Background
Recent evidence points to partially shared genetics of neuropsychiatric disorders.

Aims
We examined risk of intellectual disability and other neuropsychiatric outcomes in 3174 children of mothers with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder or unipolar major depression compared with 3129 children of unaffected mothers.

Method
We used record linkage across Western Australian population-based registers. The contribution of obstetric factors to risk of intellectual disability was assessed.

Results
Children were at significantly increased risk of intellectual disability with odds ratios (ORs) of 3.2 (95% CI 1.8–5.7), 3.1 (95% CI 1.9–4.9) and 2.9 (95% CI 1.8–4.7) in the maternal schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and unipolar depression groups respectively. Multivariate analysis suggests familial and obstetric factors may contribute independently to the risk. Although summated labour/delivery complications (OR = 1.4, 95% CI 1.0–2.0) just failed to reach significance, neonatal encephalopathy (OR = 7.7, 95% CI 3.0–20.2) and fetal distress (OR = 1.8, 95% CI 1.1–2.7) were independent significant predictors. Rates of rare syndromes in children of mothers with mental disorder were well above population rates. Risk of pervasive developmental disorders, including autism, was significantly elevated for children of mothers with bipolar disorder. Risk of epilepsy was doubled for children of mothers with unipolar depression.

Conclusions
Our findings provide epidemiological support for clustering of neuropsychiatric disorders. Further larger epidemiological studies are warranted.
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Australian midwives’ database (308,022 births) was linked to the psychiatric case register, which includes in- and out-patient contacts since 1966 (79,599 women), to identify children born in 1980–1992 to mothers with schizophrenia (382 mothers, 618 children), bipolar disorder (763 mothers, 1301 children) and unipolar major depression (686 mothers, 1255 children). An unmatched group of unaffected mothers was randomly selected from all mothers on the midwives’ database who had given birth in 1980–1992 and who had no record of psychiatric illness. Their children formed the comparison group (1831 mothers, 3129 children). This cohort and the underlying system of linkages across health and other whole-of-population databases are described in detail elsewhere. Maternally linked sibships among children born in 1980–1992 were established using the midwives’ birth records and the fathers of index children were identified using linkage to registration records.

**Classification of psychiatric status and intellectual disability**

Data on the psychiatric status of mothers, fathers and children came from the psychiatric case register which uses the ICD to record diagnosis. Codes from ICD-9 used to identify specific disorders were: schizophrenia (295.0–295.9), bipolar disorder (296.0, 296.2–296.5) and unipolar major depression (296.1, 296.6, 296.8, 296.9). The concurrent validity of register diagnoses of schizophrenia and affective psychoses have been evaluated against diagnoses from the hospital morbidity register (296.0, 296.2–296.5) and unipolar major depression (296.1, 296.6, 296.8, 296.9). The concurrent validity of register diagnosis of schizophrenia and affective psychoses have been evaluated against diagnoses from the hospital morbidity register (296.0, 296.2–296.5) and unipolar major depression (296.1, 296.6, 296.8, 296.9). The concurrent validity of register diagnoses of schizophrenia and affective psychoses have been evaluated against diagnoses from the hospital morbidity register (296.0, 296.2–296.5) and unipolar major depression (296.1, 296.6, 296.8, 296.9). The concurrent validity of register diagnoses of schizophrenia and affective psychoses have been evaluated against diagnoses from the hospital morbidity register (296.0, 296.2–296.5) and unipolar major depression (296.1, 296.6, 296.8, 296.9). The concurrent validity of register diagnoses of schizophrenia and affective psychoses have been evaluated against diagnoses from the hospital morbidity register (296.0, 296.2–296.5) and unipolar major depression (296.1, 296.6, 296.8, 296.9).

