Background
Animal experimental studies have prompted concerns that widespread use of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA; ‘ecstasy’) by young people may pose a major public health problem in terms of persistent serotonin neurotoxicity.

Aims
To determine the status of brain serotonin neurons in a group of abstinent MDMA users.

Method
We assessed the integrity of brain serotonin neurons by measuring serotonin transporter (SERT) binding using positron emission tomography (PET) and \([^{11}C]DASB\) in 12 former MDMA users, 9 polydrug users who had never taken MDMA and 19 controls who reported no history of illicit drug use.

Results
There was no significant difference in the binding potential of \([^{11}C]DASB\) between the groups in any of the brain regions examined.

Conclusions
To the extent that \([^{11}C]DASB\) binding provides an index of the integrity of serotonin neurons, our findings suggest that MDMA use may not result in long-term damage to serotonin neurons when used recreationally in humans.
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Participants
Three groups of male volunteers were recruited via newspaper or magazine advertisement and word of mouth. The groups comprised 12 former MDMA users who had taken the drug on a regular basis (at least 25 occasions) previously but who had not taken it for at least 1 year, although they continued to use other recreational drugs (the MDMA group), 9 polydrug users who were using a range of recreational drugs matched with the former MDMA users but who reported never having taken MDMA (the polydrug group), and 19 drug-naïve controls who reported no history of illicit drug use (the drug-naïve group). We chose to study a polydrug (i.e. minus MDMA) user group so that in the event of a change of 5-HT transporter (5-HTT) binding in the MDMA group, the contribution of concomitant polydrug use could be examined.

Potential participants were diagnostically assessed by experienced clinical psychiatrists (S.S., Z.B.) using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM–IV Axis I Disorders. Inclusion criteria for all groups were male, aged 25–60, no history of prescribed psychotropic medication, no history of major psychiatric or neurological problems, no history of drug dependence, not having drunk more than three units of alcohol in the 24 h prior to testing and no use of recreational drugs for at least 3 days prior to testing. All participants filled in questionnaire measures for depression (Beck Depression Inventory, BDI), anxiety (Spielberger Anxiety Inventory, STAI), neuroticism (Eysenck score) or premorbid IQ (Spot-the-Word Test). All participants gave full written informed consent for the study and were paid an honorarium for their participation. The study was approved by the research ethics committee at Hammersmith Hospital, London, and the Administration of Radioactive Substances Advisory Committee, UK.

A semi-structured drug-use history interview schedule was completed by all participants. The MDMA and polydrug groups were well matched in terms of drug and alcohol use (Table 1). Each participant from the MDMA and polydrug groups gave a urine sample on the day of the PET scan that was screened for MDMA, cocaine, amphetamine, opiates or benzodiazepines. Individuals with a positive urine screen for any of these substances were excluded from the study. Participants also gave hair samples that were tested for MDMA, cocaine and amphetamine; individuals testing positive for MDMA (n=2) were subsequently excluded from the analysis. The average hair sample covered a...
period of 2.7 months (s.d.=0.9). Matched drug-naïve individuals were rigorously screened at interview for drug and alcohol history by experienced psychiatrists and a random 50% provided urine and hair samples that were tested to confirm no illicit drug use.

**Radioligand**

The radiotracer $[^{11}C]$DASB was synthesised as previously described. The standard DASB and the precursor desmethyl DASB were obtained from Target Molecules Ltd., Southampton (UK). $[^{11}C]$DASB was injected into an antecubital vein as a smooth bolus over 30 s. The injected radioactivity dose was between 308 and 563.7 MBq (mean 518.3 MBq, s.d.=62). The radiochemical purity of the injected $[^{11}C]$DASB was high and ranged from 95 to 100% with a mean of 97.6% (s.d.=1.4). The specific activity was on average 755,300 MBq $\cdot$ mol$^{-1}$ (s.d.=12,582). The injected mass of total cold DASB varied between 0.15 and 12.2 g with a mean value of 3.5 g (s.d.=2.2).

**PET scanning**

All PET scans were performed on the high-sensitivity Siemens/CTI scanner ECAT EXACT3D, as described previously. The 90-minute three-dimensional dynamic emission scan following injection of radiotracer was acquired in list mode. In the post-acquisition frame rebinning, 28 time frames of increasing length were generated (30 s background frame prior to the injection, then one 15 s frame, one 5 s frame, one 10 s frame, three 30 s frames, three 60 s frames, three 120 s frames, three 180 s frames, eight 300 s frames and four 450 s frames).

**Magnetic resonance scans and definition of volumes of interest**

All volunteers had a structural T1 magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan performed on either 0.5 T (0.5 Apollo system, Marconi Medical Systems, Cleveland, Ohio) (repetition time (TR)=30 s, echo time (TE)=3 s, flip angle=30°), number of signal averages (NSA)=1, voxel dimensions 0.98 mm × 1.65 mm × 1.6 mm, acquisition time=13 min) or 1.5 T (1.5 Eclipse system, Marconi Medical Systems, Cleveland, Ohio) scanners (TR=30 s, TE=3 s, flip angle=30°, NSA=1, voxel dimensions 0.98 mm × 1.6 mm × 1.6 mm, acquisition time=13 min) or 3 T (3T Intera...
the between-participants factor, and ‘region’ (brain regions of interest) as the within-participant factor with age as a covariate. Huynh–Feldt correction was applied as appropriate where the assumption of sphericity was violated (uncorrected degrees of freedom are shown for clarity). Other comparisons were made using one-way ANOVA with post hoc t-tests (two-tailed).

