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Background
Most data on the quality of vascular care for individuals with psychiatric conditions come from countries without universal healthcare.

Aims
To investigate the treatment of people with psychosis admitted for ischaemic heart disease or stroke under universal healthcare.

Method
A population-based study of administrative data comparing Canadians with and without a history of schizophrenia or related psychosis (n = 65,039).

Results
Of 49,248 admissions for ischaemic heart disease, 1,285 had a history of psychosis. Despite a higher 1-year mortality, they were less likely to receive guideline-consistent treatment: e.g. coronary artery bypass grafting (adjusted odds ratio (OR) = 0.35, 95% CI 0.25–0.48), beta-blockers (adjusted OR = 0.82, 95% CI 0.71–0.95) and statins (adjusted OR = 0.51, 95% CI 0.41–0.63). Of 15,791 admissions for stroke, 594 had a history of psychosis. Despite higher 1-year mortality rates, they were less likely to receive cerebrovascular arteriography or warfarin.

Conclusions
People with a history of psychosis do not receive equitable levels of vascular care under universal healthcare.
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Although circulatory disease is the major cause of excess death in people with psychiatric disorders, most studies indicate that they experience inequities in specialist medical care. The discrepancy is generally, but not always, greater for severe mental disorders such as schizophrenia, depending on the study population. However, studies have generally been of specific groups in the USA, such as the over-65s, the commercially insured or veterans, and may not apply to jurisdictions where health coverage is extended to all residents. Results from countries such as Canada and Australia may therefore be more generalisable. Population-based studies from both countries have shown that although the risk of mortality is greater for people with psychiatric conditions, they are less likely to receive revascularisation procedures. Again, the discrepancy is greatest for severe psychiatric disorder such as schizophrenia and other non-affective psychosis. However, these population-based studies did not compare individuals with psychiatric conditions with controls without such conditions following admission for circulatory disease, or consider differences in the use of vascular drugs such as angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, beta-blockers, or statins. In addition, most work has focused on ischaemic heart disease. There is less information on whether individuals with psychiatric conditions receive equitable care for other circulatory disease, such as stroke, but it is already known that socioeconomic status affects the quality of stroke care even under universal health coverage. Our hypothesis was therefore that psychiatric status would also affect rates of specialised procedures or the prescription of vascular medications, following first admission for either ischaemic heart disease or stroke.

Method
We compared the quality of care of people with and without severe mental illness after first admission to hospital for ischaemic heart disease or stroke under universal healthcare. By universal healthcare, we mean coverage that is extended to all eligible residents of a jurisdiction. The method of payment varies. In some European countries it is met through insurance, whereas in others such as Canada and Great Britain, it is funded through general taxation and is free at the point of delivery. In a third group of countries, the cost is met through compulsory insurance, with or without co-payment.

We focused on schizophrenia and other non-affective psychoses. For brevity, we will refer to all these disorders as psychosis for the rest of the paper. We studied interventions known to reduce morbidity and mortality. These variables have been suggested as indicators of quality of vascular care following admission for ischaemic heart disease or stroke in Canada. The Capital District Health Authority Research Ethics Board approved the protocol.

Setting
Nova Scotia is a province on the Atlantic seaboard of Canada with a population of just under one million. Halifax is the major metropolitan centre. Under the Canada Health Act, all Canadian residents are entitled to in-patient or out-patient care that is free at the point of delivery. Individuals receive treatment at publicly funded facilities, or are seen by private specialists or general practitioners who bill the provincial health plan. There are no private hospital beds to date. The linked administrative databases of Nova Scotia therefore cover reimbursed health service use in the public and private sectors across the whole province, including in-patient, out-patient and community physician contacts with both specialist and primary care services. This includes all physicians in private practice.

