Early intervention in anorexia nervosa

It is indeed rewarding to see that Treasure & Russell, in their editorial on early intervention in anorexia nervosa, offer much in support of it. Over many years our therapeutic approach in Bristol placed great emphasis on getting patients into treatment as soon as possible after the onset of anorexia. This was supported by evidence from a study which compared outcome in Bristol with two other treatment centres. In line with this we emphasised the importance of developing local, easily accessible treatment facilities.

Given their welcome support for close involvement of relatives in the treatment process, Treasure & Russell might well have also included family processes along with the several brain mechanisms that they evaluate as possible reasons why early intervention may be important. If the illness continues for any length of time, such factors as loss of heart by relatives and increased blame for failure to respond to help can lead to the progressive alienation of the relative with anorexia and impairment of the family’s ability to contribute constructively to treatment. Although negative attitudes have long been recognised, they remain a serious obstacle to the development of effective treatments of anorexia nervosa.

Surely further research is still required into understanding them more fully as well as into their prevention and management, especially when the illness is at risk of becoming chronic.


Authors’ reply: We thank Professor Morgan for responding to our editorial and raising two important points. First, he is correct in saying that there was already some evidence favouring the outcome in anorexia nervosa if patients were enrolled in treatment as soon as possible after the onset of the illness. This came from his follow-up study of patients treated in Bristol where the emphasis was on local, easily accessible treatments. The outcome in the Bristol patients was significantly better than in those treated in two London hospitals providing ‘national services’ (Maudsley and St George’s Hospitals). This difference favouring Bristol was acknowledged by other experts in the field. But in his 1982 article, Professor Morgan had already acknowledged the difficulty of assessing different therapeutic approaches in view of the selection of patients. It is inescapable that an evaluation of the treatment requires randomised controlled trials, as in the studies of family therapy reviewed in our editorial.

Professor Morgan’s second point was to stress that family processes are crucial in contributing to the success of early intervention in anorexia nervosa. He is right in recognising the risks of alienation in the patients’ relatives which underlines their contributions to a successful treatment. Again we welcome his observations enabling us to expand our too brief description of the essential principles of successful family therapy: (a) exonerating parents from causing the illness; and (b) getting them to take joint control of their child’s eating so that they are enabled to maintain a normal body weight.

These principles need some elaboration. Exonerating the parents requires the therapist to communicate a neutral position regarding the causes of the illness. The medical pioneers in this field of study (e.g. Gull, Charcot) expressed strongly negative views about relatives’ poor management of the problem, views which should be dispelled. Charcot’s influence was, of course, strongest in France where the ‘cure d’isolement’ has only been abandoned within recent memory.

The second essential requirement is fraught with difficulties. Parents at first resist taking the necessary action. Their experience leads them to believe that they have failed to prevent their child’s poor eating and weight loss. Some parents fear that firmness on their part will lead to a loss of their child’s affection. They may also jump to the conclusion that an invitation to participate in treatment implies that they are being blamed. This can be combated by expressing the aims of therapy not as ‘changing the family’ but rather as helping them treat a sick family member.

Successful management requires an ongoing search for emotional and interpersonal factors (e.g. expressed emotion), which are responsible for maintaining (rather than causing) harmful behaviours. J.T. has contributed to a practical manual describing the techniques for negotiating successful transactions between carer and adolescent, focusing on rapport, language and problem-solving skills.

Ischaemic heart disease is the number one killer of both males and females. An Expert Working Group of the National Heart Foundation in Australia concluded that there is strong evidence of a causal association between depression, social isolation and lack of quality social support and the causes and prognosis of coronary heart disease. The evidence for a causal association with stressors at work, anxiety and panic disorders, hostility and type A behaviour patterns was not strong. Psychological factors have been shown to play a role in cardiovascular disease through the impact on smoking, hypertension, obesity and alcohol intake. There are modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors related to the premature mortality in schizophrenia. These are well reviewed. Substance misuse and eating disorders are the highest risks for premature death. The risk of death from unnatural causes is high in schizophrenia and depression. Deaths from ‘natural’ causes are also increased in organic mental disorders, DSM-III-R mental retardation and epilepsy. ‘All mental disorders have an increased risk of premature death.’ Is this reflected in national mortality statistics?

In ICD-10, F00 to F99 are the statistical codes for mental illnesses. There are about 500,000 deaths annually in England and Wales. If 0.9% of the population has schizophrenia, the number of deaths recorded reflecting this statistic is a great understatement at about 200 per year in 2005. Depression is clearly underreported even with its known role in cardiac diseases; the number with affective disorders F30 to F39 is 141 in the same table. Clearly, to focus appropriate resources on health needs, there is a need for improvement in the accuracy of death certification.

Abortion and mental health

The article by Coleman published in our September issue has provoked a great deal of debate and correspondence. We are aware of the controversy of the subject matter and were planning a commentary on this subject before the article was published. As the volume of material now goes far beyond the bounds of a commentary, we now intend to bring all the relevant correspondence and papers together in a forthcoming issue so that we give adequate and comprehensive coverage of an important topic, while at the same time trying to be as dispassionate and balanced as possible in ensuring that all relevant voices are heard. We aim to publish this as soon as possible.
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