The increasingly well-established links between psychosis and distant trauma (often set in childhood) are at odds with purely neurobiological explanations. Many people have bad experiences as children, one of the most pernicious types being childhood sexual abuse. Understandably, the identification of sexual abuse depends on the definition, and on how the information is acquired. However, one UK survey found a prevalence of childhood sexual abuse of 11% in young adults, and estimates from elsewhere in the world have generally been in the same range. The most recent Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey in England (APMS 2007) has detailed information about levels of childhood sexual abuse. Non-consensual sexual intercourse before the age of 16 was reported by 1.9% of the sample, unwanted sexual touching by 8.2%, and uncomfortable sexual talk by 10.3%. Childhood sexual abuse exerts relatively non-specific effects, being associated with many psychiatric manifestations. However, there is increasing evidence that the links between childhood sexual abuse and psychosis are especially strong, and they are of particular interest as they may illuminate the mechanisms by which psychotic symptoms emerge and are maintained. These mechanisms may involve particular psychological dispositions and the way these shape subsequent involvement with the material and social world.

### Background

A number of studies in a range of samples attest a link between childhood sexual abuse and psychosis.

### Aims

To use data from a large representative general population sample (Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey 2007) to test hypotheses that childhood sexual abuse is linked to psychosis, and that the relationship is consistent with mediation by revictimisation experiences, heavy cannabis use, anxiety and depression.

### Method

The prevalence of psychosis was established operationally in a representative cross-sectional survey of the adult household population of England ($n = 7353$). Using computer-assisted self-interview, a history of various forms of sexual abuse was established, along with the date of first abuse.

### Results

Sexual abuse before the age of 16 was strongly associated with psychosis, particularly if it involved non-consensual sexual intercourse (odds ratio (OR) = 10.14, 95% CI 4.8–21.3, population attributable risk fraction 14%). There was evidence of partial mediation by anxiety and depression, but not by heavy cannabis use nor revictimisation in adulthood.

### Conclusions

The association between childhood sexual abuse and psychosis was large, and may be causal. These results have important implications for the nature and aetiology of psychosis, for its treatment and for primary prevention.
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The data used in these analyses were acquired in APMS 2007 (the third national survey of psychiatric morbidity in British populations), based on a random sample of household residents aged 16 and over. This sample was designed to be representative of the adult population of England living in private households in terms of age, gender and region.

Fieldwork was carried out between October 2006 and December 2007. The survey adopted a multistage stratified probability sampling design. The sampling frame was the small user Postcode Address File. One adult aged 16 years or over was selected for interview in each household, using the Kish grid method. In total 9% of sampled addresses were reckoned to be ineligible because they contained no private households, leaving an eligible sample of 13 171 addresses. Of those eligible to take part 57% agreed to an interview. Full interviews with 7353 people were carried out by experienced interviewers from the National Centre for Social Research. Selected participants were invited to take part in a second phase that included a clinical interview using the Schedules for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry (SCAN, version 2.1).

### Sample

Ethical approval for APMS 2007 was obtained from one of the research ethics committees of the National Research Ethics Service appropriate for non-clinical populations. In line with standard British ethical guidance on non-clinical populations,
only verbal permission was required once the project had been fully described to potential respondents in an advance letter. Respondents could ask for their data to be destroyed at any time. The phase-one interview involved computer-assisted personal interviewing, and collected detailed sociodemographic and clinical information. In addition, some information, including details of sexual abuse, was collected by passing the computer to the respondents and asking them to enter their own responses to questions (computer-assisted self-completion interview). The respondents were informed beforehand that the interviewers were unable to access the results of the self-completed parts of the interview.

Measuring affect
Non-psychotic psychiatric disorder was assessed during phase one with the Clinical Interview Schedule (revised) (CIS-R), which can be administered by non-clinically trained interviewers. In this paper, we have employed the facility of the CIS-R for providing separate overall scores for anxiety symptoms (range 0–8) and depressive symptoms (range 0–9).

Assessment of cannabis use
There is now considerable evidence for a causal link between cannabis use and psychosis. Sexual abuse is associated with an appreciable propensity to become dependent on street drugs. It is therefore plausible that cannabis consumption might mediate the association between sexual abuse and psychosis. The phase-one interview gathered detailed information about drug use. We opted to analyse levels of usage in testing for mediation, and identified participants who had used cannabis on more than 100 occasions as heavy users (n = 212, weighted prevalence 2.9%).