Data sources
We used the following administrative databases from the Population Health Research Unit at Dalhousie University from
1993 to 2001: the Vital Statistics database for mortality; the Discharge Abstract Database for admission type and diagnosis; physician billings for specialists and family physicians in public and private practice, including service date and diagnosis; the Mental Health Outpatient Information System for demographics, diagnoses and visits to mental health clinicians in publicly funded facilities; and the Seniors’ Pharmacare database of claims under the province’s drug plan for eligible people over 65 years old, including dose and duration (www.phru.dal.ca/about/).

An advantage of the Mental Health Outpatient Information System is that it collects information on contacts with all mental health clinicians, not just physicians. Nova Scotia is one of the few Canadian provinces to capture this type of data.14 Seniors’ Pharmacare provides coverage for approximately 90% of the over-65s in the province. Only the most affluent individuals are not covered.

We linked data by using the provincial health card number as a unique identifier. Health card numbers were present in more than 99% of the records, irrespective of the database, and were encrypted to ensure confidentiality.9

Health Canada and the Public Health Agency of Canada have both used administrative data-sets for chronic disease surveillance.14,15 Although these data were collected for billing, rather than surveillance, studies using these data-sets for disease surveillance have shown acceptable accuracy over time and against other measures.14–16

Sample and methods

This was a retrospective cohort study. We identified individuals who had an initial admission for each condition of interest during the study period. We used the following ICD–917 diagnoses or ICD–1018 equivalents: ischaemic heart disease (410–414) and stroke (431–438). Two separate analyses were conducted for people admitted with ischaemic heart disease and for those admitted with stroke. We then compared rates of 28-day and 1-year fatality, specialised procedures (up to 1-year post-admission), and the prescription of morbidity- and mortality-reducing vascular medications within 90 days of discharge among those with psychosis with those without psychosis.

We derived our sample of individuals with psychosis in the following way. We initially used the definition of the Public Health Agency of Canada for surveillance of treated psychiatric disorders.19 Individuals were included if they had at least one visit recorded in the physician billings or mental health out-patient databases, or a discharge from any hospital within 1 year of their initial admission for circulatory disease, with a diagnosis in the most responsible diagnosis field of the following ICD codes: ICD–9 from 290 to 319 inclusive, or their ICD–10 and DSM–IV19 equivalents. We used a hierarchy of in-patient v. out-patient, and specialist v. primary care, reflecting both the increasing proportion of people with severe mental illness and data reliability. It also allowed comparison with Australian data.2,8

We included all individuals in contact with psychiatric services within the 12 months preceding their initial admission for circulatory disease. The focus for this paper was on schizophrenia and other non-affective psychoses (295, 297, 298), including schizoaffective, paranoid and other non-organic psychotic disorders. We will describe all these diagnoses as ‘psychosis’ for the rest of the paper. We then compared people with psychosis with the rest of the sample, including other psychiatric disorders defined as follows: dementia and other organic conditions (290–294), alcohol/drug disorders (303–305) and all other psychiatric disorders, including mood disorders, neuroses, personality disorders and adjustment reactions (296, 300–302, 306–319). Finally, we also conducted sensitivity analyses of the effect of comparing those with psychosis with the non-psychiatric group only.

For people admitted with ischaemic heart disease we identified right- and left-heart catheterisation, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty with or without stent and coronary artery bypass grafting.11,12 For those over 65 years old, we identified prescriptions filled within 90 days of discharge from hospital for the following medications known to reduce morbidity or mortality in randomised controlled trials: beta-blockers, ACE inhibitors, statins, angiotensin receptor blockers and clopidogrel.12,20–25 These medications are therefore seen in Canada as indicators of the quality of vascular care.13 For individuals admitted with stroke, we identified two procedures: cerebrovascular arteriography and carotid endarterectomy, and for the over-65s, prescriptions for clopidogrel, ticlopidine and warfarin filled within 90 days of discharge.10,26 As before, we compared these individuals with psychosis with the rest of the sample, including those with other psychiatric disorders, as well as conducting sensitivity analyses of the effect of comparing individuals with psychosis with the non-psychiatric group only.

