Timing of menarche and depressive symptoms in adolescent girls from a UK cohort
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Background
A growing number of studies suggest a link between timing of menarche and risk of depressive symptoms in adolescence, but few have prospectively examined the emergence of depressive symptoms from late childhood into adolescence.

Aims
To examine whether girls who experience earlier menarche than their peers have higher levels of depressive symptoms in adolescence.

Method
The study sample comprised 2184 girls from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. The association between timing of menarche and depressive symptoms at 10.5, 13 and 14 years was examined within a structural equation model.

Results
Girls with early menarche (<11.5 years) had the highest level of depressive symptoms at 13 (P=0.007) and 14 years (P<0.001) compared with those with normative and late timing of menarche.

Conclusions
Early maturing girls are at increased risk of depressive symptoms in adolescence and could be targeted by programmes aimed at early intervention and prevention.
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Onset of menarche is believed to represent an important psychosocial and/or biological transition point for the female rise in depression,1 with studies reporting higher levels of depressive symptoms in girls who have experienced menarche compared with those who have not.1-3 It has also been suggested that the timing of menarche relative to one’s peers may be a critical factor for the emergence of depressive symptoms,4,5 with studies reporting that earlier timing of menarche is associated with increased depressive symptoms3-7 and depression.8 However, to our knowledge, only three of these studies prospectively examined the emergence of depressive symptoms5,6 or depression from late childhood into adolescence; two were limited by a relatively small sample size5,6 and one examined a sample comprising 11- to 15-year-olds for a period of only 2 years.8 Two of these studies5,8 are limited by retrospective self-reports of age at menarche, raising the risk of recall bias, particularly among older females where more time has elapsed since the onset of menarche. Only in one study6 were self-reports of age at onset of menarche validated against year-by-year menstruation experience using four waves of data collection, but this investigation was based on a small sample. Only one of these studies adjusted for potential confounding factors that are associated with both earlier timing of menarche and increased levels of depressive symptoms.9 Socioeconomic disadvantage and adverse life events (e.g. family breakdown) are well-established risk factors for depressive symptoms in adolescence9,10 and have also been linked to earlier timing of menarche.11 Body mass index (BMI) is also associated with level of depressive symptoms12,13 and timing of menarche.14

The current study, based on a large contemporary birth cohort from south-west England, examines whether girls who experience earlier timing of menarche than their peers have higher levels of depressive symptoms in adolescence. We aim to address the limitations of previous studies by using a large sample size, repeated measurements of depressive symptoms from late childhood into adolescence, frequent contemporaneous reports of age at onset of menarche and by adjusting for potential confounding factors.

Method
Participants
The sample comprised participants from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), an ongoing population-based study investigating a wide range of environmental and other influences on the health and development of children.15 The primary sources of data collection are via self-completion questionnaires and direct assessment at research clinics. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the local research ethics committees and the study is monitored by the ALSPAC Law and Ethics Committee. More information on the ALSPAC study is available on the website: www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/.

Pregnant women resident in the former Avon Health Authority in south-west England, having an estimated date of delivery between 1 April 1991 and 31 December 1992, were invited to take part, resulting in a cohort of 14 541 pregnancies and 13 988 children (including 6764 girls) alive at 12 months of age. When the oldest children were approximately 7 years of age, an attempt was made to increase the size of the initial sample with eligible cases that did not join the cohort at the outset. As a result, when considering variables collected from this point onwards there are data available for more than the 14 541 pregnancies mentioned above. The number of active new cases not in the initial sample that are currently represented in the data resource is 542 (294 females). Hence, the starting sample for the current study was n = 7058 (6764 + 294), comprising 3426 girls who provided data on age at onset of menarche. Figure 1 shows how the various samples considered were derived from the starting sample (see online supplement for further details on derivation of these samples).
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Timing of menarche and depressive symptoms in adolescents

