Impaired parenting may be an influence along the causal pathway between paternal depression and children's developmental outcomes. Therefore, it is important to understand which aspects of parenting behaviours are influenced by paternal depressive symptoms. A meta-analysis of 28 studies reported paternal depression to be associated with reduced positive and increased negative parenting behaviours. Using the Millennium Cohort Study (MCS), Malmborg & Flouri reported that higher levels of paternal depressive symptoms when the children were 9 months old were associated with lower overall positive father–child relationship quality at 3 years old. Furthermore, an Australian study suggested that higher levels of paternal depressive symptoms might influence fathers' negative parenting rather than positive parenting. Higher postnatal paternal depressive symptoms were associated with increased hostility towards children at 5 years old, but no association with warmth was detected. The current study aimed to test whether higher levels of paternal depressive symptoms were independently associated with positive parenting (warmth), negative parenting (conflict) or the amount of time devoted to parenting activities (involvement), after controlling for a number of possible covariates, and to test whether any of these covariates may moderate any such association.

Secondary data analysis was carried out using the first four waves of the MCS. This is a large-scale (n = 18,552) survey of infants and young children. All measures were reported at MCS1 (9 months) and have been shortened versions. Higher scores on the scale between 0 and 9 indicated more depressive symptoms. The outcome measure of fathers' parenting at MCS2 was assessed using the Child-Parent Relationship Scale, which measured two constructs of father–child relationship based on the father’s report of warmth and conflict. Fathers’ parenting activity (involvement) was measured as outcomes at MSC3 and MSC4 using fathers’ answers to the amount of parenting activities they undertook with their child. Items were summed to create a total score of fathers’ parenting activity at both sweeps with higher scores indicating less involvement and lower scores indicating more involvement.

Covariates were family and socioeconomic factors including continuous scales of paternal depressive symptoms, child temperament, marital relationship, family income, paternal age and dichotomous scales for child gender (boy/girl), paternal education (with qualifications/no education), fathers’ employment (employed/unemployed), family housing (tenants/property owners). All measures were reported at MCS1 (9 months) and have all been found to have an influence on fathers’ depression.

Sampling weights were used in analyses that adjust for complex sample design and attrition over time to make it representative of the UK population as a whole. Analyses were conducted using Stata 13. Linear regressions were conducted to test the relationship of paternal depressive symptoms (predictor) with father–child conflict/warmth relationship (outcomes at MCS2) and fathers’ parenting activities (outcome at MCS3 and MCS4). Covariates were selected a priori based on previous literature.

To check whether family context and socioeconomic covariates were associated with the outcomes, a further series of linear regressions were conducted. Covariates that were significantly (P < 0.05) associated with fathers’ parenting outcomes were taken forward into a series of adjusted multivariable linear regression models to test whether the predictor paternal depressive symptoms (MCS1) were independently associated with father–child warmth/conflict relationship and fathers’ parenting activities. Each outcome was tested in a separate model. Sensitivity analysis was conducted using multiple imputation to determine the effect of missing data. The following covariates were tested for moderating effects based on previous literature: maternal depressive symptoms, child temperament, child gender, marital relationship, fathers’ employment and age.

In the unadjusted analysis, higher paternal depressive symptoms significantly predicted higher levels of father–child conflict, lower warmth scores and lower levels of involvement (online Table DS1). Descriptive statistics for the outcome variables are provided in online Table DS2. All covariates apart from fathers’ employment status were significantly associated with fathers’ parenting activities and all apart from education were also significantly associated with father–child conflict and warmth. After adjustment for potential confounders and weighted to account for survey design and attrition, higher levels of paternal depressive symptoms were independently associated with fathers’ parenting activities (negative, positive and involvement). Findings suggest that postnatal paternal depressive symptoms are associated with fathers’ negative parenting. This has implications for the design of intervention programmes for parents with depression and young children.
symptoms were independently associated with more father–child conflict. This finding was also replicated with un-weighted analysis and imputed data (online Table DS3). The overall model explained 16% of the variance in father–child conflict ($R^2 = 0.16$).

Only maternal depressive symptoms (coefficient $=-0.11$, 95% CI $=-0.18$ to $-0.03$, $P = 0.006$) and marital conflict (coefficient $=-0.12$, 95% CI $=-0.24$ to $-0.01$, $P = 0.037$) appeared to moderate the association between paternal depressive symptoms and father–child conflict after adjustment. The influence of paternal depressive symptoms on father–child conflict scores was lower in families with high marital conflict and when mothers had high depressive symptoms, than for families with low marital conflict and mothers with fewer depressive symptoms (online Figs DS1 and DS2).

### Discussion

The findings show that paternal depressive symptoms may be associated with higher levels of father–child conflict, which replicates previous findings, and suggests that paternal depressive symptoms influence negative, rather than positive, parenting. Paternal depressive symptoms were not associated with paternal involvement, suggesting that the quality of parenting is influenced by depressive symptoms but the duration of time spent with the child is not altered. Both maternal depressive symptoms and marital conflict moderated the association between paternal depressive symptoms and father–child conflict, whereas within-child factors did not. Paternal depressive symptoms were associated with more father–child conflict in families with low marital conflict and where mothers had lower levels of depressive symptoms. Maternal influence on fathers’ parenting style replicate previous literature and the current findings suggest that in families with low marital conflict and low levels of depressive symptoms in mothers, fathers might be more available to have negative interactions with their children. Thus, this might increase the likelihood of more father–child conflict potentially resulting from their depressive symptoms. This finding is not expected from previous maternal and paternal literature, but it fits well with our findings that paternal depressive symptoms were not associated with a reduction of father’s parenting activities. Thus, fathers’ depressive symptoms may not reduce their involvement, but influences the quality of their interactions with their children. However, when there are high levels of paternal depressive symptoms combined with high levels of marital conflict, father–child conflict may be lower, because negative interaction might become focused on the mother rather than the child. Moreover, previous research suggests that paternal depressive symptoms influence maternal depressive symptoms, which may increase children’s emotional and behavioural problems. Thus, paternal depressive symptoms might also indirectly influence children via effects on their mothers. Although this is an interesting finding, these results may have been because of the use of conventional regression analysis of effect modification, which assumes linear relationships between variables based on mean responses in the sample population. Thus, further research is needed.