Identifying psychosis
In phase one, respondents were screened for possible psychosis. They were invited for a phase-two assessment if they met one of the following criteria:

(a) currently on antipsychotic medication;
(b) an in-patient stay for a mental or emotional problem in the past 3 months, or admission to a hospital or ward specialising in mental health problems at any time;
(c) a positive response to question 5a in the Psychosis Screening Questionnaire (PSQ). This covers auditory hallucinations;
(d) a self-reported diagnosis of psychotic disorder or symptoms suggestive of it.

Of the 7353 respondents who completed a phase-one interview, 313 (4.3%) met at least one of these criteria. Of these, 64 refused to be followed up, leaving 249. Fifty-nine refused, or could not be contacted, leaving 190 (76% of those approached) who provided a phase-two interview.

A definitive assessment of psychosis was based on SCAN, a semi-structured interview that provides ICD-10 diagnoses of psychotic disorder. Because SCAN requires interviewer judgement for the final identification of symptoms, the interviews were conducted by clinical interviewers. The presence of non-organic psychosis in the year before interview was established by applying ICD-10 diagnostic algorithms (CATEGOR) to the SCAN-generated symptom ratings. Of the 190 screen positive participants who were assessed with SCAN, 23 had definite psychosis. However, in the analyses presented here, we used a measure of ‘probable psychosis’. This category included the 23 SCAN positive individuals, together with a further 20 participants from the 123 who were not interviewed with SCAN. The latter were chosen because they met at least two of the phase-one psychosis screening criteria listed earlier. Thus our category of probable psychosis comprised 43 participants. Of these, 38 provided full information on sexual abuse.

History of sexual abuse
The 2007 survey included detailed enquiry about sexual abuse in the self-completion part of the first-phase interview. Respondents were asked about different levels of sexual abuse.

(a) Has anyone talked to you in a sexual way that made you feel uncomfortable?
(b) Has anyone touched you, or got you to touch them, in a sexual way without your consent?
(c) Has anyone had sexual intercourse with you without your consent?

Such events were dated precisely. Fourteen participants refused to answer, and other types of missing data brought the useable sample size down to 7298. In our analyses here we distinguish between childhood (< 16 years) and adult sexual abuse (16+ years). Participants who had been abused in both periods were regarded as having experienced revictimisation.

Weighting
The survey data were weighted to take account of survey design and non-response, in order to render the results representative of the English household population aged 16 years and over. Weighting was necessarily complex, and is described in detail by McManus et al.

Analytic strategy
We used the ‘survey’ commands in STATA 10.0 on Windows, which allow for the use of clustered data modified by probability weights, and provides robust estimates of variance. We first established the level of association between probable psychosis and the different types of childhood sexual abuse, providing values with and without adjustment for age, social class, educational level, grouped household income, ethnicity and whether the participant had been brought up by both biological parents. It should be noted that, of the sociodemographic factors listed, childhood sexual abuse was only associated with age (the oldest age groups reported less abuse) and with not being brought up by both biological parents at least until the age of 16.

We then applied tests of mediation using generally accepted criteria. In this situation, mediation would require, first, that childhood sexual abuse was associated both with psychosis and with the putative mediators (revictimisation, anxiety score, depression score), and second, that the odds ratio for the relationship of sexual abuse to psychosis was reduced by adding the mediator to a model with psychosis as the dependent variable. If the relationship between psychosis and childhood sexual abuse was no longer significant after adding the mediator, it would be consistent with full mediation; if it was reduced but still significant, this would suggest partial mediation. We conducted logistic regressions, with probable psychosis as the dependent variable, entering as independent variables, first, measures of childhood sexual abuse, and then, the potential mediators. Again we conducted these analyses with and without adjustment for the sociodemographic information listed above.

Finally, we used Baron & Kenny’s criteria for moderation (an interaction between independent variable and moderator with a
significant effect on the dependent variable) in order to analyse, separately, gender and an un-hypothesised enhancement by revictimisation of the effect of childhood sexual abuse on psychosis. We repeated these analyses adjusting for demographic variables.

