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Summary
Fatigue and common psychiatric symptoms – depression in particular – have consistently been found to be strongly associated. All have a negative impact on functional status, but evidence suggests that functional impairment is especially marked when they co-occur. Therefore, it is pertinent to understand the relationship between fatigue and depression.
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Although fatigue has been the focus of a substantial amount of research, it generally remains an elusive concept to grasp. However, one of the most consistent findings in research on fatigue has been the strong relationship it has with common psychiatric symptoms, and depression in particular.1,2 The association between fatigue and depression holds across different healthcare settings and populations, from community samples to those in specialist care.3 In this issue of the Journal, Ball et al4 report on a population-based twin study conducted in Sri Lanka which aimed to assess the prevalence and aetiology of fatigue and its overlap with depression. Before considering their interesting results, I will touch upon some issues relating to fatigue and its relationship with depression.

Co-occurrence and impairment
An important reason to try to understand and disentangle the relationship between fatigue and depression concerns the functional impairment that is associated with their co-occurrence. Chronic fatigue and chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) are associated with considerable functional impairment and disability be it in a social, physical or occupational sense.5,6 However, the co-occurrence of chronic fatigue or CFS with psychiatric symptoms such as depression is associated with greater functional impairment than when it occurs alone. The presence of psychiatric symptoms in individuals with chronic fatigue or CFS has also been found to be predictive of a poor outcome in terms of fatigue complaints as well as impairment.6

Relationship between fatigue and depression
Fatigue, in the same way as depression, can be defined in broad or narrow terms according to severity, duration and additional criteria (e.g. somatic symptoms, disability). The narrowest definitions being those for the syndromes or illnesses related to these symptoms: CFS and major depressive disorder respectively. Different studies use different definitions and it is sometimes argued that the use of stricter definitions for fatigue will reduce the overlap between fatigue and depression. However, empirical evidence suggests that the association between fatigue and depression becomes larger when a narrower, more exclusive definition for fatigue is used as opposed to a broader definition.2

The overlap in occurrence and symptoms between chronic fatigue/CFS and depression has led some to suggest that they are merely a form of depression. Research on CFS suggests that these conditions can be distinguished from each other in several ways. Importantly, they do not always co-occur. Despite the overlap in some symptoms there are also differences. Typically, central features of depression such as issues of guilt and self-esteem do not fit the phenomenology of CFS.1 Moreover, some biological differences have been observed, most notably the (reversed) regulation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis associated with these conditions: a down-regulation of the HPA axis has been noted in CFS, whereas an up-regulation of the HPA axis has been noted in depression.7 Twin studies have shown that fatigue seems to have genetic and environmental risk factors that are independent from psychiatric symptoms.8 Additionally, a recent cohort study found different and specific risk factors for fatigue with psychiatric comorbidity (e.g. family history of psychiatric disorder) and fatigue without psychiatric comorbidity (e.g. having excessive energy as a child).9 So what comes first: fatigue or depression? Unfortunately the results are equivocal. There is evidence to suggest that depression may predispose individuals to subsequent fatigue.10 On the other hand, fatigue and depression have been found to predict and influence each other in time.11,12 It has also been suggested that their association could partly be due to some common risk factors that give rise to both.12 For some, fatigue will come first; for others, depression will come first, but for most it will probably be unclear. As mood and fatigue seem to be so intertwined and the underlying mechanism remains relatively unknown, it will be difficult to identify what comes first until we understand how fatigue and mood are related to each other.

Western or global phenomenon?
Ball et al’s population-based twin study on the aetiology of fatigue and its overlap with depression4 is especially relevant because of its setting: Sri Lanka. As most research on fatigue is done in Western countries, the question arises: is fatigue and its association with depression and disability merely an issue in high-income Western
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countries? Previous research on fatigue in non-Western settings suggests that this is not the case. For example, chronic fatigue and CFS have been found to be valid constructs across different countries and settings. A World Health Organization study conducted in 14 countries found that although people from high-income countries report more fatigue, people from low-income countries tend to present fatigue as a complaint to their doctor more often. Moreover, a comparative study of CFS in Brazil and the UK showed that the prevalence of chronic fatigue and CFS and its associated disability was comparable between the two countries, but that causal attributions for fatigue differed.

Essentially, Ball et al’s Sri Lankan study suggests that fatigue is also a problem in this non-Western, low-income setting: the prevalence of abnormal fatigue was 25.3% and that of prolonged fatigue was 1%. Unfortunately, interpreting the prevalence of prolonged fatigue is somewhat hampered by the fact that there were some ambiguities surrounding a questionnaire item relevant to the definition of prolonged fatigue (but not to abnormal fatigue). Although a thorough procedure was followed in translating the questionnaire, this limitation underlines the importance of cross-cultural validation of such instruments.

Patterns in the aetiology of fatigue and its relationship with depression and disability were quite similar to those found in Western settings. Fatigue was found to be associated with lifetime ever depression and this association was stronger when considering a narrower rather than a broader definition of fatigue. Perhaps most importantly, those reporting both abnormal fatigue and lifetime ever depression had more social impairment than those reporting either complaint separately. This finding is especially interesting as depression did not have to be present at the time of the study. Environmental and familial factors were found to contribute to the overlap between fatigue and lifetime ever depression, but environmental factors seemed to play a slightly more important role in this sample as compared with findings in Western samples.

This study provides us with new insights into the cross-cultural comparison of fatigue complaints and its association with depression and functional impairment. Building on these findings by examining specific, modifiable risk factors for fatigue and psychiatric comorbidity could prove to be valuable to practice. Moreover, it would also be interesting to learn more about whether specific risk factors differ between Western and non-Western countries.

**Conclusions**

Fatigue is a complex concept that continues to challenge researchers and practitioners alike. Its relationship with depression and functional impairment is a complex one, but an important one to untangle nonetheless. Moreover, Ball et al’s study is an example of the emerging evidence that chronic fatigue and its association with functional impairment – especially when it co-occurs with depression – is not merely a ‘Western’ problem, but rather a global one.

In their review, Ross et al ask the question whether patients with CFS may be served better by focusing less on the medical mystery and more on the functional consequences. Preventing and treating the primary problem of chronic fatigue is naturally an important objective and it follows that unravelling the mystery of how fatigue develops and how it becomes associated with depression remains an important focus of research. However, because of the level of disability associated with chronic fatigue (with and without depression), focusing on the nature, identification and treatment of functional impairment at different stages in the course of fatigue could possibly help to reduce the impact that chronic fatigue has on the lives of those suffering from it.
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