Rates of procedures and prescription drug use in the group with psychosis and the group without psychosis were examined for up to 1 year after the index cardiological or stroke admission. Significance was tested using odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. We then used logistic regression to examine the effect of psychosis on each outcome (death, specialist procedure or vascular medication) while adjusting for potential confounders. We included psychiatric diagnosis, age, gender, socioeconomic status, comorbid illness, and place of residence (in distance in kilometres from metropolitan Halifax). Income levels of the study population were classified into the quartiles of the 1996 census average household income for the Nova Scotia population by the postal code at the time of initial contact. The presence of medical comorbidity was assessed using the modified Charlson–Deyo index score. This gives a weighted summary score based on 1-year mortality risk.27

Results

Ischaemic heart disease

A total of 49,248 Nova Scotians were admitted with ischaemic heart disease. Their mean age was 65.4 (s.d. = 13.6) and 58% (n = 28,347) were male. The overall 28-day and 1-year mortality rates were 8 and 12% respectively. Rates at 1 year for cardiac catheterisation were higher (20%) than those for percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasties (7%) and coronary artery bypass grafts (9%), reflecting the fact that cardiac catheterisation is typically the first step in a decision to offer percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting.8

Of the sample, 11,139 (23%) had had previous contact with primary or secondary care for psychiatric problems and 1,285 people (3%) had a history of psychosis. Table 1 compares those with psychosis with those without. Individuals with psychosis had significantly higher 28-day and 1-year mortality rates than the rest of the sample, but lower rates of all three specialised procedures (Table 1). Of other variables that might be associated with the outcomes of interest, people who were older or female and those with comorbid illness had increased mortality rates but a reduced chance of receiving specialised procedures (online Table DS1). By contrast, more affluent individuals had a reduced mortality risk but a greater chance of receiving specialised procedures (online Table DS1).
Distance in kilometres to Halifax, where specialised services are concentrated, was associated with a reduced chance of receiving specialised procedures (online Table DS1).

We included all the above variables in our logistic regression model (i.e. psychiatric diagnosis, age, gender, socioeconomic status, comorbidity and place of residence). On multivariate analysis, people with psychosis had significantly higher 1-year mortality rates than the rest of the cohort, and lower rates of all three specialised procedures even after adjusting for the other variables (Table 1).

Data from Pharmacare were available on 27,629 people who were over 65 years old. Rates of vascular drug prescription ranged from 5 to 38%. Of these, 5,118 (18%) had had previous contact with primary or secondary care for psychiatric problems and 594 (2%) had a history of psychosis. Individuals with psychosis (n = 893) were significantly less likely to be prescribed beta-blockers and statins compared with the general population and those with other psychiatric diagnoses (Table 1). Older people, and those with comorbid illness, were also less likely to receive vascular medications (online Table DS2). The results for those with psychosis remained significant on multivariate analysis (Table 1).

**Stroke**

A total of 15,791 Nova Scotians were admitted with stroke. Their mean age was 70.4 (s.d. = 13.1) and 51.1% (n = 8,071) were male. The overall 28-day and 1-year mortality rates were 5 and 10% respectively. Six per cent of the sample had received one or other of the procedures.

Of the sample, 3,632 (23%) had had previous contact with primary or secondary care for psychiatric problems and 594 people (4%) had a history of psychosis. Individuals with psychosis had significantly higher 1-year mortality rates than the rest of the cohort but lower rates of both specialised procedures (cerebrovascular arteriography and carotid endarterectomy) (Table 2). As with ischaemic heart disease, female and older people had increased mortality rates but a reduced chance of receiving specialised procedures (online Table DS3). There was a less clear pattern for the other variables (online Table DS3).

On multivariate analysis, individuals with psychosis still had significantly higher 1-year mortality rates than the rest of the cohort but lower rates of cerebrovascular arteriography even after adjusting for the other variables (Table 2).