**Results**

We first present the relationship between probable psychosis and the different types of sexual abuse occurring both before and after the age of 16 (Table 1). However abuse is defined, the association with psychosis is strongly significant. We have established elsewhere that the abuse types form a plausible hierarchy, such that non-consensual sexual intercourse was generally the worst form, and uncomfortable sexual talk the least disturbing.1 No respondents reported experiencing sexual touching without one of the other forms as well. We therefore analysed the relative frequencies of psychosis in people reporting either of the two least distressing forms (sexual talk or touching), either of the two most distressing forms (sexual touching or non-consensual intercourse), and any of the three forms (sexual touching or non-consensual intercourse), and any of the three forms. These analyses are presented in Table 2 in terms of both of odds ratios and of population attributable fractions (PAF). The association of uncomfortable sexual talk on its own with psychosis was not significant, and the PAF was less than 5%. Non-consensual sexual intercourse had an odds ratio in excess of 10, and a PAF of 14%. The effects of creating a category of respondents who had experienced either sexual touching or non-consensual sexual intercourse (‘contact abuse’) reduced the odds ratio to less than 4, but increased the PAF to 17%. The use of an inclusive category, covering all forms of abuse, reduced the odds ratio further, but increased the PAF to 22%. Adjustment for sociodemographic variables had the uniform effect of slightly increasing the odds ratios.

In order to test our hypothesis that the association of abuse with probable psychosis was proportional to the severity of abuse, we created a variable with four levels: no abuse; uncomfortable talk only; touching as the most severe form of abuse; and non-consensual intercourse. By expanding the variable into its levels during logistic analysis, we were able to identify the odds ratios associated with each level of severity. The results are presented in Table 3. This confirms that by far the strongest effect is that

---

**Table 1** Psychosis and the frequency of sexual abuse types (weighted percentages, unweighted counts and weighted statistics)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey question</th>
<th>All, % (n/N)</th>
<th>Psychosis % (n/N)</th>
<th>No psychosis % (n/N)</th>
<th>χ² (d.f.)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 16 someone talked to you in a sexual way that made you feel uncomfortable</td>
<td>10.3 (762/7292)</td>
<td>29.0 (13/38)</td>
<td>10.2 (749/7254)</td>
<td>18.9 (1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 16 someone touched, or got you to touch them, in a sexual way without your consent</td>
<td>8.2 (642/7299)</td>
<td>25.8 (12/38)</td>
<td>8.2 (630/7261)</td>
<td>20.5 (1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 16 someone had sexual intercourse with you without your consent</td>
<td>1.9 (144/7298)</td>
<td>16.1 (8/38)</td>
<td>1.8 (136/7260)</td>
<td>63.5 (1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After the age of 16 someone talked to you in a sexual way that made you feel uncomfortable</td>
<td>12.2 (927/7300)</td>
<td>32.3 (14/38)</td>
<td>12.1 (913/7262)</td>
<td>20.1 (1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After the age of 16 someone touched, or got you to touch them, in a sexual way without your consent</td>
<td>5.0 (397/7307)</td>
<td>22.6 (10/38)</td>
<td>4.9 (387/7269)</td>
<td>32.4 (1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After the age of 16 someone had sexual intercourse with you without your consent</td>
<td>2.6 (215/7307)</td>
<td>16.1 (7/38)</td>
<td>2.6 (208/7269)</td>
<td>32.0 (1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Logistic regression of relationship between childhood sexual abuse and psychosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey question</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Population attributable fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Uncomfortable sexual talk¹</td>
<td>1.03 (0.23–4.8)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.1–6.7)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Sexual touching²</td>
<td>No cases</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Non-consensual sexual intercourse³</td>
<td>10.14 (4.8–21.3)</td>
<td>12.05 (4.0–36.3)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncomfortable talk or sexual touching (a or b above)</td>
<td>2.77 (1.4–5.3)</td>
<td>3.21 (1.3–7.7)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact sexual abuse (b or c above)</td>
<td>3.49 (1.8–6.8)</td>
<td>4.36 (1.8–10.5)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any sexual abuse (a or b or c above)</td>
<td>2.74 (1.4–5.25)</td>
<td>3.17 (1.3–7.7)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A, not applicable.

a. Adjusted for age, social class, educational level, household income, ethnicity and whether the participant had been brought up by both biological parents until the age of 16.

b. Occurring in isolation.

c. Occurring in isolation.