Cognitive status was determined using intellectual disability data from three sources. The main source was the Western Australian intellectual disability register. It is estimated to cover over 75% of Western Australian cases, with under-ascertainment most likely to affect borderline cases. Registration is required for receipt of services, so coverage increases with age. American Association for Mental Deficiency criteria are used to define intellectual disability, namely an IQ that is two or more standard deviations below the population mean and specific limitations in adaptive behaviours and skills. The register has additional fields, including Heber diagnoses, which indicate the aetiological basis of the disability. As the Heber system is quite old, one of the authors (V.M.), in conjunction with an expert in the field, reorganised Heber codes into hierarchical categories that were more consistent with current classification systems to indicate a likely aetiological basis of biomedical origin (genetic, metabolic or teratogenic) of the intellectual disability. This approach, based broadly on categories developed by Yeagin-Allsopp, is described elsewhere. As not all school-aged children are in receipt of disability services, intellectual disability register data were supplemented with Western Australian education department learning disability data that use the IQ criterion to identify intellectual disability. Finally, the psychiatric case register was checked to see if there were any children not already identified who had a diagnosis of intellectually disability (ICD-9 317–319). Where multiple data sources existed for the one person, a hierarchy was applied with preference given to intellectual disability services data, followed by education department data, and then data on the psychiatric case register. For this study, people with borderline low IQ (scores of 70–74) were included.

Rare syndromes were identified using the statewide registers for birth defects and intellectual disability and included Hurler, Klinefelter, Moebius, Noonan, Prader–Willi, Rett, Rubinstein–Taybi, VATER (vertebrae, anus, trachea, oesophagus, renal) association and Turner syndromes. These are predominantly cognitively impairing rare syndromes. Pervasive developmental disorders were identified using the intellectual disability register. Therefore we report only on cases of pervasive developmental disorder with co-occurring intellectual disability.

**Obstetric complications**

Data on obstetric complications were taken from the midwives’ database, which includes mandatory, prospectively collected data on all infants born in Western Australia at 20 weeks gestation or greater or weighing at least 400 g, including home births, and not restricted to live births. Core data included prospectively recorded data on maternal age, marital status and ethnicity, baby’s gestational age and weight, pregnancy complications (e.g. pre-eclampsia, placenta praevia, abortion, substance misuse), labour and delivery complications (e.g. cephalopelvic disproportion, prolapsed cord, fetal distress) and early neonatal complications (e.g. intubation, 5-minute Apgar score). A proxy for neonatal encephalopathy was constructed based on clinical criteria developed by Badawi and colleagues. In addition, the McNeil–Sjöströmd Scale for Obstetric Complications was employed in the study. This scale was selected because it is underpinned by strong biological considerations, including timing of the complication and likely impact on central nervous system development. Binary indicators of complications of the pregnancy and labour/delivery period were created using the scale. Previous research, including our own, has shown that binary scores based on cut-offs for severity ratings of the specific obstetric complications provide an effective tool for identifying differences between patient and comparison groups and relationships between obstetric complications and other important characteristics. We used complications at severity level 4 or higher defined by McNeil–Sjöstrom as indicating severity sufficient to be potentially clearly harmful or relevant to central nervous system development. The algorithm underlying this scale has been validated.

**Convolusions**

Convolusions (ICD-9 779.0, 780.3x–780.39; ICD-10 P90.x, R56.xx–R56.8x) and epilepsy (ICD-9 345.xx–345.99; ICD-10 G40.xx–G41.9x) were identified using the hospital morbidity register, which covers all public and private hospital admissions state-wide. Although coverage of the hospital morbidity register is comprehensive, all cases reported here are individuals that have been admitted to hospital and therefore represent the more serious end of the spectrum.

