelled closely on the Western construct of chronic fatigue,²¹ whereas the items included in the PVPS scale have a greater similarity to those defining the category of neurasthenia in the Chinese classification system.²²

The combined prevalence of CIDI- and PVPS-identified individuals in Vietnam (8.8%) and among Vietnamese immigrants (11.7%) was lower than that yielded by the CIDI alone among Australians (17.1%). These findings suggest two broad explanations. First, there may be genuine differences in the vulnerability to common mental disorders across ethnic groups. Alternatively, there may be a threshold effect, with Vietnamese having to experience a greater level of disability than individuals from Western backgrounds before they endorse psychiatric symptoms. Such a tendency may be accounted for by a greater degree of cultural stoicism (suffering without complaint) combined with stigma or feelings of shame associated with revealing mental symptoms in Asian cultures.^{17,19,23} Inconsistent findings have emerged from the international literature in relation to these issues. Simon *et al* recorded higher levels of disability associated with major depressive disorder in primary care settings for those countries with low prevalence rates.²⁴ Patients from North East Asia in particular had both low prevalence rates and high levels of associated disability. In contrast, the World Mental Health Survey¹ undertaken among general population samples failed to identify an association between lower prevalence rates and greater disability associated with mental disorder across countries.

The CIDI data obtained from Australian Vietnamese suggest that cultural adaptation to Western society may influence the affinity of immigrants for endorsing Western-derived symptoms on instruments such as the CIDI. The rates yielded by the PVPS were similar for the two Vietnamese samples, suggesting that immigrants also retain their culturally based mode of expressing distress, even after a prolonged period of residency in a Western

country. The AUC data support these inferences by showing greater overlap of the CIDI and PVPS among Australian Vietnamese than Mekong Delta Vietnamese.

Australians and Australian Vietnamese with a mental disorder exhibited much higher consultation rates with primary healthcare physicians than Mekong Delta Vietnamese. The difference in the availability and accessibility of services across the two countries undoubtedly was a major contributor.²⁵ The tendency for Australian Vietnamese to restrict their help-seeking to primary care physicians may reflect the stigma associated with mental disorder in that culture and/or the limited availability of mental health professionals from a Vietnamese background residing in Australia.

Limitations

Several limitations of the study need to be acknowledged. Mekong Delta Vietnamese had a higher response rate compared with the other two samples. Evidence is mixed as to whether people with psychiatric disorders are less likely to participate in surveys.²⁶ If that trend pertained in the present study, it would have the effect of attenuating the differences found between the two Australian-based samples and the Mekong Delta Vietnamese group.

There was a difference in the timing of the investigations, with Mekong Delta Vietnamese being studied more recently. If a process of secular shift exerted any influence, it would have lessened differences between Australian Vietnamese and the Mekong Delta Vietnamese because of the recent acceleration of Westernisation in the home country. In keeping with other studies of this type, none of the surveys included the itinerant, those who were homeless or those living in institutions. Low-prevalence disorders such as psychosis and organic disorders were not assessed, nor were the impulse-control disorders included in some recent surveys.¹

The Australian sample was derived from a national survey. To preserve anonymity of participants, the Australian Bureau of Statistics did not allow extraction of Australians from the specific localities where Australian Vietnamese lived. For logistic reasons, we sampled the Australian Vietnamese in the state of New South Wales, which has the largest concentration of Vietnamese immigrants in the country. We were unable to sample very low-density suburbs, possibly excluding more acculturated Vietnamese. The Mekong Delta was chosen because most Vietnamese in Australia are from the south of Vietnam and they originated from both urban and rural areas. Nevertheless, at the time of the study, the lifestyle in the delta may have been more traditional than in the major cities such as Ho Chi Minh where the process of modernisation has gained more ground in recent times.