Results

The age was significantly different between the groups (ANOVA F=3.76, d.f.=2,39, P=0.03; Table 1). The polydrug group were older than the other two groups (mean age (range): drug-naive group 30.5 (25–45); MDMA group 28.2 (25–36); polydrug group 35.6 (25–50)). Age was therefore used as a covariate in all further analyses.

SERT binding

There were no significant differences in cerebellar volume of distribution \(V_T\) (F=0.76, d.f.=2,39, P=0.47) (10.63 (s.d.=1.4) (ml plasma)/(cm\(^3\) extravascular tissue) in the drug-naive group; 10.43 (s.d.=2.3) (ml plasma)/(cm\(^3\) extravascular tissue) in the MDMA group and 11.36 (s.d.=1.7) (ml plasma)/(cm\(^3\) extravascular tissue) in the polydrug group. The injected \([11C]DASB\) dose (one-way ANOVA F=2.18, d.f.=2,39, P=0.13), or \([11C]DASB\) specific activity (F=0.07, d.f.=2,39, P=0.93) was not significantly different between the groups.

The repeated measures ANOVA showed a significant main effect of brain region (F=7.84, d.f.=9,324, P=0.002) but no main effect of group (F=1.22, d.f.=2,39, P=0.31) or region x group interaction (F=0.36, d.f.=18,324, P=0.81). There was no significant main effect of age (F=0.18, d.f.=1,36, P=0.66) or age x region interaction (F=0.37, d.f.=9,324, P=0.65). The mean binding potentials of the three groups in all the ROIs studied are shown in Fig. 1. There were no significant correlations observed between any variables of MDMA use and \([11C]DASB\) binding.

We found no significant differences between the MDMA group compared with the polydrug group in any of the illicit drugs studied, with or without Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. There were no significant group differences in depression (BDI), anxiety (STAI), neuroticism (Eysenck score) or premorbid IQ (Spot-the-Word Test) (P>0.2).

Discussion

The main finding of this study is that former MDMA users abstinent from MDMA for a minimum of 1 year show no differences in SERT availability compared with drug-naive controls or matched polydrug controls who had never used MDMA. The results can be explained in two ways. Either former MDMA users do not show any difference in SERT binding during MDMA use and this remains the case after abstinence, or a period of prolonged abstinence from MDMA use allows a recovery of SERT availability.

The second explanation would clearly seem more likely given the large body of preclinical and some clinical studies consistently showing neurotoxic effects of MDMA on brain serotonin transporters with current MDMA use.8,24 Thus, our findings support and extend the results of previous studies indicating possible recovery of serotonin transporters following cessation of MDMA use.25–28

The power analysis suggests that with this study population we would have been able to detect a change of 15–20% in the binding potential estimates in most of the brain regions studied at 80% power and \(P<0.05\). This suggests that our study was sufficiently
powered to detect differences of the order usually reported between current MDMA users and drug-naive controls in ligand imaging studies of the 5-HTT.

As a result of the limitations of retrospective design of the study, it is difficult to control for pre-existing differences in SERT binding between the MDMA and control groups. However, Thomasius et al recently followed up a series of both current and former MDMA users twice at 9- to 12-month intervals after original testing assessing 5-HTT binding with PET using $^{[11C]}(+)$McN5652. The former users showed no significant differences in 5-HTT binding compared with control participants on any of the test sessions with no changes in binding in former users over time. However, current MDMA users had reduced SERT at baseline (compared with the drug-naive control group) but showed a slight increase in SERT in mid-brain binding over follow-up, despite the fact they had continued to use MDMA, albeit in reduced quantities. The authors, combining these results, conclude that the effects of MDMA use on SERT availability may be reversible.

However, preclinical research does suggest that MDMA can cause persisting serotonergic damage. For example, Hatzidimitriou et al investigated SERT densities in primates 7 years after MDMA administration and found that many brain areas, especially the neocortex, hippocampus, amygdala and cingulate cortex still showed reductions in SERT, whereas the globus pallidus had become hyper-innervated and showed greater levels of 5-HT axonal markers than control animals. Fischer et al reported similar results, and found that altered re-innervation patterns were more pronounced in primates than in rats.

This contrast between the animal and the human studies could be explained by several factors. It is possible that a subcutaneous dose regimen of 5 mg/kg twice daily for 4 consecutive days, used for example in Hatzidimitriou et al, has higher neurotoxicity potential than the oral doses used recreationally by the former users in the present study. Although the authors claim interspecies scaling indicates their doses could lie in the range of human recreational doses, a recent study found no changes in serotonergic function in rhesus monkeys that self-administered MDMA at a rate of 2–4 mg/kg three times per week – a dose regime more comparable to the way in which recreational MDMA users ‘self-administer’ the drug. Alternatively, the longitudinal study of Thomasius et al could indicate a greater resilience to MDMA- induced serotonergic injury in humans compared with non-human primates.

It is important to note that in this study we included only males. Reneman et al suggest from a small-scale study that females are more susceptible to MDMA-related neurotoxicity than males. It is therefore possible that in female participants we might have detected a persistent effect of MDMA on 5-HTT function.

In summary, this study supports previous research indicating no differences in $^{[11C]}$DASB binding to the serotonin transporter.
in former MDMA users compared with drug-naive controls indicating possible recovery of 5-HT function after cessation of MDMA.
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Fig. DS1 Mean $[^{11}C]$(DASB $V_t$ (total volume of distribution) images obtained from parametric maps generated with spectral analysis of the drug-naive group ($n=19$, top), the MDMA group ($n=12$, middle) and the polydrug group ($n=9$, bottom) in the coronal, transverse and sagittal views respectively.
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