---

**Table 3** Logistic regression showing association of psychosis with different levels of childhood sexual abuse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey question</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncomfortable sexual talk</td>
<td>1.25 (0.3–5.9)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.1–9.1)</td>
<td>0.776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual touching</td>
<td>1.61 (0.5–4.8)</td>
<td>2.06 (0.6–7.2)</td>
<td>0.393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-consensual sexual intercourse</td>
<td>10.66 (5.0–22.9)</td>
<td>14.95 (5.2–43.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Adjusted for age, social class, educational level, household income, ethnicity and whether the participant had been brought up by both biological parents until the age of 16.
of non-consensual sexual intercourse, and this was the only form that remained significant in this analysis, with an odds ratio of 10.7. When we repeated these analyses adjusted for sociodemographic factors, the odds ratios for childhood sexual abuse remained largely unchanged: there was an increase, albeit non-significant, in the odds ratio relating to non-consensual sexual intercourse. It is of interest that when sexual abuse and upbringing by both biological parents until the age of 16 were entered in the same analysis, there was no longer an association between upbringing type and psychosis.

We next examined the link between our supposed mediators and childhood sexual abuse. Separate analyses were carried out in relation first to contact abuse and then to non-consensual sexual intercourse.

The first requirement for mediation is that the putative mediator should be associated with childhood sexual abuse (see analytic strategy). Surprisingly this criterion was not fulfilled for heavy cannabis use. Nor was the latter associated with probable psychosis: no single person with psychosis reported heavy cannabis use, so we must conclude that the childhood sexual abuse/psychosis link cannot be mediated by it in this data-set.

Childhood sexual abuse was, however, associated with anxiety and depression scores and with revictimisation. Further preliminary analyses confirmed that depression and anxiety might both be candidates for mediating the childhood sexual abuse/psychosis link. The depression score in those who had experienced contact abuse before 16 was more than twice that in people without abuse (1.4 (95% CI 1.2–1.6) vs. 0.6 (95% CI 0.54–0.61), P < 0.0001). The results were similar for anxiety (1.6 (95% CI 1.46–1.81) vs. 0.8 (95% CI 0.78–0.87), P < 0.0001). In addition, the odds ratio linking psychosis to depression was 1.6 (95% CI 1.4–1.8) and to anxiety 1.5 (95% CI 1.4–1.8), both highly significant (P < 0.001).

Contact sexual abuse before 16 was very strongly associated with the same form of abuse after that age, with an odds ratio of 5.6 (95% CI 4.5–7.0). Contact sexual abuse in adulthood was also strongly associated with psychosis (OR = 5.0, 95% CI 2.5–10.2). This means that adult contact abuse might mediate the relationship between child contact abuse and psychosis.

However, the situation was different when abuse was defined in terms of non-consensual sexual intercourse. Non-consensual sexual intercourse in childhood strongly predicted adult non-consensual sexual intercourse (OR = 8.72, 95% CI 5.8–13.1). However, the latter was, surprisingly, not associated with probable psychosis. The criteria for mediation are therefore only met by revictimisation defined in terms of contact abuse.

We then moved on to the final stage of mediation testing. We examined the effect of adding adult contact sexual abuse and anxiety and depression scores as independent variables to the logistic regression linking childhood contact abuse to probable psychosis (Table 4).

The effect of adult contact abuse was to reduce the odds ratio of childhood contact abuse from 3.5 to 2.3 (95% CI 1.2–4.1). At the same time, the odds ratio for adult contact abuse was also reduced from 5.0 to 3.7 (95% CI 1.9–7.0). This looks more like the partitioning of equivalent effects than the mediation of one effect by the other.

Adding anxiety score to the analysis of childhood contact abuse and probable psychosis reduced the effect of abuse considerably, such that it became barely significant. Incorporating the depression score in place of the anxiety score rendered the odds ratio for contact abuse non-significant. Incorporating the two affective variables together in a single analysis made little further difference to the link between contact abuse and psychosis.

We next analysed the impact of our affective measures on the link between non-consensual sexual intercourse in childhood and probable psychosis (Table 4). Anxiety and depression each considerably reduced the odds ratio (from 10 to 5.8 and to 4.1 respectively), although not to non-significant levels. Once more, analysing the three independent variables together made little difference to the pattern of results.

In these analyses, anxiety and depression individually met criteria for the partial mediation of the effects of non-consensual childhood sexual intercourse on psychosis. However, with both contact abuse and non-consensual sexual intercourse, the introduction of depression rendered the effect of anxiety non-significant. These results therefore raise the possibility that depressed affect might be more central to this process than anxiety.

Controlling for sociodemographic variables increased the odds ratios linking contact abuse with psychosis, but had little impact on the affective variables, and left the implication of mediation intact.