The level of diagnostic agreement (as indicated by the kappa statistic in Table 4) between the PVPS and the CIDI among Australian Vietnamese was somewhat lower than recorded in the development of the PVPS among a sample of Vietnamese primary care and mental health patients.¹⁰ The findings are consistent with the general tendency for concordance estimates to be lower in general population samples than in clinical populations.⁸ We note that the level of agreement demonstrated in the present study are comparable with concordance estimates for related diagnostic instruments obtained in other population studies.^{8,27} Our study did not undertake a clinical recalibration of the CIDI with other DSM-IV-based diagnostic instruments.^{13,16} However, studies undertaken among East Asian populations, including neighbouring China, have indicated satisfactory concordance between the CIDI and measures such as the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV in settings where there are similarly low prevalence estimates.¹⁶ A consistent pattern of low prevalence rates of mental

disorder among Vietnamese populations has also been recorded using a range of other measures apart from the CIDI.^{29,30}

The cut-off thresholds applied to the sub-domains of the PVPS were derived from naturalist healer diagnoses in primary care and mental health clinical samples and may not generalise to a population setting.²⁸ The disability data indicated, however, that people identified by the PVPS and CIDI exhibited the same level of impairment, suggesting that the thresholds applied did not result in the indigenous PVPS detecting less severe cases.

In summary, our findings throw potential light on the large variation in rates of common mental disorders that have emerged from modern epidemiological studies undertaken across nations and regions. Several factors may be relevant in explaining this pattern. Cultural variation in the disability threshold for reporting symptoms may be relevant but results are inconsistent.^{1,24} Culture-specific ways of expressing mental symptoms appear to be of great importance, with the present study indicating that the addition of an indigenous measure to the CIDI identified many more cases of equal disability among Vietnamese in two settings. The data also suggest that immigration and acculturation to Western environments may alter patterns of symptom endorsement. Vietnamese immigrants retained their base rate of indigenously defined disorders but exhibited higher rates of Western-derived diagnoses. It seems likely that by becoming more familiar with a Western culture, ethnic groups such as the Vietnamese develop a greater affinity for the host society's idiom for expressing mental distress. As a consequence, responses to Western and indigenous measures are likely to show a greater overlap among immigrants. The rapidity of cultural change around the world suggests that the balance between indigenous and Western modes of expressing psychological distress may evolve in a dynamic manner, making it vital to monitor both domains of symptom expression in transcultural settings over time.³¹

The outcomes of the research suggest that it is feasible to integrate universalistic (etic) and culturally specific (emic) approaches^{28,31,32} in studying the mental health of ethnic groups such as the Vietnamese and, by extension, other populations from non-Western traditions. The data demonstrate that a sole reliance on diagnostic systems developed in the West runs the risk of underestimating mental health needs in regions such as South East Asia. Assuming that the rates of mental disorder are low in these settings may inadvertently retard the development of mental health services in the very settings where, as shown by the present study, the majority of people with mental disorders do not have access to appropriate care.³³

Zachary Steel, PhD, MCLinPsychol, **Derrick Silove**, MD, MB ChB(Honsl), FRANZCP, Centre for Population Mental Health Research and Psychiatry Research and Teaching Unit, School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales, Australia; **Nguyen Mong Giao**, MD, MB ChB, Department of Psychiatry, Can Tho University, Vietnam; **Thuy Thi Bich Phan**, MD, MB ChB, **Tien Chey**, MAppStat, BSc, Centre for Population Mental Health Research and Psychiatry Research and Teaching Unit, School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales, Australia; **Anna Whelan**, PhD, BA(Honsl), School of Public Health and Community Medicine, University of New South Wales, Australia; **Adrian Bauman**, PhD, FAFPHM, School of Public Health, Sydney University, Australia; **Richard A. Bryant**, PhD, MPsychol, University of New South Wales, Australia

Correspondence: Zachary Steel, Centre for Population Mental Health Research, Level 1, Mental Health Centre, The Liverpool Hospital, Cnr Forbes and Campbell Streets, Liverpool NSW 2170, Australia. Email: z.steel@unsw.edu.au

First received 6 Feb 2008, final revision 25 Sep 2008, accepted 29 Oct 2008

Funding

This research was supported in part by an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council Program Grant (300304).