**Statistical analysis**

Frequency distributions and odds ratios (ORs) and their confidence intervals (CIs) were computed using SPSS (version 14.0.0) for Windows. Multivariate models for the risk of intellectual disability were built and fitted using the logistic regression procedure in Stata (version 9.00) for Windows which permits the adjustment of standard errors to take into account correlations within families. A series of steps was undertaken to determine the most parsimonious model best fitting the data, bearing in mind theoretical considerations. Univariate analyses were run, with each individual predictor regressed on offspring characteristics. We used complications at severity level 4 or higher defined by McNeil–Sjöstrom as indicating severity sufficient to be potentially clearly harmful or relevant to central nervous system development. The algorithm underlying this scale has been validated.
model and interactions were examined. In order to assess whether familial effects were mediated by obstetric complications, the model was rerun with exposure to pregnancy complications and exposure to labour/delivery complications included. Interactions were again assessed. In the most parsimonious model, familial variables and exposure to labour/delivery complications were retained. The model was then adjusted for gender of offspring, indigenous status and birth order within the family, as well as for intragroup correlations within families. To observe the impact of specific obstetric events on the outcome, the model was rerun using backward stepwise regression, with the binary labour/delivery complications variable replaced by neonatal encephalopathy as well as nine individual complications of the labour/delivery period selected a priori on the basis of previous findings of reproductive pathology in women with psychosis: fetal distress; postpartum haemorrhage; abruption of the placenta; cord complications; abnormal presentation; cephalopelvic disproportion; precipitate delivery; prolonged labour; and use of a narcotic antagonist. This model was adjusted as before.

**Results**

**Intellectual disability**

There were 129 cases of intellectual disability among the 6303 study children: 69 identified through the disability register, 58 on the school learning disability database and two on the psychiatric case register. Rates of intellectual disability were significantly elevated in all three groups of case children compared with comparison children. The percentages of affected offspring by maternal psychiatric status were: schizophrenia 3.2%, bipolar disorder 3.1% and unipolar major depression 2.9%, compared with 1.0% for the comparison group. The unadjusted odds ratios and their 95% confidence intervals are given in Table 1.

Overall, gender was similarly distributed across all groups of children in the study database. However, among offspring with intellectual disability, the percentage of males was 55.0% in the maternal schizophrenia group, 65.0% in the maternal bipolar disorder group, 64.9% in the maternal unipolar depression group and 71.9% in the comparison group (Table 2). Parental intellectual disability was differentially distributed: 25.0% of affected children in the maternal schizophrenia group had at least one parent with intellectual disability, compared with 7.5% in the maternal bipolar disorder group and 9.4% in the comparison group; no affected children in the maternal unipolar depression group had a parent with intellectual disability. In addition, 20.0% of affected children in the maternal schizophrenia group had a father with a psychiatric illness recorded on the psychiatric case register, compared with 40.0% in the maternal bipolar disorder group, 32.4% in the maternal unipolar depression group and 18.7% in the comparison group. All groups of affected case children had increased rates of both pregnancy and labour/delivery complications compared with comparison children (Table 2).

Analyses were undertaken to assess the relative contribution of key variables to the risk of intellectual disability in this cohort of high-risk children. In the univariate analysis, parental intellectual disability status, maternal severe mental illness, paternal psychiatric status and exposure to labour/delivery but not pregnancy complications were significantly associated with the risk of intellectual disability (Table 3). Multivariate models were constructed to determine the most parsimonious model that best fitted the data (see Method). Only the main effects were significant, with no significant interactions (Table 3).

In a final analysis, the binary obstetric exposure variable was replaced by nine individual labour/delivery complications and...
neonatal encephalopathy (see Method). Parental intellectual disability status, maternal severe mental illness and paternal psychiatric status remained significant. Neonatal encephalopathy (OR = 7.7, 95% CI 3.0–20.2) and fetal distress (OR = 1.8, 95% CI 1.1–2.7) were the only additional significant predictors. It has been postulated that the risk of schizophrenia and autism increases with increasing paternal age. When the analysis in Table 3 was repeated including maternal and paternal age, no association was found between maternal age or paternal age and intellectual disability in offspring. The relationship between the timing of maternal psychosis onset and the date of birth of the offspring with intellectual disability was also examined. The risk of intellectual disability in offspring was not related to the timing of the onset of maternal psychiatric illness relative to the index birth for the children of mothers with schizophrenia or unipolar major depression. However, for children of mothers with bipolar disorder, the risk of intellectual disability was significantly increased only if onset of maternal illness pre-dated the index birth.

