Most fatigue research has been conducted in high-income Western countries despite a greater rate of fatigue presentations to health services in low- and middle-income countries. Most studies of symptomatic fatigue and chronic fatigue (i.e. present for at least 6 months) find that, although a small proportion of cases can be accounted for by defined biomedical disease (e.g. cancer, rheumatoid arthritis) or treatments, the majority of cases are ‘medically unexplained’. In Europe and the USA, about a third of people experience troublesome fatigue, 5–12% chronic fatigue and 0.5–2% chronic fatigue syndrome. Twin studies are one method by which the overall aetiological contributions to fatigue can be investigated; in European-derived populations twin studies have suggested a substantial role for genes but a larger contribution from environmental factors. Fatigue falls on a continuum without notable cut-points, which suggests that studying broader definitions could be informative about more severe and prolonged cases. Most clinical and epidemiological studies indicate that risk factors are similar across the spectrum of severity. This suggests that more severe forms are quantitatively, but not qualitatively, different from milder fatigue; however, this has not been tested using a genetically sensitive method. Despite the potential heterogeneity within fatigue, and although fatigue symptoms can be separated from mood symptoms, there are striking associations between fatigue or chronic fatigue syndrome and psychiatric symptoms or disorders in the general population. Although the aetiology of this overlap is poorly understood, evidence from birth cohorts indicates that psychiatric disorder may often predispose individuals to fatigue or chronic fatigue syndrome and twin studies implicate genetic pleiotropy across symptoms. Thus fatigue is an important, prevalent, disabling but poorly understood phenomenon. The aim of the current study was to investigate whether these patterns of findings are the same in a non-Western low-income country, Sri Lanka, where the important environmental impacts and cultural contexts might be expected to be considerably different to those previously studied.

Method

The study received approval from the Institute of Psychiatry, King’s College London Research Ethics Committee; the Ethical Review Committee, University of Sri Jayewardanepura; and the World Health Organization’s (WHO’s) Research Ethics Committee.

Study design and participants

The study aimed to examine the prevalence and aetiology of fatigue in Sri Lanka, and of its overlap with depressive episodes. In addition, it aimed to clarify the relationship between the range of normal variation and more pronounced fatigue.

This was a population-based twin study, the twin component of the Colombo Twin And Singleton Study (CoTASS). Full details of the design and implementation of the study are described elsewhere. Briefly, the study took place in the Colombo District of Sri Lanka, an area with a population of 2.2 million that includes the island’s capital and urban to semi-urban areas. We added a question to the update of the annual census asking whether the householder knew of any twins and identified 19302 individual twins by this method. Of these, we randomly selected 4387 individual twins who were at least 15 years old to take part in the project on common mental disorders. A total of 4024 (91.7%) participated, including 1954 complete twin pairs. We included all consenting individuals aged 15 years or older who spoke sufficient Sinhala to understand the interview, excluding those who failed a mini-mental state examination and those
whose data was provided by a proxy. The mean age of the twins was 34.0 years, 46.2% were male and 90.1% were of Sinhalese ethnicity. High-school-educated research workers visited the participants’ homes to interview them each separately. Interviews took place between 2006 and 2007 when Sri Lanka had been experiencing violent civil war for over 20 years. However, much of the conflict has centred in areas to the North and East of the island, far from the location of the current study. Nonetheless, a small minority (2.6%) of the participants reported directly participating in the conflict as combatants.

### Measures

All interviews and questionnaires were translated at least twice independently into Sinhala, and were then reviewed by a group of professionals and a scholar in Sinhala, and finally trialled to confirm lay people could understand it. All questionnaires were administered as interviews.

Participants were assessed with the Chalder Fatigue Questionnaire. This includes 11 core fatigue items, and 2 assessing muscle pain, experienced over the past month (scored as: less than usual, no more than usual, more than usual or much more than usual, which were coded as 0, 0, 1, 1 for categorical analysis and 0, 1, 2, 3 for continuous analysis). Those who had had fatigue for a long time were asked to rate their past month’s experiences compared with when they last felt well. It also assesses what percentage of the time fatigue is present, and among those who are currently fatigued, it assesses how long this has lasted. We created three variables to analyse fatigue both as a continuum and as dichotomies indicating potentially clinically significant fatigue. 'Fatigue severity' is a continuous scale using all 13 symptom items each scored 0–3. For genetic analyses, this scale was log-transformed to remove skew, and then the effects of age and gender were regressed out (in order to avoid artificially inflated estimates of shared environmental factors). 'Abnormal fatigue' indicates that 3 of the 11 fatigue items were present at least 'more than usual'; 'prolonged fatigue' indicates that at least 4 of the 11 fatigue items were present at least 'more than usual' and for at least 50% of the time and for at least 6 months.