There are two main reasons for favouring a structural equation model approach based on the individual SMFQ items. Using a structural equation model allows derivation of a normally distributed latent trait underlying the observed SMFQ scores. In contrast, the majority of previous studies using the SMFQ have used a binary measure of depressive symptoms because summing the responses to the SMFQ items yield a highly skewed scale. For example, a cut-point of 11 on the SMFQ has been applied in some studies based on community samples.\(^{20,21}\) However, there is a lack of agreement in the literature with regard to a suitable cut-off for the SMFQ, and such a cut-point would be somewhat arbitrary in our data-set without our own assessment of external validity. Although a categorical approach is valuable for clinical practice and applications, there is evidence that depression may be better represented as a continuum\(^{22}\) and that a dimensional approach should be favoured over a categorical approach for hypothesis testing.\(^{23}\) Second, the use of item responses within a structural equation model setting permits us to examine the extent of measurement invariance across the three time points. The establishment of some degree of measurement invariance through constraining the model parameters across time is an important first step prior to the examination of longitudinal change in any latent construct.

The measurement model

Depressive symptoms at the three time points were modelled as three correlated continuous latent factors using a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) model with each factor being measured by 12 categorical items from the SMFQ (Fig. 2). Unconditional models (SMFQ in the absence of covariates) were derived initially for those who had completed the 12 SMFQ items at all three time points (complete set of 36 SMFQ items, \(n = 2501\)) and repeated using the larger sample comprising those who had complete SMFQ data from at least one time point (\(n = 3887\)). Further details on the derivation of the measurement model can be found in the online supplement.

The structural model

Dummy variables representing timing of menarche were added to the CFA model described above to assess differences in the levels of the latent factor of depressive symptoms at each of the three time points. We report the differences in symptom levels in terms of standard deviation effect sizes (Cohen’s \(d\)) taking the metric from the first time point (10.5 years) (see online supplement). Regression-style adjustments were then made for the confounders. In a second model, the effect of timing of menarche on depressive symptoms was further adjusted for the previous depressive symptom measure. Similar to an ANCOVA model, this allows us to assess how change in depressive symptoms between time points relates to timing of menarche.

Results

Table 1 shows how timing of menarche and the confounding variables vary across four subsamples of the data-set as depicted in Fig. 1. There are clear trends apparent in these figures, for example the prevalence of girls reporting early-onset menarche changed as the sample reduced in size. Hence we decided to assess any change in the conclusions across these different samples.
Crude assessment of the association between timing of menarche and depressive symptom sum-scores

Before moving on to the symptom scales derived from the measurement model, our initial analysis used the sample (N = 2063) with complete data on depressive symptoms and timing of menarche. Figure 3 displays mean symptom scores on the SMFQ by timing of menarche. The ANOVA results showed evidence for differences in level of depressive symptoms by timing of menarche at both T2 (13 years; F = 8.06, P < 0.001) and T3 (14 years; F = 11.97, P < 0.001), but not at T1 (10.5 years; F = 0.19, P = 0.823).

Timing of menarche – unadjusted differences in latent depressive symptoms

Using our chosen measurement model (see online supplement), we assessed the unadjusted association between timing of menarche and depressive symptoms (Table 2) and compared results across the four subsamples. Results indicate differences in depressive symptoms across the three levels of the menarche variable, with all estimates referenced against the group with normative timing of menarche. The depressive symptoms scale has been standardised to the metric of the first time point (10.5 years), hence differences are in standard deviations and the magnitude of estimates can be compared across time points. Findings were consistent with the crude data (Fig. 3) and conclusions were unaffected by the sample chosen. There is good evidence for differences in level of depressive symptoms according to timing of menarche at both 13 and 14 years. At 13 years the early menarche group has moderately higher symptom levels than the other two groups. By 14 years there is clear, although again moderate, separation between all three groups.

Timing of menarche – adjusted differences in latent depressive symptoms

Table 3 shows the results for the adjusted models fitted in samples N2 (n = 2184) and N4 (n = 1957). We examined these different options to see if there was any impact on the conclusions. Following adjustment for potential confounders (socioeconomic disadvantage, father absence, high BMI) (samples N2 and N4), there was still a consistent association between timing of menarche and depressive symptoms.

We then examined differences in later symptoms across the timing of menarche groups, allowing for covariance for prior symptom level. Between 10.5 and 13 years there is an increase in level of depressive symptoms in the early menarche group compared with the others. Between 13 and 14 years there is no evidence for a further increase in depressive symptoms in the early menarche compared with the normative group. In contrast, the change in depressive symptoms is less marked in the late menarche group.