Aetiological basis of intellectual disability

Data were available on the aetiology of the intellectual disability using the Heber classification for the 69 children on the intellectual disability register. These data were used to determine whether there was a likely aetiological basis of biomedical origin (genetic, metabolic or teratogenic) for the intellectual disability. Across the sample, the rate of intellectual disability of likely biomedical basis was higher in offspring of case mothers than offspring of comparison mothers, at 48.5 cases per 10,000 population for maternal schizophrenia, 69.2 for maternal bipolar disorder and 55.8 for maternal unipolar major depression compared with 19.2 for comparison children (Table 4).

Rare syndromes

The distribution of rare syndromes among offspring was examined. Syndromes included Hurler, Klinefelter, Moebius, Noonan, Prader–Willi, Rett, Rubinstein–Taybi, VATER association and Turner syndromes. There were unusually high rates of these syndromes, differentially distributed, among the case children. These rates were well in excess of population rates (Table 5). The odds of having any one of the rare syndromes were calculated for the univariate models (Table 1). The risk was elevated for all three groups of case children, with the greatest risk in children of mothers with schizophrenia (OR = 8.5, 95% CI 2.0–35.7). Wide confidence intervals are the result of the small numbers, affecting the precision of the estimates obtained.

Pervasive developmental disorders including autism

For the most part, it was not possible to examine individual disorders or syndromes owing to small numbers. However, there were nine children with pervasive developmental disorders and co-occurring intellectual disability so an odds ratio was calculated for this outcome (Table 1). The risk of a pervasive developmental disorder was increased significantly in the maternal bipolar disorder group.

Epilepsy, convulsions

There were 61 children who had been admitted to hospital with a diagnosis of epilepsy and 225 who had been admitted for convulsions (Table 1). Compared with the children of unaffected mothers, odds of epilepsy were increased approximately twofold in the maternal bipolar disorder and unipolar depression groups, significantly so in the latter group. The odds of being admitted to hospital with a diagnosis of epilepsy or convulsions were approximately double in the maternal bipolar disorder and unipolar depression groups compared with the children of unaffected mothers.
Main findings

These data add an epidemiological perspective to an accumulating body of evidence of an association between pervasive cognitive deficits and schizophrenia\textsuperscript{25,26} and support findings from a number of studies of an excess of multiply-affected families. Moreover, they supplement recent data showing covariation between a number of neuropsychiatric disorders including schizophrenia, intellectual disability, autism and epilepsy. Our data do not include psychosis, a potential long-term outcome for these children. However, the determination of caseness was based on maternal psychosis, examined separately for maternal schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and unipolar major depression, thus permitting an examination of both the role of familiality in the outcomes investigated and the specificity across the three maternal diagnostic groups.

Several findings stand out. First, children of mothers with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and unipolar major depression were up to three times more likely to have intellectual disability relative to comparison children of mothers with no psychiatric history.

Second, multivariate analysis suggests that parental history of intellectual disability, maternal severe mental illness (whether it be schizophrenia, bipolar disorder or unipolar major depression), paternal history of psychiatric illness and some obstetric complications may be independent risk factors for intellectual disability in offspring. Although labour and delivery complications just failed to reach significance, the elevated odds ratio of 1.4 (95\% CI 1.0–2.0) dropped little from a significant odds ratio of 1.5 (95\% CI 1.0–2.1) in the univariate analysis. Moreover, individual obstetric complications, neonatal encephalopathy (OR = 7.7, 95\% CI 3.0–20.2) and fetal distress (OR = 1.8, 95\% CI 1.1–2.7) were significant predictors of intellectual disability in offspring. The independence of familial and environmental risks in these data is supported by findings from autism research that suggest that prenatal environmental risk factors and parental psychopathology act independently on the risk for autism\textsuperscript{27}.