A dimensional approach to measurement can be useful especially where there appears to be no ‘point of rarity’ marking a discrete disorder and where there may be a complex combination of risk factors involved in aetiology, which is unlikely to be adequately indexed by a single diagnostic category. It is also appropriate where there is no clear Mendelian pattern of inheritance, suggesting that any genetic effect may come from many separate loci, each of small effect size, and each of which may contribute to the range of normal variation as well as differentiating cases from controls (i.e. the quantitative trait loci hypothesis). The current study used a mix of dimensional and categorical definitions of fatigue in order to test the consistency of the findings across different severity levels. Prior population-based twin studies have only examined approximations of chronic fatigue syndrome rather than the official definition, partly because of the large sample sizes required for twin analysis of uncommon conditions in representative populations. The current study also takes this approach, but explicitly tests the validity of making comparisons between broader and narrower measures of fatigue.

It is also the first genetically informative exploration of fatigue and its overlap with depression. In more detail, the fatigue severity mean scores of the co-twins of the probands having a higher mean than the rest of the population. By definition, both MZ and DZ twin pairs share 100% of their shared environments and 0% of their non-shared environments.

### Analyses

A database was constructed, and correlational and extremes analyses were performed in Stata version 10.1 for Windows. Analyses were corrected for non-independence within pairs where appropriate. Genetic model fitting was performed in Mx for Windows (www.vcu.edu/mx/index.html).

The twin analyses involve calculating the proportion of individual differences in a given trait that can be detected as a result of additive genetics (A), environments shared between twins (C) and environments unique to each twin in a pair (E). The size of these parameters is estimated based on the knowledge that monozygotic (MZ) pairs of twins share 100% of their genetic material, whereas dizygotic (DZ) pairs share on average 50% of their genetic material, relative to the rest of the population. By definition, both MZ and DZ twin pairs share 100% of their shared environments and 0% of their non-shared environments.

### Extremes analyses (comparing extreme groups with normal variation)

In order to test the hypothesis that the aetiology of fatigue is the same at the extreme as in the range of normal variation, we ran DeFries–Fulker extremes regression models. This method typically requires a continuously measured trait, onto which a cut-point is made in order to create a dichotomy to identify probands. The co-twin mean score is compared with the proband mean and the population mean. In this study we used fatigue severity as the continuous measure and abnormal fatigue as the categorical variable to define probands. We are in essence conducting a bivariate analysis to describe the relationship between an extreme dichotomy and the range of fatigue reported in the population measured as a continuous trait. To increase power, we compared both male and female MZ pairs to male, female and opposite gender DZ pairs (this approach is supported by a lack of gender differences in the univariate models below). The regression models allow calculation of group heritability (g_A) and group environmentality (g_C and g_E). These indicate the extent to which A, C and E factors are responsible for the probands having a higher mean than the rest of the population. Evidence of g_A and/or g_C indicates that some of the A or C factors that influence the extreme also influence the normal distribution.

In more detail, the fatigue severity mean scores of the co-twins of the probands are standardised on the proband mean scores. This is referred to as the group co-twin correlation (or transformed co-twin mean) because it focuses on the mean score of the co-twins rather than individual differences. The degree of regression of this transformed co-twin mean to the population mean is examined. If there are genetic factors that influence the extreme as well as normal variation of fatigue, we would expect...
the MZ co-twins to regress less towards the mean than DZ co-twins.

**Twin variance component models (individual differences)**

Univariate genetic models decompose the variance in each trait into that resulting from A, C and E (i.e. the variation between individuals is divided into that accountable by genetic, shared environmental and non-shared environmental factors). The estimated model is compared with the observed data in order to produce the maximum likelihood fit of the model. This model fit is compared with that of a fully saturated model. Separate thresholds or means were estimated according to gender. Univariate genetic models were performed for the continuously measured fatigue severity and categorical abnormal fatigue. Twin variance components models using categorical data assume a liability threshold model (for more details, see footnotes to Tables 4 and 5).