Finally, we examined whether revictimisation increases the likelihood that childhood sexual abuse is followed by probable psychosis. Note that this analysis is not about mediation, but about the magnification of the effect of childhood sexual abuse. Where contact abuse in childhood was followed by similar abuse in adulthood, the odds ratio increased from 3.49 (95% CI 1.8–6.8) to 10.78 (95% CI 5.1–22.9). The equivalent change for repetition of non-consensual sexual intercourse was from 10.14 (95% CI 4.8–21.3) to 17.65 (95% CI 6.1–50.7). Statistically, such magnification is a form of moderation, even though the moderating variable post dates the moderated variable. This in turn implies that the magnification effect operates on some undefined mediator linking childhood sexual abuse with psychosis. In the case of contact abuse, it was possible to demonstrate formally that such moderation occurred, as the interaction term linking child and adult contact abuse was a

| Table 4 The effects of adjusting for current anxiety and depression scores on the link between sexual abuse before the age of 16 and psychosis |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | Odds ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI) |
| Contact abuse   | 3.5 (1.8–6.8)    | 4.5 (2.0–10.2)  |
| Combined analysis (1) | 2.3 (1.1–4.8) | 3.2 (1.3–8.1)  |
| Anxiety score   | 1.6 (1.3–1.9)   | 1.7 (1.3–2.1)   |
| Combined analysis (2) | 2.2 (0.9–5.0) | 2.8 (1.1–7.7)  |
| Depression score | 2.1 (1.7–2.6)   | 2.1 (1.7–2.7)   |
| Combined analysis (3) | 2.4 (1.1–5.2) | 2.9 (1.1–7.7)  |
| Anxiety score   | 1.2 (0.9–1.6)   | 1.2 (0.9–1.6)   |
| Depression score | 1.8 (1.4–2.5)  | 1.9 (1.3–2.6)   |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-consensual intercourse</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combined analysis (1)</td>
<td>10.1 (4.8–21.3)</td>
<td>13.4 (4.7–37.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-consensual intercourse</td>
<td>5.8 (2.7–12.6)</td>
<td>9.1 (3.0–27.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety score</td>
<td>1.5 (1.3–1.9)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.3–2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined analysis (2)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.6–10.8)</td>
<td>6.6 (2.0–21.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression score</td>
<td>2.1 (1.6–2.6)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.6–2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined analysis (3)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.7–10.7)</td>
<td>6.3 (2.0–19.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety score</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9–1.6)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9–1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression score</td>
<td>1.8 (1.3–2.4)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.3–2.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for age, social class, educational level, household income, ethnicity and whether the participant had been brought up by both biological parents until the age of 16.
highly significant predictor of psychosis. For non-consensual sexual intercourse, the interaction term was not significant. These results might mean that the magnification of effect of childhood sexual abuse by adult repetition is restricted to the less severe forms of abuse, possibly implying a ceiling effect when childhood abuse involves non-consensual sexual intercourse.

The potential moderation of these findings by gender is important. Males are less likely to be sexually abused in childhood than females and less frequently exhibit severe responses to trauma in general. In APMS 2007, there were, unexpectedly, fewer males with possible psychosis than females (13 v. 21). Moreover, among the males, there was only one individual with psychosis who had been subjected to contact abuse before 16 and none who had experienced non-consensual sexual intercourse. Of the 21 females with psychosis, 7 had had childhood contact abuse, whereas 5 had been forced to have sexual intercourse. Thus males showed no tendency for psychosis to be linked to sexual abuse, whereas the link remained highly significant in females. There is therefore prima facie evidence of moderation of the psychosis/sexual abuse relationship by gender.

To test this formally, we conducted two sets of logistic regression analysis involving the relationship, respectively, of contact abuse and of non-consensual sexual intercourse before 16 with psychosis. The effect of gender on the model was non-significant, and the odds ratios for the measures of sexual abuse remained highly significant (2.0 for contact sexual abuse, 9.3 for non-consensual sexual intercourse). However, when an interaction term linking sexual abuse and gender was entered, the association of sexual abuse and psychosis became non-significant, and the only significant term was now the abuse-gender interaction. This indicates strong support for moderation of the effect of sexual abuse by gender.