Third, a number of outcomes were differentially distributed depending on maternal diagnosis. Across the full sample, rates of intellectual disability of likely biomedical origin (genetic, metabolic, teratogenic) were higher in case children than were up to three times more likely to have intellectual disability relative to comparison children of mothers with no psychiatric history.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syndrome</th>
<th>Schizophrenia</th>
<th>Bipolar disorder</th>
<th>Unipolar depression</th>
<th>Non-psychiatric comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hurler</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klinefelter</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moebius</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noonan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prader-Willi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rett (girls only)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubinstein-Taybi</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VATER\textsuperscript{a} association</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pervasive developmental disorders\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL female</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>1460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL children</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>1301</td>
<td>1255</td>
<td>3129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Excluding study children. Data from the Western Australian Birth Defects Registry.
\textsuperscript{b} Vertebral, atrus, trachea, oesophagus, renal.
\textsuperscript{c} Pervasive developmental disorders (including autism) with co-occurring intellectual disability.
comparison children. Moreover, all groups of case children were affected by rare syndromes at rates well above population rates, although the number affected was small. However, individual syndromes clustered within different groups. Of note, the risk of pervasive developmental disorders was increased tenfold in the maternal bipolar disorder group (significant). Large odds ratios for children of mothers with schizophrenia and unipolar major depression were not significant, with very wide confidence intervals straddling both sides of unity. Rates of epilepsy were elevated in case children whose mothers had been diagnosed with affective psychoses, significantly in those in the maternal unipolar depression group. Of interest in this context, there are several lines of evidence for an association between epilepsy and schizophrenia, with weaker evidence for the association between epilepsy and bipolar disorder. We found no association between maternal schizophrenia and epilepsy in children. Although our current data are limited to the risk of epilepsy in offspring of mothers with schizophrenia, future analyses of a larger data-set under construction will enable us to assess not only the relationship between epilepsy and psychosis in the children themselves, but also its specificity to schizophrenia as well as the role of parental history of psychosis and/or epilepsy.

Finally, the importance of the timing of maternal illness onset relative to the index birth varied. The risk of intellectual disability for the children of mothers with schizophrenia and unipolar major depression remained the same irrespective of whether their mothers were first diagnosed with a psychotic illness before or after the index birth. However, for children of mothers with bipolar disorder, the risk of intellectual disability was significantly increased only if onset of maternal illness pre-dated the index birth. This important finding may reflect the role of medication taken during pregnancy. For example, sodium valproate prescribed as a mood stabiliser in bipolar disorder has been associated with an increased risk of congenital anomalies in the foetus when used in pregnancy. In the absence of specific evidence, this finding is indicative only, and further investigation is warranted.

These findings add to a body of literature (see online Table DS4) reporting associations between a number of syndromes and psychotic disorders. This includes well-established findings of congenital anomalies, psychiatric and behavioural phenotypes associated with a microdeletion on chromosome 22q11.2 in velocardiofacial syndrome. It was not possible to identify velocardiofacial syndrome in the children in our study as the recording of this syndrome on registers in the time period 1980–1992 was poor. However, we have previously reported data on the same cohort showing that children of mothers with schizophrenia were more likely than comparison children to experience cardiovascular birth defects, including a threefold increase in ventricular septal defect, which is characteristic of velocardiofacial syndrome. The same effect was not found for the children in the maternal bipolar disorder or maternal unipolar depression groups. We have also previously reported that children of women with schizophrenia, but not other case children, have a higher rate of minor physical anomalies than children of unaffected mothers. Such abnormalities are indicative of neurodevelopmental disruption and are found more frequently in people with schizophrenia. The specificity of both the cardiac abnormalities and the minor physical anomalies to the schizophrenia group, as well as the fact that they were significantly elevated irrespective of the timing of onset of maternal psychosis, are suggestive of a genetic vulnerability.