A bivariate categorical genetic model was used to decompose the covariance between abnormal fatigue and D-probe into A, C and E influences. Due to the gender differences in the heritability of D-probe reported in a previous study, the bivariate model was run to examine males and females separately (opposite-gender pairs were not included). A Cholesky model was fitted, in which the latent A, C and E factors for the first variable (abnormal fatigue) are also allowed to load onto the second variable (D-probe). There is also a separate set of A, C and E factors that load only on the second variable (D-probe). However, for ease of interpretation, we will present the mathematically equivalent correlated factors solution. Here, separate A, C and E parameters are estimated for each variable, and the extent that the phenotypic overlap is a result of A, C or E is calculated (Fig. 1).

### Results

Table 1 shows that men had less fatigue than women, both when measured as a continuous variable ($t = 5.48, P < 0.001$) and as a categorical variable, presence/absence of abnormal fatigue ($z = 5.11, P < 0.001$). The prevalence of prolonged fatigue was low, giving low power to detect gender differences.

#### Low prevalence of prolonged fatigue

To assess the duration of fatigue, participants were asked ‘If you are tired at the moment, please indicate approximately how long this has lasted’. In total, 72% of those who reported sufficient specific fatigue symptoms over the past month for the most extreme definition denied experiencing fatigue at the moment of the interview when asked about its duration (for comparison, in a random sample of UK armed forces personnel using the same questionnaire this figure was roughly a quarter). This subset, who did admit to substantial generalised fatigue over the past month (only 20% claimed to be not tired in response to the question: ‘Overall, what percentage of the time do you feel tired’), were thus ineligible for a duration score, and this apparent disparity partially accounts for the low prevalence of prolonged fatigue.

The data were double checked, and the translation of the relevant items was confirmed to be accurate and to reflect the same meaning as the English version, so there is a high level of confidence in the validity of these responses. The disparity may instead relate to the context of the study population (e.g. what is viewed as an abnormal level of tiredness in Sri Lanka), in which case the individuals meeting criteria for prolonged fatigue may be representing a more severe (and thus possibly more reliable and informative) subset of the phenotype than those picked up under similar criteria in other countries. The disparity may also have been triggered by the administration of the questionnaire as a face-to-face interview, whereas in other settings it has usually been completed by the participant alone, using pen and paper. Alternatively, this result may suggest that although fatigue is a common condition in Sri Lanka, prolonged fatigue is considerably rarer. Thus the responses are valid in respect of the items asked, but precise wording of the questions (i.e. reference to the specific moment of the interview) may have influenced the prevalence. Because of this uncertainty, the prolonged fatigue category was used as a supplementary category for comparison rather than a focus of the analyses in this paper.

#### Impairment

Reporting of social impairment over the past month was higher among those who either reported fatigue over the past month or 2 weeks of depressive symptoms over their lifetime (i.e. D-probe)

---

**Table 1** Severity and prevalence of fatigue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Men, n</th>
<th>Women, n</th>
<th>All, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue severity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(range 0–39), a mean (95% CI)</td>
<td>14.5 (14.3–14.6)</td>
<td>15.1 (14.9–15.2)</td>
<td>14.8 (14.7–14.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Categorical: % prevalence (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal fatigue</td>
<td>21.4 (19.9–23.3)</td>
<td>28.6 (26.7–30.5)</td>
<td>25.3 (23.9–26.7)</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged fatigue</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6–1.6)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6–1.4)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7–1.4)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Prior to transformation.
Impairment was significantly more common among those reporting both abnormal fatigue and lifetime depressive symptoms compared with abnormal fatigue only (Wald test of equality of odds ratios: $\chi^2 = 14.2, P < 0.001$), but this fell short of significance when repeated using prolonged fatigue ($\chi^2 = 2.1, P = 0.14$). So, having both abnormal fatigue and D-probe is a marker of heightened impairment, which makes it particularly interesting to explore the aetiological factors explaining why some people report both, as we have done in the bivariate model reported at the end of the results section.