**Discussion**

**Summary of findings**

We found a strong association of psychosis with childhood sexual abuse, particularly when it involved sexual intercourse. This was maintained after adjustment for a range of sociodemographic variables. The association was not mediated by heavy cannabis use or by revictimisation experiences, although there did seem to be partial mediation by affect. Revictimisation had relatively little impact on the already strong association of childhood sexual intercourse with psychosis, but it magnified that of contact sexual abuse. In this sample the link between sexual abuse and psychosis was stronger in women.

**Methodological limitations**

The use of a random sample of the population removes nosocomial bias, but differential participation rates may distort the prevalence of psychosis and of sexual abuse, and the relationship between them. The most likely effect would be to reduce the prevalence of both elements, but it is not clear what effect this would have on their interrelationship, except in reducing statistical power. At 57%, the response rate in APMS 2007 was less than in earlier surveys in the National Survey programme. We therefore conducted a sensitivity analysis to see if this level of refusal might affect the prevalence of sexual abuse. We dichotomised the sample according to the response rates seen in individual regions. We then used this new locational variable to compare the rates of endorsement of the computer-assisted personal interviewing sexual abuse question. There was no significant association between location and prevalence. Nor was this the result of a lack of statistical power: the prevalence of abuse was very similar in the lower and higher responding areas (5.5% and 4.9% respectively, *P* = 0.283).

Just over half of those with psychosis had been diagnosed under the gold standard conditions of SCAN, whereas the rest were diagnosed by a score of over two on our screening procedures. Using the screening results in this way would have identified 19 of the 23 individuals identified by SCAN in any case. Thus the two methods produced closely overlapping outcomes, which we feel justifies our use of the category of probable psychosis in the current analyses.

For various reasons, accounts of sexual abuse may not be wholly accurate, although it is difficult to say how inaccurate. Such enquiry is particularly sensitive, although not everyone is equally subject to embarrassment or disinclination. Sensitivity is likely to vary with time, and possibly with age. Some of the more minor forms of abuse might be forgotten, discounted or repressed with increasing age and changing perspective. Our category of uncomfortable sexual talk might be particularly subject to such distortion. Moreover, some specific forms of abuse would not have been identified by our questions, for instance intrusive exposure to pornography.

In contrast, non-consensual sexual intercourse is clearly abuse. It is thus more likely to be underreported than forgotten, and its acknowledgement will depend to an extent on the method of enquiry. The 2007 APMS involved strenuous efforts to maintain data quality, including stressing confidentiality and interviewing participants alone where feasible. In addition, there are particular advantages to computer-assisted self-completion interview, which generally elicits franker responses than face-to-face questioning. Thus, getting people to complete the questionnaire themselves on a laptop computer and making them aware that the interviewer would have no access to the answer was intended to encourage frankness. Even so, the way the questions were framed (i.e. in terms of consent) might lead to underestimation of abuse. Abused people might think they had in fact consented in some way, particularly if they had been groomed, or thought themselves to blame for their predicament.

Although the information about abuse and disorder was obtained cross-sectionally, at a single point in time, in the overwhelming majority of cases childhood sexual abuse ostensibly pre-dates what are, after all, current disorders. A causal inference is consequently easier to defend for childhood sexual abuse than for adult sexual abuse. It is, however, still subject to the caveat of systematic distortion of reportage, in whatever direction, by people with mental disorders. Counter to this, empirical research has generally found them to be reliable informants. Fergusson *et al.* assessed the stability of reports of childhood sexual abuse over a 3-year gap. They concluded that people who had not been abused did not falsely report otherwise. However, positive reports were unstable; false negatives may reach 50%. Nevertheless, this did not materially affect estimates of the relative risk of associated psychiatric disorders. Set against this, there have been specific concerns about the reliability of reports of abuse in people affected by schizophrenia. However, Goodman *et al.* showed that their accounts were consistent over time, and concluded that the information obtained is sufficiently reliable to allow research in this area. Similar results have been reported by Fisher and colleagues. Interestingly, they found that in 98% of individuals, the abuse preceded the psychosis.

Finally, confounding is a possibility, both for the basic analyses and for those involving mediation and moderation. We adjusted for sociodemographic variables, but these largely related to current, or at least a least, status. Thus educational level, income, and current social class relate to a date later than that of childhood sexual abuse, and would only have the power to confound if they
served as proxies for lost opportunities in childhood. The impact of sexual abuse is likely to be greater than that of unspecified deprivation or poor parenting. However, although sexual abuse is likely to be strongly related to poor parenting, the reverse may not be true, so poor upbringing experiences may have separate effects. Upbringing arrangements provided the only proxy for poor parenting available to us in this study. However, there may of course be positive as well as negative effects of parental separation. Thus it was notable that not being brought up by both biological parents until 16 was no longer associated with psychosis when it was analysed together with abuse.