Discussion

Main findings

We found evidence for an association between earlier menarche and increased levels of depressive symptoms in mid-adolescence.

Table 1 Comparison of timing of menarche and the confounding variables in different samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Starting sample (N = 7058)</th>
<th>Partial sample for univariable models (N1 = 2868)</th>
<th>Partial sample for multivariable models (N2 = 2184)</th>
<th>Complete sample for univariable models (N3 = 2063)</th>
<th>Complete sample for multivariable models (N4 = 1957)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onset of menarche</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>572 (16.7)</td>
<td>450 (15.7)</td>
<td>324 (14.8)</td>
<td>304 (14.7)</td>
<td>288 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative</td>
<td>2246 (65.6)</td>
<td>1902 (66.3)</td>
<td>1423 (65.2)</td>
<td>1351 (65.5)</td>
<td>1273 (65.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>608 (17.7)</td>
<td>516 (18.0)</td>
<td>437 (20.0)</td>
<td>408 (19.8)</td>
<td>396 (20.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father absence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father present</td>
<td>3972 (75.0)</td>
<td>2159 (79.4)</td>
<td>1771 (81.1)</td>
<td>1635 (81.7)</td>
<td>1602 (81.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father left during first 5 years</td>
<td>961 (18.2)</td>
<td>381 (14.3)</td>
<td>268 (12.3)</td>
<td>240 (12.0)</td>
<td>234 (12.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father left when child was ≥ 5</td>
<td>361 (6.8)</td>
<td>188 (6.9)</td>
<td>145 (6.6)</td>
<td>127 (6.3)</td>
<td>121 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major financial problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>3692 (67.5)</td>
<td>1875 (67.8)</td>
<td>1502 (68.8)</td>
<td>1391 (69.0)</td>
<td>1349 (68.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before the age of 5 years</td>
<td>1173 (21.5)</td>
<td>566 (20.5)</td>
<td>435 (19.9)</td>
<td>399 (19.8)</td>
<td>387 (19.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 5–9 years</td>
<td>201 (3.7)</td>
<td>105 (3.8)</td>
<td>77 (3.5)</td>
<td>76 (3.8)</td>
<td>72 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throughout 0–9 years (persistent)</td>
<td>400 (7.3)</td>
<td>218 (7.9)</td>
<td>170 (7.8)</td>
<td>151 (7.5)</td>
<td>149 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 15</td>
<td>614 (14.0)</td>
<td>340 (12.6)</td>
<td>288 (13.2)</td>
<td>264 (13.1)</td>
<td>259 (13.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 20</td>
<td>2876 (65.5)</td>
<td>1768 (65.5)</td>
<td>1442 (66.0)</td>
<td>1347 (66.6)</td>
<td>1301 (66.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 20</td>
<td>902 (20.5)</td>
<td>592 (21.9)</td>
<td>454 (20.8)</td>
<td>412 (20.4)</td>
<td>397 (20.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The difference in level of depressive symptoms in girls with early compared with normative or late menarche emerged at around age 13 years and persisted into mid-adolescence (around 14 years). It is notable that girls with early menarche initially experienced the highest rise in level of depressive symptoms from late childhood to adolescence, compared with late onset – an apparent dose–response relationship. Low levels of depressive symptoms in the late-onset group are consistent with previous suggestions that later maturation may be protective against psychological distress in adolescent girls. Even after taking into account well-established shared risk factors for depressive symptoms and earlier menarche (socioeconomic disadvantage, father absence and high BMI), there is still evidence for an independent association between timing of menarche and depressive symptoms even after taking into account well-established shared risk factors for depressive symptoms and earlier menarche (socioeconomic disadvantage, father absence and high BMI). There is still evidence for an independent association between timing of menarche and depressive symptoms.

### Strengths and limitations

The current study has a number of strengths, including a large sample size, longitudinal design, repeated measures of self-reported depressive symptoms from late childhood to adolescence, regular administration of questionnaires on pubertal development (thus reducing the chance of recall bias about age at onset of menarche) and availability of data on potential confounding factors. A limitation of this study relates to sample attrition and possible explanations for the link between early menarche and increased level of depressive symptoms.