**Extremes analysis**

The Defries–Fulker extremes analysis using abnormal fatigue as the cut-off (and fatigue severity to index normal variation) suggested an important influence of group heritability but not group-shared environmentality ($g_A = 24\%, P = 0.026; g_C = 4\%, P = 0.309; n = 774$ pairs of twins selected for analysis, i.e. with at least one twin with abnormal fatigue). This indicates that there is aetiological overlap between the extreme and normal variation.

**Correlations**

In order to examine the heritability of the different definitions of fatigue, we first examined the cross-twin correlations for fatigue severity and abnormal fatigue (Table 3). We did not examine cross-twin correlations or variance decomposition models for the narrower definition of prolonged fatigue because of low prevalence. The MZ correlations were slightly greater than the DZ correlations among men, whereas the correlations were more similar across zygosity for women, perhaps indicating greater genetic influence in men and greater shared environmental influences in women. However, the confidence intervals around these estimates are wide. None of the correlations were greater than 0.48 indicating a considerable role for non-shared environmental factors.

A history of D-probe (the screen for lifetime depressive episodes) was associated with abnormal fatigue (odds ratio (OR) = 3.19, 95% CI 2.64–3.84) and prolonged fatigue (OR = 6.47, 95% CI 3.36–12.47), when looking within individuals but controlling for familial relatedness. All three of the fatigue indices correlated significantly with D-probe; the magnitude of this correlation was slightly less when assessing the full range of fatigue variation (fatigue severity) than the two categorical indices (Table 3). This is consistent with research from a WHO study in 14 countries that showed a stronger relationship between depression and (unexplained) fatigue syndromes with narrower definitions of fatigue. The bivariate cross-twin correlations

### Table 2

<p>| Social impairment among participants with fatigue and/or a screen for lifetime depressive episodes (D-probe) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% impaired</th>
<th>Odds ratio^a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole sample</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1: abnormal fatigue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue only</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-probe only</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue + D-probe</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2: prolonged fatigue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue only</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-probe only</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue + D-probe</td>
<td>76.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Adjusted for age, gender and ethnicity.

### Table 3

| Cross-twin and within-person correlations (95% CIs) for fatigue and a screen for lifetime depressive episodes (D-probe) |
|-----------|-------------|
| All | Men | Women |
| (n = 3820) | (n = 1754) | (n = 2068) |
| Univariate (cross-twin) correlation, n pairs | 356 | 254 | 453 | 301 | 513 |
| Fatigue severity, correlation (95% CI) | 0.29 (0.20 to 0.39) | 0.25 (0.14 to 0.37) | 0.26 (0.18 to 0.35) | 0.20 (0.10 to 0.31) | 0.15 (0.06 to 0.23) |
| Abnormal fatigue, correlation (95% CI) | 0.48 (0.32 to 0.64) | 0.46 (0.31 to 0.60) | 0.48 (0.34 to 0.62) | 0.35 (0.19 to 0.51) | 0.16 (0.01 to 0.31) |
| Bivariate (within-person) correlation with D-probe (95% CI) | | | | | |
| Fatigue severity | 0.33 (0.26 to 0.39) | 0.26 (0.20 to 0.31) | 0.28 (0.24 to 0.33) |
| Abnormal fatigue | 0.38 (0.29 to 0.47) | 0.39 (0.32 to 0.47) | 0.39 (0.34 to 0.45) |
| Prolonged fatigue | 0.50 (0.32 to 0.68) | 0.33 (0.13 to 0.53) | 0.41 (0.28 to 0.55) |
| Bivariate (cross-twin) correlation with D-probe, n pairs | 359 | 256 | 457 | 306 | 518 |
| Abnormal fatigue correlation (95% CI) | 0.29 (0.20 to 0.39) | 0.25 (0.14 to 0.37) | 0.26 (0.18 to 0.35) | 0.20 (0.10 to 0.31) | 0.15 (0.06 to 0.23) |
| Abnormal fatigue correlation (95% CI) | 0.08 (0.00 to 0.18) | 0.05 (0.00 to 0.12) | 0.10 (0.03 to 0.20) | 0.04 (0.00 to 0.13) | 0.04 (0.00 to 0.15) |

^a Correlations are tetrachoric for binary data; intraclass for continuous data; point-biserial where there is a combination of categorical and continuous data.
suggest genetic factors are involved in this association for women only (because the MZ cross-trait correlation is roughly double that for DZs, Table 3). However, the confidence intervals are wide, and the relatively low magnitude of the MZ male bivariate correlation suggests a large influence from non-shared environmental factors.