Sexual abuse and psychosis
The associations between reported childhood sexual abuse and probable psychosis were strong. In particular, the odds ratio linking psychosis with non-consensual sexual intercourse was over 10, with a PAF of 14%. It must be noted that the PAF is a statistical measure subject to the same reservations about causality as measures of association. Moreover, even with a large population sample, the confidence limits for the odds ratios are inevitably quite wide. Nevertheless, the values are at least suggestive of a major contribution of childhood sexual abuse to psychotic disorders.

Our findings were strongly moderated by gender, such that the link between sexual abuse and psychosis was far stronger in females. This is consistent with evidence from Myin-Germeys & Krabbendam\(^8\) that psychosis in females is a more socially reactive condition than in males. However, we must add a caveat. The distribution of psychosis by gender was different in the 2000 British Psychiatric Morbidity Survey, being identified in 30 males and 30 females.\(^{32}\) The relatively few cases of psychosis in males in the current study may have distorted our findings. Although in the 2000 survey information on sexual abuse was obtained in an approximately equivalent analysis: this resulted in a quite wide. Nevertheless, the values are at least suggestive of a major contribution of childhood sexual abuse to psychotic disorders.

Mediation of the abuse–psychosis relationship
Sexual abuse in childhood is almost always an earlier event than the development of psychosis (the latter rarely emerges before 16, the cut-off age used here to denote childhood sexual abuse). Any causal link between the two must therefore involve mediation, often operating over a considerable interval. From a theoretical perspective, it is relatively easy to conceive of experiential variables such as childhood sexual abuse leading directly to mental representations and dispositions that set a course for the subsequent development even of a complex mental disorder such as psychosis.

The design of the current study limits both our choice of possible mediators, and the weight we can place on our analyses. We were able to examine revictimisation, heavy stimulant drug use, anxiety and depression.

Mediation by affect
Our analyses are in line with mediation effects for the affective consequences of childhood sexual abuse. The relationship between childhood sexual abuse and probable psychosis was reduced by adjustment for affect, albeit remaining significant, except when depression was controlled in relation to contact abuse. Whereas depression and anxiety showed roughly equivalent effects, when they were entered into the analysis together, the effect of anxiety became non-significant, suggesting that depression had the greater relevance. Adjustment for potential sociodemographic confounders had no effect on the results. There is an assumption built into the use of current affective state (our measures were related to the week preceding interview), which is that the state measures can be used as an adequate indicator of a propensity to dysphoria. It is only the latter that could stand as a mediator. Factor analyses have suggested that abuse is associated with anxiety, and that anxiety is in turn associated with more severe symptoms, including the development and persistence of positive symptoms of psychosis.\(^{48–50}\) Such pathways are amenable to empirical testing, preferably by longitudinal studies, and to psychological intervention.

Revictimisation and psychosis
Somewhat surprisingly, for revictimisation, only the broader category represented by contact abuse after 16 met criteria for being a potential mediator. Non-consensual sexual intercourse after the age of 16 did not do so, as it was unrelated to psychosis. This discrepancy invites caution, as contact not involving sexual intercourse may be more subject to distortion of recall by psychiatric state. This result is in contrast with the findings of Read et al.\(^{51}\) Although revictimisation (however defined) did not meet criteria for mediation, the association between childhood sexual abuse and probable psychosis seemed to be augmented by revictimisation of either sort. Note that our definition of revictimisation was based only on the repetition of childhood abuse in adulthood. Thus it could not identify multiple abuse restricted either to childhood or to adulthood, and would therefore tend to underestimate the frequency and impact of multiple abuse over the life course.

The existing literature provides context for these findings: childhood sexual abuse is strongly associated with revictimisation,\(^52\) people who are abused as adults have higher rates of mental illness, especially schizophrenia, and psychiatric patients suffer significantly higher levels of adult abuse.\(^{53,54}\) The relationship between sexual abuse and psychosis thus appears to be associated alike with the severity and the repetition of abuse. However, revictimisation may merely be a marker for severity of abuse. It is also possible that this apparent relationship is the result of confounding by abuse that is secondary to adult mental illness.

