The diagnosis and treatment of bipolar depression is controversial. Major depressive episodes occur in illness courses which may show severe, mild, minor or no mood elevation. These disorders are thus categorically bipolar I, bipolar II, bipolar spectrum and unipolar. The consensus around these diagnoses and an appreciation that there is greater comorbidity attached to those showing mood elevation is still quite recent.\(^1\)\(^2\) From the point of view of licensing authorities, an episode of major depression remains the same whether or not it occurs in the context of a bipolar illness course. Treatment of bipolar disorder with antidepressants tested almost exclusively in unipolar cases is common but unsupported by an appropriate body of evidence. This anomaly is highlighted by a large Taiwanese study, which implies that patients with depression difficult to treat with antidepressants are quite likely to be diagnosed subsequently with bipolar disorder.

In the USA in particular, there has been concern that antidepressants will destabilise the illness and produce either mood instability or frank hypomanic or manic episodes when given to patients with bipolar depression. These reservations are clearly expressed in guidelines.\(^3\) This might appear to be a straightforward question of fact, but it is less simple than observing, from time to time, that patients taking antidepressants will switch into mania. Although all clinicians will have seen this occur, cause and effect cannot be automatically inferred. Such a switch is, after all, not necessarily surprising in someone who is at risk of manic episodes. Furthermore, it may be a necessary condition that it occurs from a euthymic state, which may have been restored by treatment with an antidepressant. We really require controlled studies where the effect of monotherapy with an antidepressant can be quantitatively compared with a mood stabiliser (or placebo). The data do not show why precisely the diagnosis was changed in any of these cases and we do not have documented the pattern or the number of manic symptoms.\(^4\) Whether a bipolar illness can be induced by antidepressants or the longitudinal course made worse by antidepressants is unknown, even if that conclusion has often been drawn.

A rather different perspective is expressed in doubts that antidepressants are at all efficacious in treating bipolar depression. It may seem strange to hold that they are simultaneously lacking in efficacy but also possessing the potential to induce rapid cycling and other adverse effects. The recent negative STEP-BD study, which compared mood stabiliser and antidepressant v. mood stabiliser and placebo therapy, has been accorded unjustified credibility in respect to lack of efficacy.\(^5\) It can just as plausibly be seen as a failed study that may have lacked assay sensitivity; moreover, there was no evidence for increased rates of switching to mania. It remains true, nevertheless, that the number of studies of bipolar depression is disappointing, even if the evidence still supports some superiority of antidepressants over placebo.\(^6\) Many psychiatrists in Europe remain happy to prescribe antidepressants for bipolar depression, and this difference from North American practice will only be resolved by improved evidence of the relative benefits and risks of antidepressants and the alternatives such as lamotrigine and quetiapine.

The publication by Li et al.\(^7\) is of considerable interest because it offers a large-scale examination of the associations between antidepressant use in episodes of major depression and emergent bipolar disorder, using a nationwide database in Taiwan. The findings show that patients who require two or more changes of antidepressant (i.e. those whose depression is more difficult to treat) have a substantially increased probability of receiving a revised diagnosis of bipolar disorder. Over 7 years, 25% of these patients received a bipolar disorder diagnosis, compared with rates below 10% in the patients who were treated with a single antidepressant and were deemed ‘easy to treat’. This conclusively supports a widely held clinical belief that unrecognised bipolar disorder is a relatively important contributor to treatment-resistant depression. The hypothesis that bipolar depression may be less responsive to first-line antidepressants than unipolar depression would explain the findings.

As with other observational studies, it is difficult to decide whether the subsequent appearance of manic symptoms is a simple expression of a bipolar diathesis or should be attributed to the use of second- and third-line tricyclic antidepressants or other dual-action antidepressants. The data do not show why precisely the diagnosis was changed in any of these cases and we do not have documented the pattern or the number of manic symptoms.

Major depression is often the first presentation of bipolar I and bipolar II disorder and it would obviously be an advantage if we could predict from the beginning whether an individual
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**Summary**

Treatment of bipolar disorder with antidepressants tested almost exclusively in unipolar cases is common but unsupported by an appropriate body of evidence. This anomaly is highlighted by a large Taiwanese study, which implies that patients with depression difficult to treat with antidepressants are quite likely to be diagnosed subsequently with bipolar disorder.
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has bipolar depression before the appearance of hypomania or mania. The consensus is that this is not possible other than on a probabilistic basis. Thus, in bipolar depression, patients are more likely to manifest objective psychomotor slowing, pathological guilt and an increased frequency of atypical symptoms such as hypersomnia and past psychotic depressive episodes. However, composite scores fail to identify even a point of rarity between the distributions of bipolar and unipolar depression. Although this is unhelpful to categorical diagnosis, it reinforces the increasingly accepted conclusion that a dimensional rather than a categorical approach across the spectrum from unipolar through to bipolar disorder is appropriate. In general, it appears to be broadly true that the more severe a depressive episode the more likely a subsequent bipolar diagnosis becomes, and of course perhaps the more difficult such cases may be to treat.

The take-home message for clinicians is that where patients, particularly young patients, have a pattern of illness that is suggestive of bipolarity (marked retardation, etc.) and they fail to respond to a first-line antidepressant, the possibility that they are likely to have the bipolar illness course increases and should be included in their prospective management.
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