References

- 1 Demyttenaere K, Bruffaerts R, Posada-Villa J, Gasquet I, Kovess V, Lepine JP, et al. Prevalence, severity, and unmet need for treatment of mental disorders in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *JAMA* 2004; **291**: 2581–90.
- 2 WHO International Consortium in Psychiatric Epidemiology. Cross-national comparisons of the prevalences and correlates of mental disorders. *Bull World Health Organ* 2000; **78**: 413–26.
- 3 Hwu HG, Yeh EK, Chang LY. Prevalence of psychiatric disorders in Taiwan defined by the Chinese Diagnostic Interview Schedule. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1989; **79**: 136–47.
- 4 Lee CK, Kwak YS, Yamamoto J, Rhee H, Kim YS, Han JH, et al. Psychiatric epidemiology in Korea. Part I: Gender and age differences in Seoul. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1990; **178**: 242–6.
- 5 Shen YC, Zhang MY, Huang YQ, He YL, Liu ZR, Cheng H, et al. Twelve-month prevalence, severity, and unmet need for treatment of mental disorders in metropolitan China. *Psychol Med* 2006; **36**: 257–67.
- 6 Steel Z, Silove D, Chey T, Bauman A, Phan T, Phan T. Mental disorders, disability and health service use amongst Vietnamese refugees and the host Australian population. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2005; **111**: 300–9.
- 7 Australian Bureau of Statistics. *National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing. Profile of Adults, Australia*. Australian Bureau of Statistics, 1998.
- 8 Andrews G, Peters L. The psychometric properties of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 1998; **33**: 80–8.
- 9 World Health Organization. *The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioral Disorders*. WHO, 1992.
- 10 Phan T, Steel Z, Silove DM. An ethnographically derived measure of anxiety, depression and somatization: the Phan Vietnamese Psychiatric Scale. *Transcult Psychiatry* 2004; **41**: 200–32.
- 11 Bracken BA, Barona A. State of the art procedures for translating, validating and using psychoeducational tests in cross-cultural assessment. *Sch Psychol Int* 1991; **12**: 119–32.
- 12 Kessler RC, Abelson J, Demler O, Escobar JI, Gibbon M, Guyer ME, et al. Clinical calibration of DSM-IV diagnoses in the World Mental Health (WMH) version of the World Health Organization (WHO) Composite International Diagnostic Interview (WMH-CIDI). *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2004; **13**: 122–39.
- 13 Haro JM, Arbabzadeh-Bouchez S, Brugha TS, de Girolamo G, Guyer ME, Jin R, et al. Concordance of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview Version 3.0 (CIDI 3.0) with standardized clinical assessments in the WHO World Mental Health surveys. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2006; **15**: 167–80.
- 14 Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics* 1977; **33**: 159–74.
- 15 Kawakami N, Shimizu H, Haratani T, Iwata N, Kitamura T. Lifetime and 6-month prevalence of DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders in an urban community in Japan. *Psychiatr Res* 2004; **121**: 293–301.
- 16 Lee S, Tsang A, Zhang M-Y, Huang Y-Q, He Y-L, Liu Z-R, et al. Lifetime prevalence and inter-cohort variation in DSM-IV disorders in metropolitan China. *Psychol Med* 2007; **37**: 61–71.
- 17 Compton WM 3rd, Helzer JE, Hwu HG, Yeh EK, McEvoy L, Tipp JE, et al. New methods in cross-cultural psychiatry: psychiatric illness in Taiwan and the United States. *Am J Psychiatry* 1991; **148**: 1697–704.
- 18 Parker G, Chan B, Hadzi-Pavlovic D. Lower rates of depression in westernised Chinese in the US. *J Affect Disord* 2007; **104**: 175–8.