Our study has a number of strengths. First, the MCS collected data on a very large representative sample of fathers in the UK. Second, the study used well-validated measures and maintained a high response rate. Finally, sampling weights were applied to account for stratified sampling and attrition. Findings however, were based solely on self-report questionnaires from fathers. Results could have been affected by shared method bias; that is, fathers with depressive symptoms may be more likely to report negative than positive behaviours. Additionally, no clinical diagnoses for depression were available. However, findings from our secondary data analysis studies can inform future experimental and longitudinal studies in clinical samples that could include direct observation and structured diagnostic assessment. If our findings were replicated, parenting interventions for fathers with depression should focus on the reduction of father–child conflict as well as promoting positive parenting. Practitioners should consider the needs of the partners of parents they treat for depression in terms of support they might need.

Despite reports showing the huge costs of paternal depression, parenting interventions are still primarily targeted towards mothers. Taking our findings into account, we advocate a more family-centred approach and provided that appropriate support and services are put in place, we would suggest routine screening for postnatal depressive symptoms in fathers, as is currently the case for mothers. Additionally, further studies need to be undertaken to establish whether fathers’ elevated scores on screening measures constitute depression or a normal but difficult adjustment phase. In both cases, support for fathers need to be put in place.
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### Table DS1: The association between paternal depressive symptoms at Millennium Cohort Study (MCS1) and fathers’ parenting activity/relationship unadjusted and adjusted for sampling, attrition, family context and socioeconomic factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paternal depressive symptoms (MCS1)</th>
<th>Father–child relationship</th>
<th>Parenting activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conflict (MCS2)</td>
<td>Warmth (MCS2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>Coefficient (95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>7755</td>
<td>0.85 (0.75 to 0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td>4430</td>
<td>0.72 (0.59 to 0.86)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. After adjusting for maternal depressive symptoms, child temperament, child gender, marital relationship, fathers’ employment, family housing, family income and paternal age.

b. After adjusting for maternal depressive symptoms, child temperament, child gender, marital relationship, paternal education, fathers’ employment, family housing, family income and paternal age.

c. After adjusting for maternal depressive symptoms, child temperament, child gender, marital relationship, paternal education, family housing, family income and paternal age.
Table DS2: Descriptive statistics of parenting outcome variables by low and high depressive symptoms for fathers at MCS1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paternal depressive symptoms</th>
<th>MCS2 Parent-child conflict a</th>
<th>MCS2 Parent-child warmth relationship b</th>
<th>MCS3 Parenting activities c</th>
<th>MCS4 Parenting activities c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCS1</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low depressive symptoms</td>
<td>17.82 (5.40)</td>
<td>32.59 (2.55)</td>
<td>21.63 (5.07)</td>
<td>23.35 (5.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High depressive symptoms</td>
<td>20.63 (5.71)</td>
<td>32.18 (2.73)</td>
<td>22.89 (5.88)</td>
<td>24.53 (5.60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Higher score indicate higher levels of father-child conflict

b Higher scores indicate higher levels of father-child warmth

c Higher scores indicate lower participation in parenting activities

Table DS3: The association between paternal depressive symptoms at MCS1 and fathers’ parenting activity/relationship showing weighted analyses (unadjusted and adjusted), unweighted adjusted analysis, and imputed data analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paternal depressive symptoms (MCS1)</th>
<th>Father-child relationship</th>
<th>Parenting activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conflict (MCS2)</td>
<td>Warmth (MCS2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Coefficient (95% CI) p</td>
<td>Coefficient (95% CI) p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted (weighted)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.75-0.96) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.12 (-0.16- -0.07) &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>7755</td>
<td>7663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted (weighted)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.59-0.84) a &lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.07-0.08) b 0.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>4430</td>
<td>4372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted (non-weighted)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.62-0.86) c &lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.07-0.04) b 0.599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>12,396</td>
<td>12,396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted (imputed) d</td>
<td>0.70 (0.61-0.80) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.02 (-0.06-0.02) b 0.263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a After adjusting for maternal depressive symptoms, child temperament, child gender, marital relationship, fathers employment, family housing, family income and paternal age

b After adjusting for maternal depressive symptoms, child temperament, child gender, marital relationship, paternal education, fathers employment, family housing, family income and paternal age

c After adjusting for maternal depressive symptoms, child temperament, child gender, marital relationship, paternal education, family housing, family income and paternal age

d All predictor and outcome variables were used as predictors in the imputation model (set for 25 imputations)
Fig DS1 Interaction graph showing the effect of paternal depressive symptoms on father-child conflict in families with low and high levels of marital conflict a

The graph uses dichotomised scales to illustrate the interaction but the analysis uses the continuous measure.

Fig DS2 Interaction graph showing the effect of paternal depressive symptoms on father-child conflict in families with low and high levels of maternal depressive symptoms a

The graph uses dichotomised scales to illustrate the interaction but the analysis uses the continuous measure.
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