### Univariate twin models

Fatigue severity had a larger standard deviation in women than in men (0.17 and 0.14 respectively, $F = 0.704, P = 0.001$), so we ran a scalar variance components model to the saturated model, which fit well ($\Delta \chi^2 = 10.06, \text{d.f.} = 9, P = 0.346$). The variance components models for abnormal fatigue also fit the respective saturated model well ($\Delta \chi^2 = 0.34, \text{d.f.} = 1, P = 0.560$). For both definitions, there was no evidence of qualitative gender differences (P-values $> 0.56$). There were no quantitative gender differences (there was a significant familial influence in both genders, although men tended to have higher A and C estimates, and this was marginally significant for abnormal fatigue ($P = 0.058$)). We proceeded using models with ACE parameters estimated for men and women (Table 4).

The ACE parameter estimates were similar across the two definitions. The largest contribution to the variance came from the non-shared environment (72% in fatigue severity and 62% in abnormal fatigue), followed by additive genetics (21% and 29%) and with minimal estimated contributions from the shared environment (7% and 8%). These values are very similar to the group heritability and group-shared environmentality obtained in the extremes analyses. The effect of additive genetics was significant in the fatigue severity model ($P = 0.017$) and was marginally significant for abnormal fatigue ($P = 0.065$). Neither of the shared environmental parameters were significantly greater than zero ($P > 0.30$). The data are best explained by the AE models (i.e. additive genetic plus non-shared environmental effects).

It should be noted that statistical power to detect gender differences was low, particularly for the category of abnormal fatigue. This is partly because the large magnitude of the $F$ parameter makes it hard to distinguish the relative contributions of (or possibly the mix of) A and C. Therefore these results only provide tentative evidence of lack of gender differences, although they are useful in telling us that an AE model best explains the data for men and women combined. Confidence in the abnormal fatigue univariate results is improved as a result of the similar magnitude of aetiological effects identified both with the continuously measured fatigue severity, and the extremes analyses examining the overlap between fatigue severity and abnormal fatigue.

### Bivariate twin model

Finally, we ran a bivariate model to decompose the covariation between abnormal fatigue and D-probe (see Table 5 for parameter estimates). As a result of previously identified gender differences in D-probe, we did not attempt to equate the model across genders.

---

**Table 4** Univariate genetic models: fatigue severity and abnormal fatigue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$\Delta \chi^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>$\Delta$AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Fatigue severity
| A | 21 (4–34) | 7 (0–20) | 72 (66–79) | \(2.93^a\) | 2 | 0.231 | −1.07 |
| CE | 30 (24–35) | 0 | 70 (65–76) | \(1.08^a\) | 1 | 0.300 | −0.92 |
| E | 0 | 22 (17–26) | 78 (74–83) | \(5.72^a\) | 1 | 0.017 | 3.72 |
| Abnormal fatigue
| A | 29 (0–48) | 8 (0–32) | 62 (52–74) | \(5.70^a\) | 2 | 0.058 | 1.70 |
| CE | 39 (29–49) | 0 | 60 (51–71) | \(0.47^a\) | 1 | 0.492 | −1.53 |
| E | 0 | 29 (22–37) | 71 (63–78) | \(3.40^a\) | 1 | 0.065 | 1.40 |
| AIC | 93.47^a | 2 | <0.001 | 50.75 |

**Table 5** Bivariate genetic model of the overlap between abnormal fatigue and a screen for lifetime depressive episodes (D-probe) (same-gender twin pairs only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACE model</th>
<th>Bivariate phenotypic correlation (within-person)</th>
<th>Aetiology of the bivariate correlation, % (95% CI)</th>
<th>Fit compared with saturated model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>−11 (−19 to 21)</td>
<td>23 (−3 to 34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>17 (−9 to 43)</td>
<td>10 (−10 to 33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
genders. The only significant individual contribution to the overlap in men was from \( E \). In women, none of the three parameters were individually significant using a \( \chi^2 \)-test, although \( E \) was the most likely contributor based on Akaike’s information criterion (AIC). However, although we could not distinguish between \( A \) and \( C \), there was significant familial influence (combination of \( A \) and \( C \)) in both men (\( \Delta \gamma^2 = 7.03, \text{d.f.} = 2, P = 0.03, \text{AIC} = 3.03 \)) and women (\( \Delta \gamma^2 = 24.29, \text{d.f.} = 2, P < 0.001, \text{AIC} = 20.29 \)). So the overlap between fatigue and history of depressive symptoms is associated with high levels of impairment, and this overlap is explained by a combination of person-specific environments and familial factors.