Genetic and environmental risk factors

How do these data fit with recent reports on the partially shared genetics of neuropsychiatric disorders, and what explanatory mechanisms best account for our findings? Researchers probing the genetics of complex diseases are providing new insights into the individual and familial clustering of disorders such as schizophrenia and intellectual disability that has been observed by epidemiologists. In a recent analysis of comorbidity among 161 medical conditions using data from 1.5 million patient records, Rzhetsky et al detected a highly connected network of strong pair-wise correlations indicating that schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, epilepsy and autism may share genetic overlaps. Genome-wide association studies have been important in identifying common polymorphisms affecting disease susceptibility. Recent studies of genomic structural variation report an excess copy number variant load in schizophrenia, but not bipolar disorder, compared with controls, with specific rare copy number variations conferring risk for multiple neuropsychiatric outcomes, including schizophrenia. Deletions at 15q13.3, for example, robustly associated with schizophrenia, are also associated with autism and epilepsy. Some of the inherited and de novo mutations may be highly penetrant. Although their specific role in the pathogenesis of the associated disorders remains unclear, many of the disrupted genes are shared among neurodevelopmental pathways. This suggests a common pathogenic mechanism acting in utero or early infancy.

Obstetric complications have long been implicated in the aetiology of schizophrenia and continue to be among the most consistently reported environmental risk factors for schizophrenia. As risk factors, they sit comfortably within a neurodevelopmental framework wherein pre- or perinatal disruption of normal central nervous system development manifests itself in adulthood as schizophrenia, but also gives rise to other deficits in childhood and early adolescence. Indeed, obstetric complications play an important role in non-syndromal intellectual disability, autism and epilepsy. Environmentally induced changes in the uterine environment such as increased maternal cytokines in response to hypoxia or infection, or stress-induced elevation of circulating corticosteroid levels, may contribute to the risk of adverse neuropsychiatric outcomes in offspring through their potential to disrupt neuronal development. Yet little is known about how these environmental insults, multiple susceptibility genes and stochastic epigenetic events interact. It is possible that environmental risk factors such as hypoxia–ischaemia, infection and fetal malnutrition modify the penetrance and phenotypic expression of potentially pathogenic mutations in utero. Nicodemus et al found that significant interactions between serious obstetric complications and polymorphisms in genes regulating hypoxia–ischaemia influenced the risk for schizophrenia in a family-based study of transmission disequilibrium. Meanwhile, animal studies indicate that timing is critical to the specific outcome. Our ecologic study of in utero exposure to influenza and subsequent risk of schizophrenia, affective psychosis and intellectual disability also provides limited support for the differential effect of trimester of exposure on outcome. One hypothesis is that obstetric events act additively or multiplicatively with genetic factors to disrupt central nervous system development; the variation in outcomes across children may reflect different genetic risk and differences in the nature and timing of the in utero exposure. Our data indicate both a degree of commonality across maternal high-risk groups as well as some specificity, depending on the outcome of interest. Moreover, in our multivariate model of the risk of intellectual disability, familial and environmental factors appear to act independently. We note, however, that family history variables are only a proxy for genetic status and that multivariate analyses using family history variables cannot definitively establish the independence of genetic and environmental risk factors.
Strengths and limitations