Data from Pharmacare were available on 11,423 people who were over 65 years old. Rates of vascular drug prescription ranged from 3 to 8%. Of these, 2,400 (18%) had had previous contact with primary or secondary care for psychiatric problems. Individuals with psychosis (n = 442) were significantly less likely to receive warfarin compared with the general population and those with other psychiatric diagnoses, remaining significant on multivariate analysis (Table 2). Again, older people were also less likely to receive vascular medications (online Table DS4).

**Table 1 Ischaemic heart disease and psychosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Psychosis, n (%)</th>
<th>No psychosis, n (%)</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mortality and procedures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-day mortality</td>
<td>153 (11.9)</td>
<td>3,821 (8.0)</td>
<td>1.56 (1.32–1.86)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-year mortality</td>
<td>243 (18.9)</td>
<td>5,664 (11.8)</td>
<td>1.74 (1.51–2.01)</td>
<td>1.27 (1.09–1.48)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac catheterisation</td>
<td>112 (8.7)</td>
<td>9,639 (20.1)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.31–0.46)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.38–0.58)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTCA</td>
<td>31 (2.4)</td>
<td>3,520 (7.3)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.22–0.46)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.29–0.59)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery bypass grafting</td>
<td>35 (2.7)</td>
<td>4,355 (9.1)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.20–0.39)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.25–0.49)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Medication**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Psychosis, n (%)</th>
<th>No psychosis, n (%)</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blockers</td>
<td>288 (32.3)</td>
<td>10,261 (38.4)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.66–0.88)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.71–0.95)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitors</td>
<td>216 (24.2)</td>
<td>7,072 (28.5)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.74–1.04)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins</td>
<td>102 (11.4)</td>
<td>6,063 (22.7)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.36–0.54)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.41–0.63)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin receptor blockers</td>
<td>37 (4.1)</td>
<td>1,244 (4.7)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.63–1.24)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel</td>
<td>28 (3.1)</td>
<td>1,336 (5.0)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.42–0.90)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; PTCA, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty.

a. Mortality and procedures: psychosis n = 1,285, no psychosis n = 47,963.
b. Adjusted for age, gender, socioeconomic status, comorbidity and place of residence.
c. Medication: psychosis n = 893, no psychosis n = 26,736.

**Table 2 Stroke and psychosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Psychosis, n (%)</th>
<th>No psychosis, n (%)</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mortality and procedures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-day mortality</td>
<td>37 (6.2)</td>
<td>684 (4.5)</td>
<td>1.41 (1.00–1.99)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-year mortality</td>
<td>91 (15.3)</td>
<td>1,523 (10.0)</td>
<td>1.62 (1.29–2.04)</td>
<td>1.49 (1.17–1.88)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular arteriography</td>
<td>9 (1.5)</td>
<td>497 (3.3)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.23–0.88)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.24–0.93)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid endarterectomy</td>
<td>11 (1.7)</td>
<td>441 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.34–1.16)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Medication**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Psychosis, n (%)</th>
<th>No psychosis, n (%)</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ticlopidine</td>
<td>15 (3.4)</td>
<td>387 (3.5)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.57–1.63)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warfarin</td>
<td>22 (5.0)</td>
<td>945 (8.6)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.36–0.86)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.36–0.85)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel</td>
<td>11 (2.5)</td>
<td>338 (3.1)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.44–1.48)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Mortality and procedures: psychosis n = 594, no psychosis n = 15,197.
b. Adjusted for age, gender, socioeconomic status, comorbidity and place of residence.
c. Medication: psychosis n = 442, no psychosis n = 10,981.

Sensitivity analyses

We found similar results for both ischaemic heart disease and stroke when we compared those with psychosis with the non-psychiatric group only.
**Comparisons with previous studies**

People with histories of psychiatric contact have more than double the mortality of the general population, the major causes being ischaemic heart and cerebrovascular disease rather than suicide. Possible explanations include differences in incidence, lifestyle, use of psychotropic medication or inequitable access to appropriate healthcare. Studies from the USA have highlighted how people with psychiatric disorders are less likely to receive appropriate secondary care and that, in some cases, these deficits seemed to explain much of the subsequent excess mortality. However, studies were restricted to specific groups defined by age, database or health coverage.