---

**Discussion**

The aims of this study were to examine the characteristics and determinants of fatigue in a population-representative sample from outside Western high-income countries; and to describe the relationship between the normal range of fatigue and more extreme presentations. Key findings were that troublesome fatigue was common in Sri Lanka with a prevalence of 25%, but prolonged fatigue was considerably less common (roughly 1%). The prevalence of troublesome fatigue is in line with findings in other countries,\(^{2,4}\) but prolonged fatigue in Sri Lanka was lower than in many Western high-income countries;\(^{5,6}\) however, this may have been influenced by different interpretations of the precise wording of the item assessing duration.

We found the largest aetiological influence came from non-shared environmental factors and familial similarity in fatigue appears to be a result of shared genes rather than shared environment. An aetiological overlap was identified between the determinants of variation in the normal range of fatigue and in more extreme cases. This means that the risk and protective factors contributing to the normal range of fatigue also contribute to more severe and prolonged fatigue.

Fatigue was associated with a positive screen for past depressive episodes, and both contributed to impairment in functioning. Impairment was especially marked among people affected by both, making it particularly pertinent to understand the reasons behind this overlap. In other countries, genetic or familial factors have been found to be responsible for the overlap between fatigue and mental distress.\(^{6,9,32}\) Although familial factors did contribute in Sri Lanka, we also found influences from non-shared environmental factors.

**Low prevalence of prolonged fatigue**

The low prevalence of fatigue in Sri Lanka compared with estimates from high-income Western countries mirror findings from a WHO multinational study, which found that fatigue assessed via direct questioning was less prevalent in low-compared with high-income countries.\(^1\) The prevalence in Sri Lanka was particularly low for prolonged fatigue (which required the participant to respond positively to further questioning, including being fatigued at the time of the interview). This could be a true difference in underlying prevalence, suggesting a difference in the identity or prevalence of some of the risk factors, or an effect of the wider social or physical environment buffering the effect of specific risk factors. Alternatively, the low prevalence may reflect a tendency for participants to play down symptoms upon further questioning (Sri Lankan participants might be more likely to view fatigue as a fact of life rather than something unusual to be commented on or investigated). The WHO study conversely found more spontaneous fatigue presentations in low-compared with high-income countries\(^1\) and a study in Goa, India,\(^{33}\) found rates comparable with high-income countries using questions from the Revised Clinical Interview Schedule (CIS–R). These patterns suggest that the precise wording of questions is important and interpretation of the questions may differ across countries. However, the initial items on the Chalder Fatigue Questionnaire used in this study (to generate the fatigue severity and abnormal fatigue classifications) may be particularly useful, because they specifically enquire about the past month’s symptoms in relation to what is usual for the participant (i.e. compared with the previous month, except where the participant has been fatigued for a long time, in which case the comparison is with when they last felt well).

**Aetiology**

The overall aetiology of fatigue was similar to that in high-income Western countries,\(^{6–10}\) although power constraints make it hard to be precise. This is noteworthy given the different profile of communicable versus non-communicable disease in Sri Lanka, which might be expected to lead to a different aetiological profile than that seen in high-income Western countries, if fatigue is typically a consequence of medical conditions each with differing risk factors. Nonetheless, the results did suggest a small contribution from genetic factors, in keeping with genetically informative research on chronic fatigue syndrome that has suggested larger genetic influences on objective domains (e.g. sleep latency, cold pain threshold and tolerance) than subjective domains (e.g. reports of fatigue or pain).\(^{34}\) There was evidence that suggested that environmental influences on the overlap between fatigue and an indicator of depression were higher in Sri Lanka than in high-income Western countries. This is a tentative finding because of the uncertainty in the estimates of the bivariate correlations is important and interpretation of the questions may differ across countries. However, the initial items on the Chalder Fatigue Questionnaire used in this study (to generate the fatigue severity and abnormal fatigue classifications) may be particularly useful, because they specifically enquire about the past month’s symptoms in relation to what is usual for the participant (i.e. compared with the previous month, except where the participant has been fatigued for a long time, in which case the comparison is with when they last felt well).