#### Possible explanations for the link between early menarche and increased level of depressive symptoms

The overall findings suggest that the increase in reported levels of depressive symptoms occurs at a younger age in girls who

### Table 2 Unadjusted estimates of differences in depressive symptoms across timing of menarche groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timing of menarche</th>
<th>( N_1 = 2868^{a} )</th>
<th>( N_2 = 2184^{b} )</th>
<th>( N_3 = 2063^{c} )</th>
<th>( N_4 = 1957^{d} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( T_1 ) (10.5 years)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>0.02 (−0.11 to 0.15)</td>
<td>0.05 (−0.10 to 0.20)</td>
<td>0.05 (−0.10 to 0.20)</td>
<td>0.05 (−0.10 to 0.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>0.03 (−0.09 to 0.15)</td>
<td>0.02 (−0.12 to 0.15)</td>
<td>0.03 (−0.11 to 0.16)</td>
<td>0.03 (−0.11 to 0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_2 ) (13 years)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>0.26 (0.13 to 0.40)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.11 to 0.42)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.10 to 0.42)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.08 to 0.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>−0.02 (−0.15 to 0.11)</td>
<td>−0.02 (−0.16 to 0.12)</td>
<td>−0.00 (−0.14 to 0.14)</td>
<td>−0.00 (−0.13 to 0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_3 ) (14 years)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>0.24 (0.09 to 0.38)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.07 to 0.38)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.07 to 0.38)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.06 to 0.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>−0.18 (−0.31 to 0.05)</td>
<td>−0.21 (−0.35 to 0.07)</td>
<td>−0.22 (−0.36 to 0.08)</td>
<td>−0.23 (−0.37 to 0.09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ref. reference.

a. \( N_1 \): Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire (SMFQ) from at least one time point, menarche data present, incomplete covariates.

b. \( N_2 \): SMFQ from at least one time point, menarche data present, complete covariates.

c. \( N_3 \): SMFQ from all three time points, menarche data present, incomplete covariates.

d. \( N_4 \): SMFQ from all three time points, menarche data present, complete covariates.

### Table 3 Adjusted estimates of differences in depressive symptoms across timing of menarche groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timing of menarche</th>
<th>Adjusted for confounders (father absence, major financial problems and BMI)</th>
<th>Further adjusted for previous depressive symptom measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( N_1 = 2184^{a} )</td>
<td>( N_2 = 1957^{b} )</td>
<td>( N_1 = 2184^{a} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_1 ) (10.5 years)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>0.01 (−0.14 to 0.16)</td>
<td>0.02 (−0.14 to 0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>0.03 (−0.10 to 0.16)</td>
<td>0.03 (−0.11 to 0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_2 ) (13 years)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>0.24 (0.08 to 0.36)</td>
<td>&lt;0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>−0.04 (−0.18 to 0.11)</td>
<td>−0.02 (−0.17 to 0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_3 ) (14 years)</td>
<td>d (95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>0.19 (0.04 to 0.35)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.04 to 0.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>−0.20 (−0.34 to 0.06)</td>
<td>−0.23 (−0.37 to 0.09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; ref, reference.

a. \( N_1 \): Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire (SMFQ) from at least one time point, menarche data present, complete covariates.

b. \( N_2 \): SMFQ from all three time points, menarche data present, complete covariates.
experience earlier menarche and underlines the importance of pubertal timing as a risk factor for the emergence of depressive symptoms in this sample of adolescents. Consistent with previous studies, the current findings lend support to the early timing hypothesis, which predicts that girls who mature earlier than their peers are more vulnerable to psychological distress.5,6 To explain the link between early menarche and psychological distress it has been suggested that early maturation in girls is associated with psychosocial sequelae that may increase the risk for developing depressive symptoms in adolescence. The transition into puberty is a critical developmental period associated with biological, cognitive and social changes,24 including increased conflicts with parents, development of romantic relationships, changes in body image and fluctuating hormone levels. These changes may have a more adverse impact on girls who mature at an earlier age than their peers. From a developmental deviance perspective, early maturing girls may feel isolated and faced with demands that are inconsistent with their level of cognitive and emotional development.5,24,25

An epigenetic model of risk for depression26,27 may provide a further interpretative framework for the current findings. There is increasing evidence for gene–environment interactions associated with individual differences in depressive risk.28 Increased environmental stressors associated with early maturation may lead to the emergence of depressive symptoms in genetically liable individuals.