In the case of studies of the over-65s, samples were restricted to mental disorders recorded as a secondary diagnosis on admission with ischaemic heart disease and so may be an underestimate given the low reported prevalence of psychiatric morbidity (5%). A study of individuals covered by the Healthcare Investment Analysts (HCIA) Sachs Projected Inpatient database was limited by the same definition of psychiatric morbidity. Findings for the commercially insured may also be less applicable to people with severe mental illness as they are less likely to have private insurance. The study where there was the least difference in the care of people with psychosis and those without was of veterans and this system most resembles care in countries such as Great Britain and Canada. Providers are salaried employees, out-of-pocket costs for patients are low and coverage or eligibility are not tied to employment. However, this sample is still subject to selection bias in that benefits are linked to past military service, and only those with disability related to military service are eligible for the full range of services. Findings from the USA results may therefore be less relevant to jurisdictions with universal healthcare.

**Quality of care under a universal healthcare system**

Our results suggest that people with psychosis admitted for circulatory disease experience similar disadvantages under universal healthcare to those in the USA following admission for circulatory disease. They have the highest mortality but least chance of receiving many specialised interventions or circulatory medications. The results are also consistent with findings from ambulatory and primary care where people with severe mental illness were less likely to be assessed or treated for hyperlipidaemia. Finally, this research extends previous findings for ischaemic heart disease by including stroke, with the finding of similar disparities in the quality of care.

**The role of socioeconomic status**

In common with other Canadian studies of cancer and stroke care, we found that socioeconomic status had an effect on access to procedures and outcomes. Under Canada’s universal healthcare system, the ability to pay or private health insurance coverage should not influence access to healthcare. Even so, wealthier communities may be more attractive locations for specialist physicians, leading to a concentration of specialised resources. Conversely, individuals with lower incomes may have greater problems in navigating the health system, difficulties in communication or have other needs competing with healthcare. Socioeconomic status may be related to other factors, such as education level, which also influence access. Some of these explanations may equally apply to other marginalised groups such as people with psychosis.

**Study implications**

It is commonly assumed that lifestyle (e.g. obesity, alcohol or tobacco use) explains the increased mortality of individuals with psychosis. However, studies of cancer, where many of the risk factors are the same as for circulatory disease (e.g. cigarettes, alcohol or diet), suggest that lifestyle is unlikely to be the sole explanation. Other patient-based explanations could include lower adherence to treatment or an inability to give informed consent. People with psychiatric disorders may also have more general difficulties with access to healthcare shared by other marginalised groups as discussed above.

It is also possible that physicians are reluctant to offer some procedures because of the ensuing psychological stress, concerns about capacity or cooperation with post-operative care, or the presence of contraindications such as smoking. In addition, individuals with psychiatric disorders may be more at risk of developing complications following medical or surgical interventions or of having poorer outcomes post-operatively.

These explanations are less relevant to the prescription of medications known to reduce subsequent morbidity and mortality. For instance, contraindications to specialised interventions such as smoking or problems with informed consent apply less to the prescription of vascular drugs such as ACE inhibitors, beta-blockers, or statins. The concern for providers of healthcare, therefore, is that appropriate treatments are not offered because of the stigma of mental disorders in general medical settings.

Our findings are particularly relevant given the increasing rates of metabolic syndrome in people with psychosis. Individuals with schizophrenia are at increased risk of metabolic syndrome disorders at rates of between one and a half and five times that of the general population. In turn, people with metabolic syndrome are two to three times as likely to have a heart attack or stroke as those without. Although primary prevention is the approach of choice, it is likely that greater numbers of people with psychosis will experience complications of metabolic syndrome such as ischaemic heart disease and stroke. Ensuring equitable access to interventions for these disorders will be of growing importance. Aside from improved screening and monitoring, better coordination between general practitioners, psychiatrists and physicians may address the underuse of interventions of proven benefit.