This study makes an important contribution to other studies of high-risk children of women with schizophrenia and other psychoses by virtue of its capacity to: ascertain intellectual disability and other neuropsychiatric outcomes in these children; assess the impact of obstetric risk factors on outcomes; determine familial liability for intellectual disability as well as psychosis; and examine specificity to schizophrenia. As a population-based register study, it has the advantage of being able to access prospectively collected longitudinal data for a large number of children on a variety of predictors and outcomes. One limitation is the absence of data on antipsychotic medication use in pregnancy. The size and lipophilicity of these molecules increases the likelihood that they will cross the placenta and, as discussed earlier, there are indications that some of these medications increase the risk of congenital anomalies and developmental delay. Another limitation is the reliance on the psychiatric case register to determine a family history of psychosis. Although the register is more comprehensive than many others worldwide, covering in-patient, out-patient and ambulatory care contacts, it does not include contacts with general practitioners or with private psychiatrists and psychologists outside of the hospital setting. It is possible that some comparison children were misclassified and, in fact, had an affected parent with psychosis. To minimise misclassification, especially with respect to unipolar depression, we have only included more severe cases by restricting our coverage to diagnoses in the ICD-9 296.x range for affective depression, we have only included more severe cases by restricting our coverage to diagnoses in the ICD-9 296.x range for affective depression. Potentially, this could lead to underreporting of paternal psychiatric histories if assortative mating is common in women with psychotic disorders. Such a bias would attenuate the strength of any association. Finally, despite the cohort size, small numbers of cases for some outcomes resulted in wide confidence intervals around the estimates. We are currently in the process of compiling a data-set of almost half a million children born between 1980 and 2001. This much expanded cohort will increase our statistical power substantially. Moreover, in the future, we will be able to use this enlarged cohort to investigate distal outcomes in the children such as schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders and look at their relationship to neuropsychiatric outcomes more proximal to the intra-uterine and neonatal periods, including birth defects, rare syndromes, intellectual disability and pervasive developmental disorders. In conclusion, our data support growing evidence that certain types of obstetric trauma and other environmental insults. However, much remains to be learned about the role of genetic, epigenetic and environmental risk factors, and their interaction, in the range of neuropsychiatric outcomes.
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**Table DS1: Schizophrenia in families of probands with intellectual disability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample origins</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>IQ range</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gustavson (1986)</td>
<td>North Swedish isolate born 1829–1960</td>
<td>26 persons with intellectual disability from two large pedigrees</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Very high incidence of schizophrenia in families of probands with intellectual disability: 23 out of 26 persons with intellectual disability had close relatives with schizophrenia including 7 (27%) with a parent, 1 with a brother (4%), and 18 (69%) with second- to fourth-degree relatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penrose (1938)</td>
<td>Representative sample of patients under care of Royal Eastern Counties Institution for intellectually disabled persons, Colchester</td>
<td>1280 patients with intellectual disability</td>
<td>Not applicable for this comparison</td>
<td>‘Mental ratio’ ranging from under 20 (‘idiot’) to over 70 (‘dull’)</td>
<td>Although the rate of schizophrenia in this sample was twice the rate of affective psychoses (3.8% (48 out of 1280) compared with 1.9% (24 out of 1280)), the rate of schizophrenia among relatives was almost half the rate of affective psychoses. Overall, there were 610 relatives with severe psychopathy: 103 relatives had schizophrenia and 199 had affective psychoses i.e.17.1% of the relatives with severe psychopathy had schizophrenia, and 32.6% had an affective psychoses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenwood (2004)</td>
<td>L.S. Penrose’s Ontario data collected mid-1800–1944</td>
<td>183 probands with a psychiatric hospital admission and intellectual disability</td>
<td>183 paired first- and second-degree relatives with a psychiatric hospital admission and schizophrenia or psychotic affective disorder</td>
<td>Assumed to be in the mild range</td>
<td>The risk of schizophrenia in the relatives of probands with intellectual disability was 1.5 times the risk of a psychotic affective disorder. Overall, there were more parent–offspring pairs (80) than sibling pairs (51), and there was no evidence of X-linked transmission of intellectual disability given that there was no excess of mother–son pairs compared with mother–daughter pairs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table DS2: Intellectual disability in families of probands with schizophrenia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample origins</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>IQ range</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heston (1966)</td>