The stressful change hypothesis is an alternative theory that could potentially explain the link between pubertal timing and psychological distress. This theory predicts that puberty itself is a stressful event and that all girls eventually experience some level of distress, regardless of their timing of maturation. It could be argued that we found higher levels of depressive symptoms in girls who experienced earlier onset of menarche because they have spent longer in the time period of high risk than those with later menarche.2 However, according to the stressful change hypothesis, the highest level of depressive symptoms should occur around the time of onset of menarche and symptoms are likely to be transient.8 This theory is not supported by our findings because the increased level of depressive symptoms in girls with early menarche compared with the other groups persisted well beyond the onset of menarche into mid-adolescence.

Another potential explanation for the link between pubertal timing and psychological distress is the off-time hypothesis, which predicts that girls experiencing earlier maturation and those maturing later than their peers will suffer increased levels of distress compared with those developing ‘on time’. It has been suggested that difficulties encountered by girls experiencing late onset of menarche may not emerge until a later age.3 For example, there is some evidence for an increased level of depressive symptoms in high-school girls37 and in women aged 31 years30 who experienced late-onset menarche (15 years and older), but these findings are based on retrospective reports of age at menarche.

It is still unclear from the current results whether early menarche is associated with persistent adverse consequences for emotional development beyond the mid-adolescent period. Only a few studies have examined whether early maturation has a long-term impact on rates of depression, but the findings of these studies are equivocal. There is some evidence for higher rates of depressive disorder in late adolescence and early adulthood among females who matured earlier than their peers,31,32 but these studies were based on retrospective self-reports of whether maturation was early, on time or late compared with peers. Others suggest that the negative impact of early puberty may diminish from mid-adolescence into early adulthood33,34 or that girls who mature later may show a ‘catch-up’ effect35 and eventually experience similar levels of psychological distress to those with early or normative onset menarche after sufficient time has unfolded.

Implications and future directions

These findings lend support to the hypothesis that early timing of menarche may be an important aetiological factor in the emergence of depressive risk, since timing was related to levels of depressive symptoms in girls during adolescence. Future research should be aimed at identifying the mechanism of action of early menarche on risk of depressive symptoms. School- and family-based programmes aimed at early intervention and prevention could target early maturing females.
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Derivation of the measurement model

The measurement model was derived using as much data as possible – ignoring the availability of other covariates. The model was fitted initially using those individuals with a complete Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire (SMFQ) data-set \( (n=2501) \) and the results compared with those obtained from a sample with at least one SMFQ measure (partial SMFQ response data-set: \( n=3887 \)). Incorporation of timing of menarche into these models reduced the sample to 2868 (partial SMFQ) and 2063 (complete SMFQ) and the addition of confounders resulted in further reductions to 2184 and 1957 respectively. As shown in Fig. 1 in the article, we refer to these four samples as \( N_1-N_4 \).

The fit of a range of unconditional confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) models with different levels of measurement invariance (constraints applied to parameter values) was compared. Model fit was assessed using root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA, <0.05 desirable) and comparative fit index/Tucker–Lewis index (CFI/TLI, >0.9 desirable). The following parameters were assigned to be variant/invariant across time.

(a) Factor loadings – the factor variances were fixed equal to unity; consequently, 12 loadings could be freely estimated at each time point. Loadings were allowed to vary between items, but fixed to be equal for the same item at different time points.

(b) Item thresholds – individual items were three-level categorical variables, hence there were two thresholds to measure for each item. Thresholds were constrained to be constant through time, similar to the loading constraint.

(c) Correlation of residual variances – residual variances were permitted to be correlated between the same items measured at different times. These correlations were invariant through time, but could vary between items.

(d) Scale factors – using the default Delta parameterisation for categorical variables within Mplus, scale factors for continuous latent response variables of observed categorical dependent variables are allowed to be parameters in the model, but residual variances for continuous latent response variables are not. In the constrained model, scale factors \( T_1 \) (10.5 years) were all fixed to unity. All other scale factors were constrained to be equal, but freely estimated.