The rigid application of guidelines, such as smoking as a contraindication to certain procedures, may also disadvantage people with psychosis. The importance of ensuring access to appropriate treatment is underlined by results from the USA, which suggest that quality of medical care seems to explain a substantial portion of the excess mortality experienced by individuals with schizophrenia.
unmeasured risk factors result in little bias, and the effect is to reduce differences between groups.44 We were also unable to consider the effect of legal status. However, two studies from Australia and the USA showed no difference in all-cause mortality rates between involuntary and voluntary patients.45

Overall rates of specialised procedures and circulatory disease prescription were lower in the Nova Scotian administrative data than have been reported from elsewhere in Canada or the United States.47,48 In the case of Pharmcare data, this may reflect lower prescription rates in the over-65s compared with younger people. Another explanation might be different denominators. Some studies have focused on individuals in whom interventions were particularly indicated. We were not able to stratify our sample by groups with and without specific indications for a given intervention and this could have biased our results if these individuals were not evenly distributed between the groups with psychosis and those without. On the other hand, it is unlikely to explain every one of our results. Furthermore, as noted previously, unmeasured risk factors result in little bias and tend to reduce differences between comparison groups.44 In addition, we were able to adjust for other important clinical predictors, including age, gender, socioeconomic status and comorbid illness. Our data also cannot determine the contribution of system-, physician- or patient-based factors to the observed gaps in treatment. Finally, Pharmcare does not cover over-the-counter medications such as aspirin. We therefore only have information on clopido格尔 for this class of medication.

Next steps

Our findings may have implications for other countries with universal healthcare such as Great Britain. Further research could help establish the relative contribution of system-, physician- or patient-based factors and how these can be addressed. For some individuals, this could be because of difficulties in communication, registering with a family physician or scheduling appointments because of frequent changes of address. Service providers should also be prepared to accommodate the needs of people with mental illness, as they do those with physical difficulties, to enhance access to medical care.
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Did the author of Psalm 30 have cyclothymia or bipolar disorder?

George Stein

Psalm 30 is a short psalm used as a song for the dedication of the Temple. It contains a description in religious terminology of two abrupt changes of mood which seem to resemble the mood switches of affective disorder. Examples quoted here are verses 30:3–5 and 30:8–12.

30:3 ‘O Lord, you have brought up my soul from Sheol, restored me to life from among those gone down to the Pit. 4 Sing praises to the Lord, O you his faithful ones, and give thanks to his holy name. 5 For his anger is but for a moment: his favour is for a lifetime. Weeping may linger for the night, but joy comes with morning.’

A state of misery is described in verse three (and also in one and two, not quoted here), but an abrupt change of mood seems to occur in the night. The psalm continues:

8 ‘To you Lord, I cried, and to the Lord I made supplication: 9 What profit is there in my death, if I go down to the Pit? Will the dust speak to you of your faithfulness? 10 Hear O Lord and be gracious to me O Lord, be my helper! 11 You have turned my mourning into dancing: you have taken off my sackcloth and clothed me with joy, 12 so that my soul may praise you and not be silent. O Lord my God I will give thanks to you for ever.’

Only a writer who has had personal experience of such an abrupt change of mood, from a state of mourning (depression) to one of joy (elation), could describe it so well. He attributes his improved mood to God whom he thanks. Today, in the clinic, many individuals with affective disorder will report such abrupt mood switches. Those with bipolar I disorder are often too lacking in insight to notice their moods have changed, but people with bipolar II disorder or cyclothymia are all too aware that their mood has improved. Perhaps this author had one of those disorders.
### Table DS1 Sociodemographic and clinical factors associated with ischaemic heart disease outcomes (full sample)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mortality and procedures</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age increase/ year(^b)</th>
<th>Average household income quartile</th>
<th>Patient had a known comorbid illness</th>
<th>Distance to Halifax increase/km</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male,(^b) n</td>
<td>Female, n</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>(&lt;$33,775,^b) n</td>
<td>($33,775-40,183,^b) n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-day mortality</td>
<td>2.125  (7.5)</td>
<td>1.849  (8.8)</td>
<td>1.53–1.29</td>
<td>1.073  (8.3)</td>
<td>1.136  (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-year mortality</td>
<td>3.143  (11.3)</td>
<td>2.773  (11.3)</td>
<td>1.05–1.00</td>
<td>1.098  (12.2)</td>
<td>1.163  (12.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery bypass grafting</td>
<td>3.339  (11.8)</td>
<td>1.051  (5.0)</td>
<td>0.37–0.40</td>
<td>0.973  (8.0)</td>
<td>0.982  (8.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Reported to three decimal places given the narrow 95% confidence interval.
\(^b\) Reference category.