Heavy cannabis use
In this data-set, there appeared to be no links between heavy use of cannabis and either childhood sexual abuse or psychosis. The lack of association with psychosis is surprising in view of the wide interest in this link in the clinical and scientific community and
the findings of other researchers. It has been argued that adolescence may be a critical period, but we had no way of identifying people who were using cannabis heavily in adolescence. Some authors have, however, recently suggested that the link may not be so important at the population level. Although there may be issues of reportage in our data-set, they would seem unlikely to explain our very clear findings, particularly as there is little stigma attached to cannabis use in the general population of the UK.

The pathways to psychosis

Although we found evidence that anxiety and depression may mediate the psychosis–abuse relationship, many other candidates have been proposed. Our results certainly leave room for these, and the utility of complex models in driving research is thus maintained. Likely candidates are mentally intrusive reminders of the abusive experience; the development of unhelpful psychological processes involving attitudes and beliefs, such as mistrust of others; styles of coping that may impair the processing of the original abuse; and enhanced propensities towards mood dysregulation in the face of subsequent experience. Severe trauma may also persistently modify the physiological stress response in deleterious ways. One putative pathway is that childhood sexual abuse sets up fundamental but dysfunctional schemas about oneself and the world – that the world is a dangerous place, that one is blameworthy or has no one to help. Childhood sexual abuse is often reported by victims as being accompanied by requirements for secrecy, and threats if the secret is broken. Thus no alternative views or explanations can be sought to refute such schemas. Childhood sexual abuse does seem to have disastrous effects on self-esteem and psychological well-being, and results in self-blaming attributions. It is also linked to paranoia and suspiciousness in non-clinical samples.

Such cognitive and affective attributes are also characteristics of psychosis, and are associated with the development of ‘at risk’ mental states, and maintain positive symptoms in those who already have them. It seems likely that the pre-setting of emotional dysfunction and negative schemas provides an easily triggered automatic negative cognitive pathway. Along this pathway, further life events and stresses will lead to unusual experiences and emotional problems, which can be appraised as external and then understood as symptoms of psychosis. Likewise avoidant coping is prominent both in survivors of sexual abuse and people with psychosis, and is therefore a candidate for the mediation process. It appears to prevent the resolution of dysfunctional cognitions. Early sexual abuse may block effective social engagement, and lead to an isolation that may itself favour the development of psychotic symptoms. Thus the mediation of the link between childhood sexual abuse and psychosis is likely to be multifarious and complex. Whereas our demonstration of a mediating role for affect may be treated with reservation, it is consistent with a number of other studies.

Implications for intervention

Our findings have important implications for social and health policy. People who have experienced sexual abuse are often identified by Social Services and through the criminal justice system. There is also increasing awareness in schools and in primary care. There are thus opportunities for targeted primary and secondary prevention through dealing with the psychological consequences of abuse before psychiatric disorders emerge.

The damage brought about by an experience of sexual abuse will often be hidden by abused persons; although they will usually reveal it to clinicians, it is likely to require questioning that is both direct and sensitive. Such enquiry is an important component of psychiatric treatment and management, particularly as there is now an argument for introducing specific techniques such as imagery re-scripting into the cognitive-behavioural treatment of psychosis.
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**Letter to Father**

Franz Kafka

At that time, and whenever possible at that time, I could have used some encouragement. I was, after all, already weighed down by your sheer physical presence. I remember, for example, how we often undressed together in the same changing-room. I was skinny, weakly, slight; you were strong, tall, broad. Even in the changing-room I felt pitiful, and what’s more, not only in your eyes, but in the eyes of the entire world, for you were for me the standard by which everything was measured. When we stepped out of the changing-room in front of everyone – I holding your hand, a small skeleton, insecure, barefoot on the planks, afraid of the water, incapable of copying your swimming strokes, which you, with good intentions but actually to my profound shame, kept on demonstrating to me – then at such moments I was full of despair and all my bad experiences in all areas tallied marvelously. I felt happiest when you sometimes undressed first and I could stay in the changing-room alone and postpone the shame of appearing in public until you at last came looking for me and drove me out of the changing-room. I was grateful to you that you did not appear to notice my anguish; I was proud, too, of my father’s body. Incidentally, this difference between us still exists much the same today.

Franz Kafka (1883–1924) is best known for his novels The Trial, The Castle, and the novella Metamorphosis. His Letter to Father was written in 1919 but never sent and it was published posthumously. This excerpt is from Letter to Father (pp. 15–16), translated by K. Reppin, published by Vitalis 1999.
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