- 19 Ryder AG, Yang J, Zhu X, Yao S, Yi J, Heine SJ, et al. The cultural shaping of depression: somatic symptoms in China, psychological symptoms in North America? *J Abnorm Psychol* 2008; **117**: 300–13.
- 20 Lin EH, Ihle LJ, Tazuma L. Depression among Vietnamese refugees in a primary care clinic. *Am J Med* 1985; **78**: 41–4.
- 21 Paralikar V, Sarmukaddam S, Agashe M, Weiss MG. Diagnostic concordance of neurasthenia spectrum disorders in Pune, India. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2007; **42**: 561–72.
- 22 Lee S. From diversity to unity. The classification of mental disorders in 21st-century China. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 2001; **24**: 421–31.
- 23 Beiser M, Fleming JA. Measuring psychiatric disorder among Southeast Asian refugees. *Psychol Med* 1986; **16**: 627–39.
- 24 Simon GE, Goldberg DP, Von Korff M, Ustun TB. Understanding cross-national differences in depression prevalence. *Psychol Med* 2002; **32**: 585–94.
- 25 Wang PS, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Alonso J, Angermeyer MC, Borges G, Bromet EJ, et al. Use of mental health services for anxiety, mood, and substance disorders in 17 countries in the WHO world mental health surveys. *Lancet* 2007; **370**: 841–50.
- 26 Kessler RC, Chiu WT, Demler O, Merikangas KR, Walters EE, Merikangas KR. Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005; **62**: 617–27.
- 27 Kessler RC, Wittchen HU, Abelson JM, McGonagle KA, Schwartz N, Kendler KS, et al. Methodological studies of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) in the US National Comorbidity Survey (NCS). *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 1998; **7**: 33–55.
- 28 Van Ommeren M. Validity issues in transcultural epidemiology. *Br J Psychiatry* 2003; **182**: 376–8.
- 29 Beiser M, Hou F. Language acquisition, unemployment and depressive disorder among Southeast Asian refugees: a 10 year study. *Soc Sci Med* 2001; **53**: 1321–34.
- 30 Hinton WL, Chen YC, Du N, Tran CG, Lu FG, Miranda J, et al. DSM-III-R disorders in Vietnamese refugees. Prevalence and correlates. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1993; **181**: 113–22.
- 31 Kirmayer LJ. Beyond the 'new cross-cultural psychiatry': cultural biology, discursive psychology and the ironies of globalization. *Transcult Psychiatry* 2006; **43**: 126–44.
- 32 Patel V. Cultural factors and international epidemiology. *Br Med Bull* 2001; **57**: 33–45.
- 33 Saxena S, Thornicroft G, Knapp M, Whiteford H. Resources for mental health: scarcity, inequity, and inefficiency. *Lancet* 2007; **370**: 878–89.

BJPpsych

The British Journal of Psychiatry

International and indigenous diagnoses of mental disorder among Vietnamese living in Vietnam and Australia

Zachary Steel, Derrick Silove, Nguyen Mong Giao, Thuy Thi Bich Phan, Tien Chey, Anna Whelan, Adrian Bauman and Richard A. Bryant
BJP 2009, 194:326-333.

Access the most recent version at DOI: [10.1192/bjp.bp.108.050906](https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.108.050906)

References

This article cites 31 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at:
<http://bjp.rcpsych.org/content/194/4/326#BIBL>

Reprints/ permissions

To obtain reprints or permission to reproduce material from this paper, please write to permissions@rcpsych.ac.uk

You can respond to this article at

[/letters/submit/bjprcpsych;194/4/326](http://letters.submit/bjprcpsych;194/4/326)

Downloaded from

<http://bjp.rcpsych.org/> on August 17, 2017
Published by The Royal College of Psychiatrists