### Table DS2 Sociodemographic and clinical factors associated with ischaemic heart disease outcomes (Pharmacare subsample)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age increase/ year(^b)</th>
<th>Average household income quartile</th>
<th>Patient had a known comorbid illness</th>
<th>Distance to Halifax increase/km</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male,(^b) n</td>
<td>Female, n</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>(&lt;$33,775,^b) n</td>
<td>($33,775-40,183,^b) n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>13,972</td>
<td>13,657</td>
<td>27,629</td>
<td>27,629</td>
<td>7,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blockers</td>
<td>4,670</td>
<td>4,979</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.277</td>
<td>1.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitors</td>
<td>3,128</td>
<td>4,150</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.479</td>
<td>1.593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin receptor blockers</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.971</td>
<td>2.077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Reported to three decimal places given the narrow 95% confidence interval.
\(^b\) Reference category.

ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme.
Table DS3  Sociodemographic and clinical factors associated with stroke outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mortality and procedures</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age increase/ year(^a) OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Average household income quartile</th>
<th>Patient had a known comorbid illness</th>
<th>Distance to Halifax increase/km OR (95% CI)(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male,(^b) n (%)</td>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>Male,(^b) n (%)</td>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>7 720</td>
<td>8 071</td>
<td>15 791</td>
<td>15 791</td>
<td>4 288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-day mortality</td>
<td>(4.1)</td>
<td>(5.0)</td>
<td>(1.05–1.42)</td>
<td>(1.029–1.043)</td>
<td>(4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-year mortality</td>
<td>(9.4)</td>
<td>(11.0)</td>
<td>(1.08–1.33)</td>
<td>(1.046–1.056)</td>
<td>(10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular arteriography</td>
<td>(3.4)</td>
<td>(3.0)</td>
<td>(0.72–1.03)</td>
<td>(0.943–0.954)</td>
<td>(2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid endarterectomy</td>
<td>(3.6)</td>
<td>(2.1)</td>
<td>(0.47–0.70)</td>
<td>(0.969–0.982)</td>
<td>(2.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Reported to three decimal places given the narrow 95% confidence interval.
\(^b\) Reference category.

---

Table DS4  Sociodemographic and clinical factors associated with stroke outcomes (Pharmacare subsample)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mortality and procedures</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age increase/ year(^a) OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Average household income quartile</th>
<th>Patient had a known comorbid illness</th>
<th>Distance to Halifax increase/km OR (95% CI)(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male,(^b) n (%)</td>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>Male,(^b) n (%)</td>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>5 219</td>
<td>6 204</td>
<td>11 423</td>
<td>11 423</td>
<td>3 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warfarin</td>
<td>(7.5)</td>
<td>(9.3)</td>
<td>(1.10–1.43)</td>
<td>(0.980–0.999)</td>
<td>(7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticlopidine</td>
<td>(3.4)</td>
<td>(3.6)</td>
<td>(0.89–1.32)</td>
<td>(0.971–0.999)</td>
<td>(3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel</td>
<td>(2.5)</td>
<td>(3.5)</td>
<td>(1.14–1.76)</td>
<td>(0.958–0.989)</td>
<td>(3.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Reported to three decimal places given the narrow 95% confidence interval.
\(^b\) Reference category.
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