Although non-shared environmental influences (\( E \)) strongly influence fatigue both in Sri Lanka and in other countries, the precise nature of the exposure may differ. \( E \) could include each twin’s separate occupational and social activities and thus their differential exposure to stressors and infections over the past month or beyond, where these exposures would lead to cross-twin differences in tiredness. Some research has linked chronic fatigue syndrome to infections such as the Epstein–Barr virus.\(^{36,37}\) However, other research has downplayed a link to infections,\(^{38}\) or else suggests that individual responses to infection such as immune activation or prolonged convalescence are important,\(^{39,40}\) particularly when considering (in relation to Epstein–Barr virus) the high proportion of cases that do not go on to develop chronic fatigue syndrome. More longstanding influences could also be important, e.g. lifestyle factors such as childhood over-exercise (which is a prospective risk factor)\(^{41}\) or childhood trauma which is linked to psychopathology as well as chronic fatigue syndrome\(^{32} – \text{such experiences often differ for each twin in a pair, or are perceived differently by each twin. The } E \text{ influences in the univariate models also incorporate measurement error. The finding that the aetiology of fatigue is similar for the narrow and broad definitions (i.e. abnormal fatigue and fatigue severity) concurs with data from over 30 000 Swedish twins. The}
Swedish study compared the 95% confidence intervals for varying definitions of fatigue (including chronic fatigue syndrome-like illness assessed using medical exclusions), and showed that the A/C/E proportions did not change according to the stringency of the definition. The current study adds that the genetic and environmental risk factors that contribute to excessive and prolonged experiences of fatigue (abnormal fatigue and prolonged fatigue) also appear to influence the normal range of fatigue (fatigue severity), and that the different definitions were all associated with a screen for history of depressive episodes. This suggests that, although fatigue may have non-psychiatric medical causes, the links with psychiatric morbidity are substantial and may be more important than environmental exposures or disease burden on a population level.

We found no evidence of gender differences in the aetiology of fatigue, which is interesting in the light of the relative status of men and women in Sri Lanka. The gender discrepancy experienced in everyday life in Sri Lanka may be more pronounced than in many Western and/or higher-income countries, but in certain aspects it is less pronounced than other countries of the Indian subcontinent, for example gender equality has already been reached in Sri Lanka in primary and secondary education.43

Limitations
The current study assessed fatigue over the past month (and for prolonged fatigue, whether this had been present for the past 6 months). This might have led to underestimates of true heritability because of the possibility of twins being discordant over the month but concordant over their lifetimes. However, these analyses are useful for the purposes of making comparisons with data from other countries that used similar methods. The specificity of our findings are limited because we did not use any medical exclusions. However, previous results from Sweden found that the aetiology of fatigue was similar regardless of the stringency of the definition used, or the use of medical exclusions. Moreover, this is a relatively young population-based sample, few of whom are likely to be affected by medically diagnosable physical disorders. If there were a range of undetected medical diagnoses that contributed to reporting of fatigue, this is likely to have increased measurement error and so the calculated heritability could be an underestimate.

The precise wording of the fatigue duration item may have influenced the prevalence of the ‘prolonged fatigue’ category, so it is not clear whether this reflects a true difference in the rate of extended experiences of fatigue in Sri Lanka. However, the other two definitions are much more likely to have been interpreted in a consistent manner across countries because they focus on recent experiences in comparison with what is usual for the participant.

The assumptions of the twin method apply to these findings. For example, it is assumed that MZ pairs are not treated any more similarly than DZ pairs purely on the basis of zygosity or that such differential treatment is not relevant for the phenotypes under investigation. Such assumptions have been supported through tests in relation to psychiatric disorders.44

Conclusions
Fatigue in Sri Lanka is, as in Western countries, common and strongly associated with depressive symptoms. There is evidence from our work that both fatigue as a spectrum and abnormal fatigue are mainly determined by non-shared environmental influences, although there is a modest genetic component, and that the aetiology is consistent across the spectrum of severity.
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