(e) Factor means and variances – it was possible to relax the constraint on the factor means and variances to permit the level and spread of depressive symptoms in the population to change over time. The mean and variance for the first factor (10.5 years) was fixed at 0 and 1 respectively, whereas the mean/variance for \( T_2 \) and \( T_3 \) (13 and 14 years) were freely estimated.

The successions of CFA models along with the parameter constraints applied are shown in Table DS1. Models were fitted initially for the sample with at least one of the three sets of SMFQ items \( (n=3887) \) and repeated for the smaller sample with complete SMFQ data \( (n=2501) \). Model fit statistics shown in Table DS1 show that for the larger sample, all models were of acceptable fit (CFI/TLI >0.95, RMSEA <0.05). Fit statistics changed slightly with the reduced sample of 2501, but changes were minor.

Whereas models 4 and 5 were more parsimonious, model 7 was chosen on the basis that the freed factor means and variance captured an additional aspect, i.e. the change in symptoms across the time period modelled. Factor means and variances for model 7 \( (n=3887) \) were as follows: means 0, 0.13, 0.48; variances 1.00, 1.20, 1.22 for each of the three time-points (10.5, 13 and 14 years) respectively. As a consequence of these freed parameters, the somewhat arbitrary scaling of our latent trait is now all in the metric of the first time point. It can be seen that the population mean increases by approximately 0.5 standard deviations between 10.5 and 14 years, with most of this increase occurring during the final year.

Table DS2 shows the factor loadings obtained under the chosen measurement model. Although all items would be deemed to load significantly on the depressive symptoms factor, there is clearly a wide variability in the estimated loadings with ‘I felt so tired I just sat around and did nothing’ (0.429) being relatively unimportant compared with ‘I felt I was no good any more’ (0.824), ‘I hated myself’ (0.833) and ‘I thought nobody really loved me’ (0.795). The assumption of equal loadings implied by the use of a simple sum-score would miss the large discrepancy between the items. We observe very similar results when comparing loadings across the larger and smaller samples. For the current analysis the item ‘I was very restless’ was omitted from the 13-item SMFQ because preliminary work suggested that some individuals in the study sample were uncertain about the meaning of this item.

Finally, the correlations between the latent depressive symptom factors at the different time points were: correlation \( (T_1, T_2) 0.466 \) (s.e. = 0.02), correlation \( (T_1, T_3) 0.315 \) (s.e. = 0.02), correlation \( (T_2, T_3) 0.613 \) (s.e. = 0.02) in the larger sample \( (n=3887) \); and correlation \( (T_1, T_3) 0.460 \) (s.e. = 0.02), correlation \( (T_1, T_3) 0.303 \) (s.e. = 0.02), correlation \( (T_2, T_3) 0.607 \) (s.e. = 0.02) in the reduced sample \( (n=2501) \).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table DS1</th>
<th>Measurement model comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constraint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor loadings</td>
<td>Unequal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thresholds</td>
<td>Unequal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale factors</td>
<td>All = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error variances</td>
<td>Uncorrelated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor variances</td>
<td>Equal (unity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor means</td>
<td>All = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free parameters</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial SMFQ data-set, n = 3887</td>
<td>0.972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucker–Lewis index</td>
<td>0.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root mean square error of approximation</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete SMFQ data-set, n = 2501</td>
<td>0.967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucker–Lewis index</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root mean square error of approximation</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SMFQ, Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire.
a. All equal to 1 at 10.5 years, free but constrained equal at 13 and 14 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table DS2</th>
<th>Factor loadings for the chosen measurement model (model 7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Factor loading (s.e.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt miserable or unhappy</td>
<td>0.648 (0.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I didn't enjoy anything at all</td>
<td>0.510 (0.015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt so tired I just sat around and did nothing</td>
<td>0.429 (0.013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt I was no good any more</td>
<td>0.824 (0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I cried a lot</td>
<td>0.691 (0.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I found it hard to think properly or concentrate</td>
<td>0.538 (0.011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I hated myself</td>
<td>0.833 (0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was a bad person</td>
<td>0.613 (0.013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt lonely</td>
<td>0.741 (0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I thought nobody really loved me</td>
<td>0.795 (0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I thought I could never been as good as other kids</td>
<td>0.732 (0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I did everything wrong</td>
<td>0.726 (0.010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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