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Summary
Among those who present to the emergency department for self-harm, many will repeat. Self-harm repetition is an outcome of interest in both observational and intervention studies. However, few such studies analyse the number of repeat self-harm presentations. Here, hurdle models are introduced as a potentially useful statistical method for these analyses. Emergency department data from the Province of Ontario, Canada, are used to illustrate an example of implementing hurdle models and interpreting their results.
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About 16% of those who present to the emergency department for self-harm (self-poisoning or self-injury, irrespective of suicidal intent) will return within a year. Self-harm repetition increases the risk of suicide and is an outcome of interest in observational and intervention studies. However, many such studies ignore multiple repetition. Often, those who present to hospital are followed-up for subsequent self-harm presentations and then categorised and analysed as repeaters or non-repeaters, disregarding the number of repeat presentations. This approach may obscure important clinical and cost differences. For example, an intervention trial aimed at reducing self-poisoning repetition found, after 2 years, no effect on the proportion of repeaters (21.2% v. 22.8% in the intervention and control groups respectively), but when considering the number of repeat presentations, the intervention halved the rate of repetition (rate ratio = 0.49).

Disregarding the number of repeat presentations, despite potential differences, is likely partly attributable to the fact that repetition is not well suited for typical count models (Poisson or negative binomial regression) because of ‘excess zeros’ (i.e., the 84% of the sample that will not repeat within 1 year). Excess zeros is a source of overdispersion, where the observed variance exceeds that expected under the models’ distributional assumptions.

Here, an alternative method to analyse self-harm repetition is proposed: the hurdle model. Hurdle models combine a binary (e.g., logit) model with a zero-truncated count (e.g., Poisson) model. For the self-harm repetition example, the first part tests factors associated with any repetition (repeaters v. non-repeaters) and the second part tests factors associated with the number of presentations (among repeaters). Population-based emergency department data are used to illustrate implementing and interpreting hurdle models. Hurdle models are also shown to be more informative than traditional binary analyses, but also adequately fit these data relative to some other count models.

Self-harm presentations were identified using ICD–10 criteria (intentional self-harm: X60–84). Index episodes were identified as an individual’s first during the study period. Anonymous identifiers on each record allowed follow-up of subsequent presentations. The exposure was in-patient admission resulting from the index episode, chosen to represent an important, well-defined aspect of clinical management. The outcome was repeat self-harm presentation within 1 year of the index episode, calculated from the emergency department or in-patient discharge date (as applicable). Individuals with less than 1 year of follow-up data (index episodes after March 2008 and those who died), were excluded. The data were analysed in SAS (version 9.1.3). First, two binary models were fitted: logistic regression, categorising the outcome as repeater or non-repeater; and survival analysis (Cox regression), using time to first repeat presentation as the outcome. Next, four count models were fitted: Poisson, negative binomial, Poisson hurdle and negative binomial hurdle. The outcome was the count of repeat self-harm presentations, incorporating random effects for hospital-level clustering.

Model fit was compared using Akaike and Bayesian information criteria (AIC and BIC), where smaller values are better.

Results
The cohort included 10,937 individuals (8012 (73.3%) girls and 2925 (26.7%) boys), of whom 3546 (32.4%) were admitted at their index episode. Overall, 1325 (12.1%) made at least one repeat self-harm presentation within 1 year of their index episode (classified as repeaters), and this proportion was almost identical in the two exposure groups (12.2% and 12.1% among admitted and non-admitted respectively).

The binary models, logistic regression and survival analysis found no statistically significant association between admission and repetition (odds ratio (OR) 1.01, \(P=0.8309\); hazard ratio 1.01, \(P=0.8614\)). The count models’ AIC and BIC (Table 1) suggest substantial improvement in model fit from selecting the negative binomial, Poisson hurdle and negative binomial hurdle models over the Poisson model. Both fit indices favour the negative binomial hurdle model, demonstrating their flexibility in accounting for overdispersion from excess zeroes as well as other sources.

Interpreting the negative binomial hurdle model, similar to the binary analyses, the logit portion shows admission subsequent to the index episode was not associated with repetition (OR = 1.02, \(P=0.7269\)). However, the negative binomial portion shows that, among repeaters, the estimated number of repeat presentations is lower among those admitted (\(P=0.0179\)).

Method
This is a population-based retrospective cohort study of 12- to 17-year-olds presenting to the emergency department for self-harm in Ontario, Canada. Data are from the National Ambulatory Care Reporting System (NACRS), covering a 7-year period (1 April 2002 to 31 March 2009). The data capture every emergency department visit; all legal residents are insured for acute and primary healthcare services and every hospital submitted NACRS emergency department data. The 2006 Ontario population of 12- to 17-year-olds was about 1 million. Ethical approval was obtained from St Michael’s Hospital.
These results highlight the importance of considering the number of repeat presentations when studying self-harm. Others have already acknowledged the tendency for self-harm repetition studies to ignore multiple event survival analysis and proposed alternative analyses, including recurrent event survival analysis and multinomial logistic regression. Here, we have shown that hurdle models are also an appropriate and useful statistical method. They are more informative than binary analyses because the investigator models are also independent from the funding sources. No endorsement is intended or should be inferred.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count models</th>
<th>Coefficient (standard error)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>BIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poisson</td>
<td>−0.0537 (0.0495)</td>
<td>0.2791</td>
<td>12317</td>
<td>12327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative binomial</td>
<td>−0.0606 (0.0697)</td>
<td>0.3854</td>
<td>10601</td>
<td>10613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson hurdle</td>
<td>0.0345 (0.0637)</td>
<td>0.5889</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logit</td>
<td>−0.2527 (0.0832)</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative binomial</td>
<td>0.0223 (0.0637)</td>
<td>0.7269</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative binomial</td>
<td>−0.2854 (0.1194)</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIC, Akaike information criterion; BIC, Bayesian information criterion.

### Discussion

These results highlight the importance of considering the number of repeat presentations when studying self-harm. Others have already acknowledged the tendency for self-harm repetition studies to ignore multiple event survival analysis and proposed alternative analyses, including recurrent event survival analysis and multinomial logistic regression. Here, we have shown that hurdle models are also an appropriate and useful statistical method. They are more informative than binary analyses because the investigator models are also independent from the funding sources. No endorsement is intended or should be inferred.
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