<td>Oregon, USA</td>
<td>47 children reared in foster homes, born 1915–1945 to women with schizophrenia and confined to State psychiatric hospital</td>
<td>50 matched control children reared in foster homes</td>
<td>IQ &lt; 70</td>
<td>4 out of 47 case children compared with 0 out of 50 control children (P = 0.052) had intellectual disability; one child with intellectual disability also had schizophrenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modrzewska (1980)</td>
<td>North Swedish isolate born 1829–1960</td>
<td>453 offspring of 214 individuals with schizophrenia (39 with two parents with schizophrenia and 514 with one parent with schizophrenia, combined for the intellectual disability analysis)</td>
<td>624 offspring of unaffected parents from the same isolate</td>
<td>IQ &lt; 50</td>
<td>Incidence of intellectual disability (IQ &lt;50) was significantly higher in offspring with at least one parent with schizophrenia compared with control offspring from the same isolate with unaffected parents: 4.5% (22 out of 492) of those with one or two schizophrenia-affected parents had intellectual disability compared with 0.5% (3 out of 596) of those with schizophrenia-unaffected parents (P &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaghband-Rad (1998)</td>
<td>NIMH childhood-onset schizophrenia study</td>
<td>18 consecutive study probands aged 10–18 met DSM-III-R criteria for schizophrenia with onset by age 12</td>
<td>26 full siblings</td>
<td>IQ &lt; 70</td>
<td>Increased rate of intellectual disability in the siblings of individuals with childhood-onset schizophrenia (3 out of 26 full siblings)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NIMH, National Institute for Mental Health.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample origins</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>IQ range</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Penrose</td>
<td>Representative sample of patients under care of Royal Eastern Counties Institution, Colchester</td>
<td>204 persons with intellectual disability and co-occurring ‘psychopathology’ (psychosis): 72 severe (schizophrenia, affective psychoses), 132 mild (‘psychoneuroses’)</td>
<td>1076 persons with intellectual disability only; ‘Mental ratio’ ranging from under 20 (‘idiot’) to over 70 (‘dull’)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Persons with co-occurring psychopathy and intellectual disability had more relatives with psychopathy per head compared with persons with intellectual disability alone, and those with mild ‘psychopathology’ (psychosis) and intellectual disability were more likely to have affected relatives than those with severe psychopathy and intellectual disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Dwyer</td>
<td>Patients with schizophrenia and intellectual disability seen by consulting psychiatrists in Yorkshire</td>
<td>First 50 consented patients with sufficient diagnostic and obstetric data</td>
<td>50 controls with intellectual disability, matched on gender, age, IQ, epilepsy. None had psychosis, but 54% (27 out of 50) had some mental illness.</td>
<td></td>
<td>In course of describing increased rates of pregnancy, delivery and neonatal complications in the persons with co-occurring schizophrenia and intellectual disability compared with controls with intellectual disability, O'Dwyer notes that there was a family history of schizophrenia in seven cases compared with two controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doody</td>
<td>Persons with at least one psychiatric admission 1970–1993 in select districts in Scotland</td>
<td>39 persons (35 with full family history) with a discharge diagnosis of mild intellectual disability and schizophrenia or paranoid state, aged 16–65, and no Down syndrome</td>
<td>34 persons (31 with full family history) with schizophrenia and no premorbid cognitive impairment.</td>
<td>IQ 50–70</td>
<td>Persons with co-occurring disorders were significantly more likely to have first- or second-degree relatives with schizophrenia compared with intellectual disability controls (but not schizophrenia controls): 20 out of 35 (57%) of the co-occurring disorders group compared with 12 out of 31 (39%) schizophrenia controls (not significant) and 2 out of 23 (9%) intellectual disability controls (significant). Persons with co-occurring disorders were also significantly more likely to have first- or second-degree relatives with intellectual disability compared with schizophrenia controls (but not intellectual disability controls): 15 out of 35 (43%) of the co-occurring disorders group compared with 2 out of 31 (7%) schizophrenia controls (significant) and 12 out of 23 (53%) intellectual disability controls (not significant). Multiply-affected families with intellectual disabilities and schizophrenia were significantly more common among first- and second-degree relatives of persons with co-occurring schizophrenia and intellectual disability in comparison to schizophrenia-only and intellectual disability-only controls: 9 out of 35 (26%) of the co-occurring disorders group compared with 2 out of 31 (7%) schizophrenia controls (significant) and 1 out of 23 (4.5%) intellectual disability controls (significant).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual disability</td>
<td>Chromosomal locus</td>
<td>Psychiatric phenotype</td>
<td>Positive or negative association</td>
<td>Selected studies (first author, year of publication)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocardiofacial syndrome</td>
<td>22q11.2 microdeletions</td>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Bassett (1999), Murphy (1999)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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